Acta Scientific NUTRITIONAL HEALTH (ISSN:2582-1423)

Volume 9 Issue 8 August 2025

Review Article

The Silent Sweet Saboteur: Reevaluating Sugar's Role in Modern Health

Natasha R Marak* and Arya Parashar

Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Community Science, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Sangsanggre, Meghalaya, India

***Corresponding Author:** Natasha R Marak, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Community Science, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Sangsanggre, Meghalaya, India.

Received: July 01, 2025

Published: July 17, 2025

Abstract

Due to sugar's widespread use in modern diets, a number of major health issues, including as obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and mental health disorders, have been silently exacerbated. By highlighting sugar's detrimental effects and arguing for stronger regulation measures, this essay seeks to rethink the role sugar plays in modern health. This article, which starts with a brief history, examines how sugar became more and more common in ordinary meals and how it was frequently hidden in processed goods. To show how pervasive sugar is in modern diets, current patterns in consumption are analyzed on a local and worldwide scale. Reviewing epidemiological studies and neurological research, the health effects of high sugar consumption are explored, emphasizing its connections to metabolic syndrome, obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular illnesses, and addiction. The article analyzes the current regulatory environments while examining the addictive qualities of sugar and drawing parallels with other restricted drugs like alcohol and tobacco. Case studies illustrating the effectiveness of sugar limits in different areas highlight the possible advantages of more stringent policies. According to the paper, tighter sugar laws might have a major positive impact on public health as well as have financial advantages, such as lower medical expenses. Insights from regulation initiatives on alcohol and tobacco are used to address potential implementation concerns, obstacles pertaining to personal responsibility, and economic repercussions on the food business.

Methodology

This paper adopts a comprehensive and interdisciplinary approach to examine the health implications of sugar consumption and evaluate policy responses. The methodology integrates an extensive review of peer-reviewed academic literature, government and institutional reports, and data from reputable public health organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and national health surveys. A systematic literature review is conducted to identify patterns and correlations between sugar intake and a range of non-communicable diseases, including obesity, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and dental caries.

Quantitative analysis of sugar consumption trends across different demographics is carried out using data sets from national nutrition monitoring systems and household expenditure surveys. This analysis helps to identify at-risk populations and assess the socio-economic and geographic distribution of sugar-related health burdens.

To contextualize the health risks, this study employs a comparative framework, drawing parallels between sugar and other regulated substances such as alcohol and tobacco. This involves a review of risk assessment models, addiction literature, and public health intervention outcomes associated with these substances.

Furthermore, the methodology includes case study analysis of real-world sugar regulation policies implemented in various countries. Examples such as sugar taxes in Mexico, warning labels in Chile, and reformulation initiatives in the United Kingdom are examined to assess the effectiveness and challenges of policy interventions. These case studies serve to inform evidence-based recommendations for reducing excessive sugar consumption and mitigating its health impacts.

Keywords: Silent Sweet Saboteur; Reevaluating Sugar's; Modern Health

Introduction

Imagine this: the average Indian surpasses the daily recommended limit of added sugar consumption by consuming almost 17 teaspoons of sugar each day. This concerning figure draws attention to an increasingly important yet frequently disregarded public health issue [1,2]. Overindulgence in sugar has permeated every aspect of our daily meals and is a silent cause of a host of health issues. Sugar permeates every aspect of our life, from our daily snacks to our morning coffee, and even into the items we eat for health, such granola bars and yogurt [3]. Its widespread use in contemporary diets is not accidental; rather, it is the outcome of conscious decisions made by food producers to improve flavor and lengthen shelf life. Although sugar has a sweet taste, there are serious and varied health hazards involved [4,6]. High sugar consumption has been connected to mental health issues, diabetes, obesity, and cardiovascular problems. However, both the general public and politicians frequently undervalue or overlook these risks [7,12]. The story that surrounds sugar has been greatly influenced by its ingrained cultural and economic status. Sugar-filled meals and drinks have been promoted for decades as essential components of our everyday lives and holidays [8,10] Effectively addressing thedetrimental effects of sugar on health has been difficult due to the food industry's strong lobbying efforts and widespread cultural support of the sugar sector [5,9,11].

The objective of this article is to reassess the role of sugar in contemporary health by highlighting its detrimental effects and exploring the possibility of more stringent regulations. By examining consumption patterns, health implications, and existing regulatory policies, we will make the case that sugar, like other controlled substances like alcohol and tobacco, has serious dangers that call for more stringent regulation and public health initiatives. This article aims to promote comprehensive solutions to reduce sugar's detrimental effects on public health and to encourage a deeper knowledge of the hidden dangers associated with the sugar industry by analyzing case studies and taking lessons from the regulation of other dangerous chemicals.

The ubiquity of sugar in modern

Once a valuable and uncommon item, sugar is now a commonplace component of modern diets. Its transformation from a luxury to a need is evidence of both past trade routes and contemporary methods of food production.

Historical context

The history of sugar dates back thousands of years to the cultivation of sugarcane and the discovery of its sweet qualities in ancient civilizations like China and India. However, sugar didn't start to become important in international trade and consumption until the 16th century [13]. In order to generate enormous amounts of sugar for sale, European colonial powers built sugar plantations in tropical areas like the Caribbean and South America during the Age of Exploration. These plantations employed slave labor. This was the start of sugar's transition from an affluent class luxury good to a commodity that was accessible to all [14].

Technological developments in sugar refining and processing made sugar more widely available and affordable as industrialization spread in the 19th century. White sugar's popularity was further fueled by the mass production of the commodity made possible by the development of mechanical mills and centrifuges. Over the course of the 20th century, sugar permeated a vast array of foods and beverages, becoming an essential component of the modern diet. Sugar transformed into a common ingredient in processed goods, enhancing their flavor, texture, and shelf life in anything from sweetened cereals to fizzy beverages [15].

