



Advances and Challenges in Frozen Semen Technology: A Multifactorial Perspective on Seminal Quality

Suriseti Ravibabu*

Animal Husbandry Department, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India

***Corresponding Author:** Suriseti Ravibabu, Animal Husbandry Department, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India.

Received: June 26, 2025

Published: June 30, 2025

© All rights are reserved by **Suriseti Ravibabu**.

Frozen semen technology has emerged as a cornerstone of modern animal breeding, enabling unprecedented genetic progress, biosecurity, and global dissemination of elite genetics in livestock populations. While its transformative potential is undeniable, the efficacy of this technology depends on the quality of semen, which is influenced by a complex interplay of biological, environmental, and managerial factors. This editorial synthesizes current research on the impact of bull age, seasonal variations, scrotal biometry, ethological considerations, and environmental stressors, particularly the Temperature Humidity Index (THI), on seminal parameters. By integrating these insights, we aimed to underscore strategies for optimizing semen preservation and enhancing reproductive outcomes in breeding programs.

Frozen Semen Technology: Innovations and Implications

The preservation of bull semen through cryopreservation has revolutionized artificial insemination (AI), allowing the global distribution of genetic material from superior sires. Key advancements include the development of advanced cryoprotectants, membrane stabilizers, and antioxidants that mitigate oxidative stress and improve post-thaw sperm viability [1]. Innovations such as controlled-rate freezing have preserved sperm membrane integrity and motility [2]. Additionally, genomic selection and semen sexing via flow cytometry enable targeted breeding, accelerating genetic gains in the dairy and beef industries [3]. These technologies not only enhance fertility rates but also promote economic efficiency and sustainability by reducing reliance on natural mating and resource-intensive bull maintenance.

Age-Related Dynamics in Semen Quality

Bull age profoundly influences seminal parameters, with peak fertility observed between 3 and 4 years of age [4,5]. During this period, bulls exhibit optimal sperm concentration, motility, and morphology. However, aging induces testicular degeneration, hormonal decline (e.g., testosterone), and oxidative DNA damage, leading to elevated sperm abnormalities and reduced viability in bulls over 7–9 years [6,7]. For instance, studies on buffalo bulls revealed a semen volume peak at 9 years, followed by a sharp decline. Proactive management, including antioxidant supplementation and routine scrotal health assessments, may mitigate age-related decline. Future research should prioritize the molecular mechanisms underlying aging to develop targeted interventions.

Seasonal Variations: Balancing Heat Stress and Photoperiod

Seasonal fluctuations in temperature and humidity significantly disrupted semen production. Summer heat stress, characterized by elevated ambient temperatures ($>35^{\circ}\text{C}$), impairs spermatogenesis, reducing sperm concentration and motility while increasing abnormalities such as coiled tails [8]. Conversely, cooler seasons (winter/autumn) correlate with improved seminal parameters, which is attributed to enhanced testicular thermoregulation and hormonal balance [5]. Heat stress disrupts spermatogenesis by elevating scrotal temperatures and impairing endocrine regulation, notably testosterone production [9]. Photoperiodicity further modulates reproductive hormones; shorter daylight hours in winter stimulate luteinizing hormone (LH) secretion, bolstering sperm maturation

[10]. Nutritional interventions such as energy-dense diets during heat stress and shaded housing can buffer seasonal impacts [11], ensuring year-round semen quality.

Scrotal Biometry: A Proxy for Reproductive Potential

Scrotal circumference (SC) is a robust predictor of semen quality, reflecting testicular volume and spermatogenic capacity. Bulls with SC >35 cm demonstrate higher sperm production, motility, and pregnancy rates [12]. Advanced diagnostic tools, including ultrasound and infrared thermography, enable precise evaluation of testicular parenchyma and thermoregulatory efficiency. Notably, aging bulls exhibit increased SC; however, this does not invariably correlate with improved fertility, underscoring the need for breed-specific benchmarks. Age- and breed-specific variations in scrotal size underscore its utility in early bull selection, yet standardized protocols remain essential to maximize its predictive value across diverse populations [10].