Sugar can be found in an incredible variety of products these days, ranging from apparent sources like candies and pastries to hidden sources like sauces, condiments, and even savory nibbles. Due to the widespread use of sugar in contemporary diets, which has been connected to obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and other health problems, there is a global health crisis. In result, sugar's historical and economic significance are demonstrated by its increasing popularity and incorporation into common cuisines [16]. But the growing use of sugar has also sparked worries about how it may affect public health, emphasizing the need for increased knowledge and moderation in eating practices.

Hidden sugar in processed foods

Sugar in processed foods can be difficult to identify because it might be listed in different places of the ingredients list and go by multiple names. Because of this, even when consumers actively try to make healthier choices, it can be difficult for them to precisely measure how much sugar they are consuming [17]. Here's how processed meals frequently hide sugar

- **Multiple names:** Many names, such as sucrose, glucose, fructose, corn syrup, high-fructose corn syrup, maltose, dextrose, and more, can be found on ingredient labels for sugar [2]. Food producers frequently use these multiple labels to hide the total sugar content of their products and make it more difficult for customers to recognize.
- **Position on the Ingredients entail:** Food labels include ingredients in descending order of weight, which indicates that the substances at the top of the list are the ones that are present in the largest concentrations. Spreading sugar among multiple ingredients (like different kinds of syrups, sweeteners, or even fruit concentrates) might make it look lower on the list and less noticeable to customers [11].
- **Included in Savory Foods:** Sugar can be present in many savory processed foods including sauces, dressings, condiments, canned soups, and even bread, in addition to the obvious sources like candy and desserts. It's frequently added to food to improve flavor, adjust acidity, or serve as a preservative. Accordingly, even when they are not consuming customarily sweet meals, consumers may unintentionally be consuming large amounts of sugar [18].
- **Health-Aiding Halo Foods:** There are several items that are advertised as "natural" or "healthy" substitutes, yet they could still include a lot of added sugar. For instance, while fruit drinks, yogurt, granola bars, and flavored oatmeal are sometimes thought of as healthy options, they might actually contain a lot of added sugar to improve flavor and palatability [17].
- **Unexpected Serving Dimensions:** It's possible that serving sizes on food labels don't quite correspond to how much food is normally consumed. If consumers do not closely inspect the serving size and accompanying sugar content, they might not be aware that, for instance, a single dish of cereal or a tiny bottle of flavored yogurt has many teaspoons of sugar [19].

Generally, consumers find it difficult to effectively monitor and control their sugar intake due to the hidden nature of sugar in processed goods [20]. In order to make better decisions, people should learn the several names for sugar, carefully read food labels, and exercise caution when purchasing "healthy" goods that can nevertheless contain high added sugar content. Furthermore, encouraging food makers to be more transparent and to abide by stricter labeling laws can enable customers to choose better diets [19,21].

Consumption trends

Over the past century, there have been notable changes in the trends of sugar intake, which can be attributed to shifts in dietary habits, food supply, and cultural influences. Sugar has evolved from being an uncommon luxury to being widely available in processed meals, making it a necessary component of many modern diets [19]. It is crucial to comprehend the existing patterns of sugar consumption both locally and worldwide in order to solve the public health issues brought on by excessive sugar consumption.

Global consumption trends

- **Rising Consumption:** Global sugar consumption has been rising over the last few decades due to a number of variables including economic expansion, urbanization, and dietary changes. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimates that throughout the 1960s, the world's sugar consumption has increased by about 30% [22].
- **Conveyance to Processed Foods:** The global increase in sugar consumption has been largely attributed to the growth of processed and convenience foods [23]. These meals frequently include a lot of added sugar to improve flavor and palatability, which increases the amount of sugar consumed by the general public.
- **Drink Consumption:** Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) are now a major contributor to the world's population's sugar intake. Recent years have seen a significant rise in the consumption of sodas, fruit juices, energy drinks, and other sugary beverages, especially among younger people and in urban areas [24].
- **Medical Issues:** Concerns over sugar's effects on public health have been raised by the rise in sugar consumption. Overindulgence in sugar is linked to a global epidemic of non-communicable diseases (NCDs), including obesity, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, dental problems, and other health concerns [18, 25].

Local consumption trends

- **Different Ways of Consuming:** The amount of sugar consumed varies greatly between nations and areas due to cultural preferences, political and economic considerations, and governmental regulations. While industrialization and globalization have increased consumption levels in some nations, traditional diets in others may include relatively modest levels of added sugars [26].
- **Rapid Urbanization:** Because processed foods, sugary drinks, and fast-food restaurants are more readily available in cities than in rural regions, sugar intake rates are typically greater in urban areas. Sugar consumption is predicted to increase in many developing nations as urbanization picks up speed [26,27].
- **Governmental Interventions:** Several nations have put laws and other measures into place to combat excessive sugar intake and encourage the adoption of healthy eating habits. These policies could include levies on sugar, prohibitions on selling unhealthy food to kids, and public education campaigns regarding the dangers of consuming too much sugar [28].
- **Health Effect:** Different groups will experience different health effects from high sugar consumption due to factors like genetic predisposition, lifestyle choices, and access to healthcare. However, in many nations where sugar consumption is high, there is an increase in the prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and other NCDs [18,29].

In overall, trends in both local and worldwide sugar consumption point to the necessity of all-encompassing public health initiatives to combat the rising incidence of diet-related illnesses [28]. The main goals of sugar reduction initiatives should be to create healthier eating environments, increase public knowledge of the negative health effects of consuming too much sugar, and put in place evidence-based regulations that encourage better eating habits.

Health Impacts of Excessive Sugar Consumption

Consuming too much sugar has a disastrous effect on one's health, increasing the risk of heart disease, liver damage, extreme obesity, type 2 diabetes, tooth decay, and serious mental health problems. The following piece will go into great depth about these crucial effects.

Metabolic syndrome and obesity

Excessive consumption of sugar, especially from processed foods and beverages with added sugars, is a major cause of obesity and metabolic syndrome. A group of illnesses known as metabolic syndrome, which raises the risk of diabetes, heart disease, and stroke, include elevated blood pressure, elevated blood sugar, excess body fat around the waist, and abnormal cholesterol levels.

- **Resistant Insulin:** Consuming a lot of sugar can cause insulin resistance, a condition in which the body's cells lose sensitivity to the hormone insulin, which controls blood sugar levels [30,32]. This illness is a major part of the metabolic syndrome and a risk factor for type 2 diabetes.
- **Excess Calorie Value:** Sugary meals and drinks are frequently high in calories but low in nutrients, causing overindulgence in calories without satisfying hunger. Obesity and weight increase are possible outcomes, and metabolic syndrome is closely linked to both of these conditions [8,11,21].
- **Accumulation of Visceral Fat:** Overconsumption of fructose, mostly from high-fructose corn syrup in soft drinks, has been related to increased hepatic fat synthesis and subsequent buildup of visceral fat [11,31,33]. This particular kind of fat is especially dangerous and linked to increased risks of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular diseases.

Diabetes

There is ample evidence to support the link between the rise in type 2 diabetes and sugar consumption.

- **Higher Levels of Blood Glucose:** Consuming large amounts of sugar on a regular basis might raise blood glucose levels. This can eventually wear down the pancreas, which is responsible for producing insulin, and cause type 2 diabetes [38].
- **Resistance to Insulin:** As was previously indicated, consuming a lot of sugar might lead to insulin resistance. The body finds it difficult to efficiently drop blood sugar levels as a result of this illness, which raises the risk of type 2 diabetes [23,30,37].

- **Research Evidence:** Regardless of obesity status, a number of research studies have demonstrated that communities with high consumption levels of beverages sweetened with sugar had increased occurrences of type 2 diabetes [37].

Cardiovascular diseases

A growing body of research has connected heart disease to excessive sugar consumption.

- **Levels of Triglycerides:** Consuming too much sugar can increase blood triglyceride levels, which increase the risk of cardiovascular illnesses. Particularly, a high fructose diet has been linked to higher triglyceride levels [34].
- **Heart Rate:** Research has indicated that consuming a lot of sugar can raise blood pressure. One theory is that elevated blood sugar levels can activate the sympathetic nervous system, which raises heart rate and causes vasoconstriction.
- **The Chronic Illness:** One established risk factor for cardiovascular disorders is persistent inflammation. Consuming a lot of sugar has been connected to higher blood levels of inflammatory markers, which can accelerate the onset and progression of heart disease [35].

Addiction and mental health

An enormous amount of research has been done on the effects of sugar on the brain and its potential for addiction.

- **Reaction to Dopamine:** Dopamine is a neurotransmitter linked to pleasure and reward that is released when sugar is consumed [38]. This reaction is comparable to the effects of addictive substances, such as alcohol and cocaine, which cause overindulgence and cravings.
- **Emotional Disorders:** Consuming large amounts of sugar has been associated with a higher risk of mood disorders, such as anxiety and sadness [36,39]. High-sugar diets can produce blood sugar oscillations, which can have an impact on mental health and stability of mood.
- **Addictive Behaviors:** Research on animal models has shown that sugar can cause brain alterations and behaviors akin to those associated with drug addiction [38,40]. These show that sugar may be addictive and include sensitization, withdrawal, and bingeing.

Comparative analysis: Sugar and other substances

Sugar, alcohol, and tobacco usage have been the main topics of concern in public health in recent years. Each of these drugs has a distinct regulatory environment intended to lessen the detrimental effects on society while still posing serious health hazards. While the harmful consequences of nicotine and alcohol are well known and have been well researched, the risks related to consuming excessive amounts of sugar are becoming more and more apparent.

The following table summarizes the health risks, regulatory landscapes, and addictive properties associated with sugar, alcohol, and tobacco

Category	Sugar	Alcohol	Tobacco
Health risks	Obesity and Metabolic Syndrome: Contributes to obesity and related conditions like type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [8,11].	Liver Disease: Chronic consumption causes fatty liver, hepatitis, and cirrhosis [43].	Cancer: Leading cause of preventable cancers (lung, mouth, throat, esophagus, etc.) [42].
	Dental Health: Major cause of dental caries and other oral health problems [21].	Cardiovascular Diseases: Increases risk of hypertension, cardiomyopathy, and stroke [45].	Respiratory Diseases: Primary cause of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and other respiratory illnesses [44].
	Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD): Excessive fructose leads to fat accumulation in the liver [43].	Cancer: Associated with cancers of the mouth, throat, esophagus, liver, breast, and colon [41].	Cardiovascular Diseases: Increases risk of heart disease, stroke, and peripheral artery disease [44].
		Addiction: Leads to severe social, psychological, and physical problems [46].	Addiction: Nicotine causes dependence and withdrawal symptoms [46].
Regulatory landscapes	Labeling and Advertising: Clear labeling of added sugars and restrictions on marketing to children.	Age Restrictions: Enforced legal drinking ages	Age Restrictions: Strictly enforced legal age limits for purchasing.
	Taxes: Implemented sugar taxes on sugary beverages to reduce consumption [34].	Taxes and Pricing: Excise taxes aim to reduce consumption and generate revenue [34].	Taxes: High excise taxes discourage smoking and fund health initiatives [34].
	Public Awareness Campaigns: Educate the public on health risks of excessive sugar consumption.	Advertising Restrictions: Regulations to prevent targeting minors.	Advertising Restrictions : Comprehensive bans on advertising, promotion, and sponsorship.
		Licensing and Availability: Control over where and when alcohol can be sold.	Packaging and Labeling: Mandated health warnings and plain packaging.
			Public Smoking Bans: Implemented to protect non-smokers from secondhand smoke.
Addictive properties	Dopamine Release: Triggers dopamine release in the brain's reward system [46,47].	Dopamine Release: Triggers dopamine release in the brain's reward system [46,47].	Dopamine Release: Triggers dopamine release in the brain's reward system [46,47].
	Behavioral Patterns: Induces behaviors like bingeing, withdrawal, and craving [46,47]	Behavioral Patterns: Induces behaviors like bingeing, withdrawal, and craving [46,47].	Behavioral Patterns: Induces behaviors like bingeing, withdrawal, and craving [46,47].
	Tolerance and Dependence: Leads to tolerance and dependence [46,47]	Tolerance and Dependence: Leads to tolerance and dependence [46,47].	Tolerance and Dependence: Leads to tolerance and dependence [46,47].
	Brain Changes: Alters brain function and structure similarly to addictive drugs [46,47].	Brain Changes: Alters brain function and structure similarly to addictive drugs [46,47].	Brain Changes: Alters brain function and structure similarly to addictive drugs [46,47].
	Cross-Sensitization: Enhances effects of other addictive substances [46,47].	Cross-Sensitization: Enhances effects of other addictive substances [46,47].	Cross-Sensitization: Enhances effects of other addictive substances [46,47].