Ethological and Environmental Considerations

Bull behavior during semen collection profoundly affects seminal quality. Younger bulls often display restlessness or aggression, reducing cooperation and ejaculation quality, whereas experienced bulls exhibit calmer behavior [13]. Stressors such as poor handling, noise, and social competition exacerbate cortisol release and impair sperm production [14]. Implementing dummy bulls and maintaining stress-free environments through optimal ventilation and temperature control can enhance the collection efficiency [15]. Furthermore, the Temperature Humidity Index (THI), a critical metric combining thermal and hygrometric stress, directly impacts semen quality. THI values >78 correlate with reduced sperm motility and concentration, necessitating mitigation strategies such as misting systems and timed semen collection during cooler periods [16,17].

Environmental conditions, quantified through the Temperature Humidity Index (THI), further modulate semen quality. THI values exceeding 78 correlate with reduced motility and concentration and increased morphological abnormalities due to heat stress. Mitigation strategies, such as cooling systems, timed collections during cooler periods, and antioxidant-rich diets, can counteract these effects by maintaining the THI within a comfortable range

(<72) [18,20]. These interventions are particularly vital in tropical regions, where high THI poses ongoing challenges for semen preservation.

Conclusion and Future Directions

Frozen semen technology is at the forefront of reproductive biotechnology, integrating cutting-edge cryopreservation, genomic tools, and management innovations to enhance cattle breeding. The interplay between bull age, seasonal variation, scrotal biometry, ethological considerations, and THI underscores the need for a holistic approach to semen quality optimization. Future research should prioritize refining cryopreservation techniques, elucidating breed-specific responses to environmental stressors, and developing targeted interventions to mitigate age-related declines and seasonal effects. By addressing these factors, the scientific community can further unlock the potential of frozen semen technology, ensuring its role in meeting global demands for efficient, sustainable livestock production.

Bibliography

1. Alves MB, *et al.* "Theriogenology" 86.3 (2016): 795-805.
2. Kastelic JP. "Theriogenology 81.1 (2013): 18-23.
3. Sabés-Alsina M, *et al.* "Journal of Dairy Science 102.6 (2019): 5623-5633.
4. Majić-Balić, *et al.* "Theriogenology 78 (2012): 423-431.
5. Budiyanto, *et al.* "Acta Veterinaria Indonesiana, Special Issues" (2021): 132-136.
6. Anzar M. "Theriogenology 22 (1984): 651-656.
7. Bhakat M, *et al.* "Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences" 2.11 (2014): 632-637.
8. Younas M. "Theriogenology 48.4 (1997): 653-661.
9. De Rensis F, *et al.* "Theriogenology 84.5 (2015): 659-666.
10. Brito LF, *et al.* "Theriogenology 58.6 (2002): 1175-1186.

11. Kunavongkrit A., *et al.* "Theriogenology 63.2 (2005): 657-667.
12. Pant HC., *et al.* "Theriogenology 60.1 (2003): 27-34.
13. Kumar P., *et al.* "Seasonal Variation in Sexual Behaviour of Deoni (*Bos indicus*) Bulls". *Indian Journal of Animal Research* (2022).
14. Menegassi SRO., *et al.* "Libido and serving skills of Zebu cattle bulls" (2021).
15. Deka R., *et al.* "Seasonal effect on sexual behavior of Kankrej bull". *Indian Journal of Dairy Science* 72.05 (2019): 506-513.
16. Collier RJ., *et al.* "Journal of Dairy Science 100.(12 (2017): 10367-10380.
17. Prihatin KW., *et al.* "Repeatability Estimation of Semen Production and Quality of Local Madura Cattle Breed". *Journal of Veterinary Medicine* (2017).
18. Ramajayan P., *et al.* "Evaluation of Genetic Components and The Effect Of Non-Genetic Factors on Seminal Traits (2021).
19. Indriastuti R., *et al.* "Individual variation in fresh and frozen semen of Bali bulls". *Veterinary World* 13.5 (2020): 840-846.