Table a

The comparison of the health dangers and addictive qualities of alcohol, tobacco, and sugar reveals how one substance differs from the other. While the negative health effects of alcohol and tobacco use are widely known, there is growing evidence that excessive sugar intake has negative health effects as well, which calls for increased public awareness and tougher regulatory measures [42]. Reducing the negative consequences of these chemicals requires effective regulation, which includes taxes, public awareness campaigns, advertising limitations, and plain labeling [45]. Policymakers and public health professionals may better safeguard and promote public health by comprehending and tackling the special problems presented by alcohol, tobacco, and sugar.

Current Regulatory Landscape

Current sugar regulations include labeling requirements, sugar taxes, marketing restrictions, and guidelines for sugar content in foods and beverages, aiming to reduce consumption and improve public health.

Labeling requirements

Food and beverage goods must declare the amount of sugar on their packaging in accordance with labeling regulations. This helps customers make educated decisions and incentivizes producers to lower sugar content by disclosing total sugar content, added sugar content, and occasionally natural sugar content.

Comprehensive and innovative labeling laws are being enacted in several countries, requiring food and drink goods to disclose comprehensive sugar content details, such as total, added, and natural sugars. These laws will empower consumers and transform industry practices worldwide.

Nutritional labels

- **United States:** The FDA mandates that the amount of "Added Sugars" be shown on the Nutrition Facts label, in both grams and percentage of the Daily Value [48]. This is intended to assist people make informed dietary decisions.
- **European Union:** The EU Food Information Regulation requires labels to disclose the total sugar content per 100 grams or milliliters. While it does not yet need a distinct line for added sugars, some EU countries are advocating for tougher labeling [49].
- **Australia and New Zealand:** Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) mandates total sugars to be disclosed on nutritional information panels, although there is an increasing push for explicit "added sugars" labelling [50].

Front-of-pack label

- **United Kingdom:** The UK utilizes a traffic light system on the front of food packaging to indicate whether the sugar content is excessive (red), medium (amber), or low (green) [51].
- **Chile:** Chile's law requires black stop signs on the front of food packaging containing high quantities of sugar, fats, calories, and salt, making it plain and easy for consumers to recognize harmful products [58].

Sugar taxes

- **Mexico:** Mexico imposed a tax on sugar-sweetened beverages in 2014, resulting in fewer purchases of taxed beverages and more purchases of water [52].
- **United Kingdom:** The Soft Drinks Industry Levy, implemented in 2018, targets makers and importers of sugary beverages, promoting reformulation to lower sugar content [53]. Drinks that contain more than 8 grams of sugar per 100 milliliters are subject to a higher tax rate.
- **United States:** Several cities, including Berkeley, Philadelphia, and Seattle, have imposed local taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages to address obesity and provide funds for public health initiatives [54].
- **South Africa:** The Health Promotion Levy on Sugary Beverages was implemented in 2018 as part of the country's plan to combat obesity and non communicable diseases [55].

Advertising restrictions

- **United Kingdom:** The UK has severe prohibitions on advertising foods high in fat, sugar, and salt (HFSS) during children's television programs and online content directed at children [56]. The UK government is also contemplating a groundbreaking ban on HFSS advertising on television before 9 p.m.
- **Canada:** Some provinces, such as Quebec, have legislation prohibiting the promotion of sugary foods and beverages to children under the age of 13, with the goal of reducing marketing's influence on children's dietary choices [57].
- **Chile:** In addition to its labeling laws, Chile has prohibited the advertising of sugar, fat, and calorie-rich items during children's programming. This includes rules on using cartoon characters or toys to promote unhealthy foods [58].
- **France:** France requires advertisements for foods and beverages heavy in sugar, salt, or fat to incorporate health messages urging healthy eating and physical activity [59].

Implementation and effectiveness

When sugar regulations are implemented well, they improve the present situation by lowering consumption through taxing, labeling, and public awareness efforts. This lowers obesity rates, improves public health, and lowers healthcare expenditures connected to diseases linked to sugar intake.

The following is a list of the many potential obstacles and their corresponding advantages

Challenges

- **Industry Resistance:** Food and beverage businesses frequently oppose rules that may harm their sales. This opposition might slow or impair the execution of effective actions. [54]
- **Consumer awareness:** Despite rules, consumer awareness and understanding of nutritional information may be restricted. Continuous education and public health initiatives are required to guarantee that labeling and advertising limitations have an effective impact on consumer behavior.
- **Global Disparities:** While some countries have taken strong initiatives to reduce sugar consumption, others have lagged owing to economic, political, or cultural causes. International cooperation and knowledge sharing can help close these gaps [52].

Positive outcomes

- **Behavioral Changes:** Countries with sugar tariffs, such as Mexico and the UK, have seen decreased consumption of sugary beverages. This shows that financial disincentives can effectively limit sugar consumption [52,53].
- **Industry Reformulation:** In response to taxes and labeling laws, several food and beverage firms have redesigned goods to lower sugar content, providing consumers with healthier options [52,54,55].
- **Public Health Benefits:** Sugar consumption reductions caused by laws are predicted to result in decreased incidence of obesity, diabetes, and other non-communicable diseases over time, hence enhancing general public health [59].

Case studies: Successful sugar regulations

Case studies offer evidence-based insights into the efficacy of sugar laws, draw attention to real-world implementation issues, showcase excellent practices, evaluate the effects on health and the economy, and offer guidance for tailoring policies to local circumstances. In order to provide stronger and more effective laws, they also improve stakeholder interaction and provide frameworks for continuing monitoring and review. Following are some of the prominent cases that help in successful sugar regulations.

Mexico: Soda tax

In January 2014, Mexico imposed a one-peso-per-liter excise tax on sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs). This decision was part of a larger public health campaign to battle rising obesity and diabetes rates, as Mexico has one of the world's highest per capita soda consumption rates. [52,60].

Outcomes

- **Decreased Consumption:** According to studies, the purchase of taxed beverages has decreased significantly, by around 7.6% in the first two years [60]. Low-income households, which are at a higher risk of obesity and linked disorders, experienced the greatest decline.
- **The Substitution Effect:** Concurrently, there was a 2.1% increase in the purchase of untaxed beverages, such as bottled water, indicating a good trend toward healthier options [60].
- **Health Effects:** Although the long-term health effects are still being assessed, reducing SSB intake is predicted to lead to decreased rates of obesity and diabetes over time [60].

United Kingdom: Soft drinks industry levy

The UK's Soft Drinks Industry Levy, implemented in April 2018, focuses on manufacturers and importers of sugary beverages. The tax has two tiers: drinks with more than 8 grams of sugar per 100 milliliters are taxed at a higher rate, and those with 5-8 grams per 100 milliliters are taxed at a lesser rate [53,61].

Outcomes

- **Reformulation:** The levy spurred many beverage makers to redesign their products to lower sugar content. By the implementation date, over half of soft drink items had been modified to avoid the higher tax bracket [53].
- **Patterns of Consumption:** Early data showed a decrease in the sugar content of soft drinks sold in the UK, which is consistent with public health goals to reduce sugar consumption across the population [61].
- **Revenue and allocation:** The fee has generated significant cash, which the government has used to subsidize physical education activities and school breakfast programs, strengthening public health measures [61].

Chile: Comprehensive food labeling and advertising law

Chile established one of the world's most comprehensive food restrictions in 2016, with the goal of reducing obesity. The rule contains required front-of-pack warning labels for goods rich in sugar, fat, salt, or calories, advertising limits for unhealthy foods targeted at children, and bans on sales of such foods in schools [58].

Outcomes

- **Customer Awareness:** The use of black stop signs on unhealthy food packaging has raised customer awareness of unhealthy food options, leading to changes in purchase behavior [62].
- **Industry Reformulation:** Many food producers have redesigned their goods to avoid warning labels, resulting in decreased sugar content across a wide range of items [62].
- **Advertising Impact:** Limiting the use of cartoon characters and other child-targeted marketing methods has lowered the allure of sugary goods to young consumers, resulting in healthier eating habits for youngsters [58].

Berkeley, California: Local Soda Tax

Berkeley became the first city in the United States to impose a soda tax in November 2014, raising a one-cent-per-ounce charge on sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB). The charge was intended to curb soda consumption and fund community health activities [63].

Outcomes

- **Decreased Consumption:** According to one study, SSB consumption declined by 21% in low-income communities in the first year of the tax's implementation. Meanwhile, consumption in surrounding cities without the tax remained constant [54].
- **Revenue Use:** The tax's revenue has been directed toward health programs such as school nutrition education and community health projects, thereby amplifying the tax's public health advantages [64].
- **Model For Others:** Berkeley's success has prompted other cities in the United States, like Philadelphia and Seattle, to enact similar tariffs, contributing to a larger campaign to reduce sugar consumption across the country [54,63].

These case studies show how various regulatory approaches might reduce sugar consumption while enhancing public health. These regions have taken substantial steps to reduce the negative health effects of excessive sugar consumption by enacting tariffs, labeling requirements, and advertising limits.

The Case for Re-evaluating Sugar Regulation

Sugar regulations need to be revised and reevaluated in light of recent scientific findings in order to enhance public health results. In order to address the rising health issues of diabetes and obesity, this is essential. By doing this, we can guarantee that laws are fair and useful in a range of situations. Eliminating industrial resistance that impedes public health advancement is also crucial. Taking into account the most recent findings about the health effects of sugar, updated rules must to be thorough. A healthier population and lower healthcare expenses are the eventual results of effective policy reforms that help lower the prevalence of diet-related disorders.

Public health benefits

- **Significant reductions in obesity and related diseases:** Stricter sugar rules have the potential to significantly lower the prevalence of obesity, which is a major risk factor for a wide range of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), including type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and certain malignancies. Countries such as Mexico and the United Kingdom have already observed reductions in sugar consumption as a result of sugar tariffs and product reformulation, demonstrating that these policies can have a significant public health impact [52,54]
- **Impact on Children's Health:** Children are especially susceptible to the marketing and consumption of sugary products. Enhanced rules, such as advertising limitations and enhanced school nutrition standards, have the potential to drastically lower children's sugar intake, supporting healthier development. Chile's comprehensive food labeling and advertising law has shown that reducing children's exposure to sugary foods can lead to healthier dietary habits and potentially lower rates of childhood obesity [58] .
- **Long-Term Medical Results:** Cutting back on sugar consumption can help reduce the chance of chronic illnesses linked to high sugar intake developing. Life expectancy may rise and quality of life may be enhanced as a result. Governments can reduce the impact of NCDs on society by enacting and enforcing stronger rules, which will lead to a healthier population generally.

Financial Gains

- **Decrease in Medical Expenses:** Consuming a lot of sugar is associated with a number of health issues that need for ongoing medical care, which drives up the expense of healthcare. Stricter laws aimed at lowering the incidence of sugar-related illnesses could save nations billions of dollars in medical costs. For instance, by lowering the prevalence of diseases linked to obesity, the UK's sugar tax is predicted to save millions of dollars in medical expenses [54,63].
- **Enhanced Output:** A population that is in better health tends to be more productive. Reducing the prevalence of illnesses like diabetes and heart disease can boost workplace productivity and lower absenteeism. The financial advantages come from a more productive and efficient workforce as well as from direct healthcare savings.
- **Generation of Revenue:** In addition to encouraging healthy consumer behavior, sugar taxes bring in a sizable chunk of money for governments. A positive feedback loop that further improves public health can be created by reinvesting this cash into public health efforts, educational activities, and infrastructure upgrades [62].

Consumer awareness

- **Improved Labeling:** Helping customers make healthier decisions requires labels that are both understandable and informative. Consumers are frequently not given enough information about the amount of sugar in foods under current standards. Better labeling requirements, such required added sugar disclosure and warnings on the front of the package, can empower customers to make better decisions. Consumer awareness of the amount of sugar in food and drink has increased because to the UK's traffic light labeling system and Chile's warning labels [58,63].
- **Restrictions on Advertising:** Consumer choices are greatly influenced by advertising, particularly for younger consumers. Tighter regulations on sugar-filled food promotion may help lower the market for these harmful choices. Regulations that prohibit marketing sugary foods to children, such as those implemented in Chile and proposed in the UK, can limit the influence of aggressive marketing strategies and help establish

healthier eating patterns from a young age [58,62] .

- **Educational Campaigns:** Public health initiatives that inform the public about the dangers of consuming excessive amounts of sugar and advocate for healthier options are crucial in addition to regulatory measures. These campaigns can support regulatory efforts by highlighting the significance of cutting back on sugar consumption and promoting long-term dietary modifications.

Potential Challenges and Solutions

Regulations pertaining to sugar face obstacles from the industry, the general public, and enforcement. Adaptable policies to local settings, rigorous monitoring mechanisms, public education campaigns, and stakeholder participation are all necessary for finding solutions. The different measure are listed below.

Personal Responsibility vs. Regulation

- **Challenge:** The appropriate ratio between individual accountability and state involvement is a central topic of discussion in the context of sugar control. Critics emphasize personal accountability for health outcomes and contend that people should be ableto choose their own diets without interference from the government.

Solution

- **Awareness and Education:** Two strategies can be used to solve this issue. Extensive public education efforts can educate people on the health dangers linked with high sugar intake in addition to regulatory measures. This preserves the benefits of safety laws while enabling people to make educated judgments.
- **The Nudge Theory:** It might be helpful to put tactics into place that gently steer customer behavior without taking away options. Healthy options can be positioned at eye level in stores or sugary product portion sizes can be reduced to promote better choices without compromising individual freedom.
- **Mutual transparency:** Ensuring food label transparency empowers consumers to take educated personal responsibility. By giving people the knowledge they need to make better decisions, unambiguous labeling can aid in bridging the gap between human preference and governmental regulation.

Economic impact on the food industry

- **Challenge:** Stricter sugar laws may hurt the food and beverage business, resulting in lower sales, employment losses, and downturns in the economies of industries dependent on sugar-filled goods.

Solution

- **The incentives for Reformation:** Governments have the power to incentivize businesses to restructure their goods to contain less sugar. Without causing a large amount of economic harm, tax incentives or subsidies for healthier product lines can promote business compliance and innovation.
- **Transition Support:** Economic effects can be reduced by helping companies make the switch to healthier products. This might involve funding for the creation of new recipes and research, as well as funds for the promotion of healthier substitutes.
- **Utilization:** Companies can protect themselves from any losses due to decreased sales of sugar products by encouraging them to diversify their product lines. Businesses may capitalize on expanding markets for health-conscious customers by making investments in better products.

Implementation and compliance

- **Challenge:** There are a lot of obstacles to overcome in order to implement and enforce the new sugar laws. Coordinating and allocating significant resources is necessary to ensure compliance in a variety of industries, including food manufacturers and merchants.

Solution

- **Staged Execution:** Gradually introducing laws can allow firms to conform and adapt without major interruption. A phased strategy gives room for small adjustments and gives time to deal with unanticipated problems.
- **Sturdy Enforcement and Monitoring:** It's crucial to provide precise rules and requirements for adherence. Adherence to new regulations can be guaranteed by frequent audits, inspections, and sanctions for non-compliance. Using technology to monitor can improve supervision. Examples of this include digitally recording product formulas and sales statistics.

- **Participation of Stakeholders:** Cooperation and compliance may be promoted by interacting with industry stakeholders at every stage of the regulatory process. When public health professionals, corporations, and consumer organizations are involved in the creation and application of rules, it guarantees that a variety of viewpoints are taken into account and workable solutions are discovered.
- **International Cooperation:** Studying the experiences of other nations with sugar control might yield important information about successful implementation tactics. Cooperation can also lead to the standardization of legislation, which will ease the load on multinational corporations and advance advances in public health worldwide.

Lessons from other regulatory efforts

Lessons from past regulatory initiatives aid in the establishment of more effective and flexible policies by offering tried-and-true approaches, pointing out possible hazards, exhibiting efficient enforcement techniques, and illustrating successful public involvement strategies.

Tobacco and alcohol regulations

The prevalence of smoking and its related health problems have dramatically decreased as a result of tobacco control measures like pricing, advertising limitations, warning labels, and various prohibitory measures. Alcohol laws, such as those pertaining to minimum price, age limitations, and advertising limits, have also assisted in reducing the negative effects of alcohol use. Sugar regulation can benefit from the regulatory actions that has been taken to combat alcohol and tobacco usage.

Lessons learned

Taxation

- **Tobacco:** Tobacco product excise taxes have been shown to be a very successful measure in lowering usage. Soda taxes, for example, are a comparable strategy for sugary goods that might deter overindulgence and fund public health programs.
- **Alcohol:** Strategies for minimum pricing alcohol can guarantee that prices cover the whole cost of use, which includes social and medical expenses. Minimum price for sugar-filled beverages may make them less affordable and less popular.

Restrictions on advertising

- **Tobacco:** Entire prohibitions on tobacco advertising have hindered the industry's capacity to draw in new customers, especially from younger demographics. Limiting the promotion of sugar-filled goods, particularly those aimed at kids, can lower exposure and consumption.
- **Alcohol:** Laws that prohibit the marketing of alcohol can be modified to restrict the promotion of sugary goods. Examples of these regulations include forbidding sponsorships or limiting the timing of commercials.

Caution Labels

- **Tobacco:** Cigarette packaging with graphic health warnings has increased public awareness of the dangers of smoking. Similar cautionary warnings on sugar-filled goods can alert people to the dangers of consuming too much sugar.
- **Alcohol:** Sugary drink labels can incorporate health warnings regarding alcohol intake and calorie counts, giving customers vital information about their health.

Public restrictions

- **Tobacco:** Smoke-free zones have been established as a result of public smoking bans, lowering secondhand smoke exposure. Policies that limit the availability of sugar-filled goods in public spaces like schools can help create healthier surroundings.
- **Alcohol:** Restricting the provision of alcoholic beverages in specific areas, such as parks or events, can be used to discourage the use of sugar-filled beverages and promote healthier options in public areas.

Public awareness campaigns

Raising public awareness through campaigns is essential for influencing consumer behavior and bolstering legislative initiatives. Successful advertising may raise awareness of the dangers sugar intake poses to one's health, highlighting better options, and motivating people to make wise decisions. Successful Campaigns includes following elements such as-

Clear messaging

- **Tobacco:** Advertisement that stress the risks of smoking employ real-life experiences and startling statistics, such as the CDC's "Tips from Former Smokers" campaign. To make the hazards more accessible, similar strategies might be employed to provide firsthand accounts from those impacted by diseases associated to sugar.
- **Alcohol:** Advertisement highlighting the consequences of excessive sugar intake and the advantages of cutting back can be modified to highlight the risks of binge drinking and the need for moderation.

Case-specific approach

- **Tobacco:** Initiatives aimed at certain populations, including children or expectant mothers, have been effective. Campaigns to raise awareness about sugar consumption should target vulnerable populations, such as kids and teenagers, for maximum effect
- **Alcohol:** Campaigns to reduce sugar intake targeted at regular users of sugary drinks can be guided by concentrated efforts on college students or heavy drinkers.

Multi-channel strategies

- **Tobacco:** A wide audience is ensured by utilizing a variety of venues, including as print, social media, and television. Public service announcements, instructional films, and social media influencers can all be used as part of a multi-channel strategy to raise awareness of sugar consumption.
- **Alcohol:** Local activities and seminars that involve the community enhance the job that is done by mainstream media. Educator collaborations, school campaigns, and local community projects may all support the promotion of sugar reduction.

Behavioral ideas

- **Tobacco:** It might be useful to use behavioral science ideas, such as highlighting the immediate health advantages of stopping smoking. Changes can be motivated by emphasizing the immediate advantages of cutting back on sugar, such as increased energy and better weight control.
- **Alcohol:** Sugar-free/low-sugar substitutes can be promoted by focusing on peer pressure and social conventions, such as encouraging non-alcoholic drink options during social gatherings.

Conclusion

It is essential to reassess sugar's function in modern health and take tougher regulation measures into consideration given the widespread presence of sugar in modern diets and its significant negative effects on health. Excessive intake of sugar has harmful consequences that are similar to those of more conventionally controlled drugs like alcohol and tobacco, therefore protecting the public's health requires a comprehensive strategy.

Over the ages, sugar has become a commonplace ingredient in our diets. It is frequently concealed in processed goods, making it challenging for consumers to properly control their intake. Existing local and worldwide statistics show concerning patterns in sugar intake that significantly exceed advised thresholds and fuel a rise in diet-related health problems. Effective sugar regulation necessitates balancing individual accountability with governmental regulation, controlling financial effects on the food business, and guaranteeing implementation and compliance. The public, medical experts, and policymakers must all recognize the serious health hazards associated with excessive sugar intake. More stringent regulatory measures, such improved labeling, limits on advertising, and sugar levies, must be supported and put into place in order to decrease the use of sugar. When combined with strong public awareness efforts, these actions have the potential to significantly alter consumer behavior and health consequences.

Bibliography

1. Lustig RH., *et al*. "The toxic truth about sugar". *Nature* 482.7383 (2012): 27-29.

2. World Health Organization. "Guideline: Sugars intake for adults and children". Geneva: World Health Organization (2015).

3. Malik VS., *et al*. "Sugar-sweetened beverages, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease risk". *Circulation* 121.11 (2010): 1356-1364.

4. Stanhope KL. "Sugar consumption, metabolic disease and obesity: The state of the controversy". *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences* 53.1 (2016): 52-67.

5. TeMorenga L., *et al*. "Dietary sugars and body weight: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies". *BMJ* 346 (2013): e7492.

6. Yach D., *et al*. "Epidemiologic and economic consequences of the global epidemics of obesity and diabetes". *Nature Medicine* 12.1 (2006): 62-66.

7. Schmidt LA and Dufault S. "The sugar wars: Science, politics, and the public health crisis". *Annual Review of Public Health* 33 (2012): 105-118.

8. Bray GA and Popkin BM. "Dietary sugar and body weight: Have we reached a crisis in the epidemic of obesity and diabetes?" *Health Affairs* 33.8 (2014): 1345-1353.

9. Taubes G. "Is sugar toxic?" New York Times Magazine (2011).

10. Gornall J. "Sugar: Spinning a web of influence". *BMJ* 350 (2015): h231.

11. Lustig RH. "Fat Chance: Beating the Odds Against Sugar, Processed Food, Obesity, and Disease, New York: Hudson Street Press (2013).

12. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. "The Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity" (2020).

13. Mintz Sidney W. "Sweetness and Power". The Place of Sugar in Modern History, New York: Penguin Books (1986).

14. Walvin James. "Sugar: The World Corrupted: From Slavery to Obesity". London: Robinson (2005).

15. DuPuis E Melanie and Holt-Giménez Eric. "From the Jaws of Victory: The Triumph and Tragedy of Cesar Chavez and the Farm Worker Movement". Oakland, CA: Food First (2002).

16. Andrew F. "Fast Food and Junk Food". An Encyclopedia of What We Love to Eat. Santa Barbara, CA: Greenwood (2019).

17. Popkin BM and Hawkes C. "Sweetening of the global diet, particularly beverages: patterns, trends, and policy responses". *The Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology* 4.2 (2016): 174-186.

18. Malik VS., *et al.* "Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis". *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 98.4 (2013): 1084-1102.

19. Monteiro CA., *et al.* "The UN Decade of Nutrition, the NOVA food classification and the trouble with ultra-processing". *Public Health Nutrition* 21.1 (2016): 5-17.

20. TeMorenga L., *et al.* "Dietary sugars and body weight: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies". *BMJ* 346 (2013): e7492.

21. Stanhope KL. "Sugar consumption, metabolic disease and obesity: The state of the controversy". *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences* 53.1 (2016): 52-67.

22. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). World sugar situation. Rome: FAO (2016).

23. Malik VS., *et al.* "Sugar-sweetened beverages, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease risk". *Circulation* 121.11 (2010): 1356-1364.

24. Euromonitor International. "Global Soft Drinks: Carbonates, Market database" (2021).

25. Popkin BM and Hawkes C. "Sweetening of the global diet, particularly beverages: patterns, trends, and policy responses". *The Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology* 4.2 (2016): 174-186.

26. Popkin BM., *et al.* "Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries". *Nutrition Reviews* 70.1 (2012): 3-21.

27. Basu S., *et al.* "Relationship of soft drink consumption to global overweight, obesity, and diabetes: a cross-national analysis of 75 countries". *American Journal of Public Health* 103.11 (2013): 2071-2077.

28. World Health Organization (WHO). "Report of the Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity, Geneva: WHO (2016).

29. Bleich SN and Wolfson JA. "Trends in SSBs and snack consumption among children by age, body weight, and race/ethnicity". *Obesity* 23.5 (2015): 1039-1046.

30. Stanhope KL., *et al.* "Consumption of fructose and high fructose corn syrup increases postprandial triglycerides, LDL-cholesterol, and apolipoprotein-B in young men and women". *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 96.10 (2011): E1596-E1605.

31. Johnson RJ., *et al.* "Potential role of sugar (fructose) in the epidemic of hypertension, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease". *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 86.4 (2007): 899-906.

32. Schulze MB., *et al.* "Sugar-sweetened beverages, weight gain, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in young and middle-aged women". *JAMA* 292.8 (2004): 927-934.

33. Hu FB. "Resolved: there is sufficient scientific evidence that decreasing sugar-sweetened beverage consumption will reduce the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases". *Obesity Reviews* 14.8 (2013): 606-619.

34. Brown IJ., *et al.* "Sugar-sweetened beverage, sugar intake of individuals, and their blood pressure: International study of macro/micronutrients and blood pressure". *Hypertension* 57.4 (2011): 695-701.

35. Fung TT., *et al.* "Sweetened beverage consumption and risk of coronary heart disease in women". *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 89.4 (2009): 1037-1042.

36. King DE., *et al.* "Trends in dietary fiber intake in the United States, 1999–2008". *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 113.3 (2013): 355-361.

37. Imamura F., *et al.* "Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, artificially sweetened beverages, and fruit juice and incidence of type 2 diabetes: systematic review, meta-analysis, and estimation of population attributable fraction". *BMJ* 351 (2015): h3576.

38. Avena NM., *et al.* "Evidence for sugar addiction: behavioral and neurochemical effects of intermittent, excessive sugar intake". *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 32.1 (2008): 20-39.

39. Benton D. "The plausibility of sugar addiction and its role in obesity and eating disorders". *Clinical Nutrition* 29.3 (2010): 288-303.

40. Knüppel A., *et al.* "Sugar intake from sweet food and beverages, common mental disorder and depression: prospective findings from the Whitehall II study" (2017).

41. WHO. "Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health 2018". Geneva: World Health Organization (2018).

42. National Cancer Institute. "Tobacco., Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health (2020).

43. Avena NM., *et al.* "Evidence for sugar addiction: behavioral and neurochemical effects of intermittent, excessive sugar intake". *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 32.1 (2008): 20-39.

44. Volkow ND and Wise RA. "How can drug addiction help us understand obesity?" *Nature Neuroscience* 8.5 (2005): 555-560.

45. Johnson RJ., *et al.* "Potential role of sugar (fructose) in the epidemic of hypertension, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease". *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 86.4 (2007): 899-906.

46. DiNicolantonio JJ., *et al.* "Sugar addiction: Is it real? A narrative review". *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 52.14 (2018): 910-913.

47. Volkow ND., *et al.* "Addiction circuitry in the human brain". *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* 52 (2012): 321-336.

48. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). "Changes to the Nutrition Facts Label (2016).

49. European Commission. "Food Information to Consumers – Legislation. [European Commission] (2011).

50. Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ). "Food Labelling. [FSANZ] (2020).

51. Public Health England. "The Eatwell Guide. [NHS] (2016).

52. BMJ. "Mexico's sugar tax cuts sales of sugary drinks by 12% after one year, study shows (2016).

53. HM Treasury. "Soft Drinks Industry Levy comes into effect (2018).

54. Berkeley Media Studies Group. "Berkeley's soda tax successes: An overview. [Berkeley Media Studies Group] (2017).

55. South African Revenue Service. "Health Promotion Levy (HPL). [SARS] (2018).

56. Advertising Standards Authority (ASA). "Children's Food and Drink Advertising. [ASA] (2019).

57. Government of Canada. "Advertising directed at children in Quebec. [Government of Canada] (2019).

58. World Health Organization (WHO). "WHO welcomes new food rules in Chile to protect children from obesity. [WHO] (2016).

59. French Ministry of Health. "Public Health Messages in Advertising". [French Ministry of Health] (2014).

60. Colchero MA., *et al.* "In Mexico, evidence of sustained consumer response two years after implementing a sugar-sweetened beverage tax". *Health Affairs* 36.3 (2017): 564-571.

61. Scarborough P., *et al.* "Impact of the announcement and implementation of the UK Soft Drinks Industry Levy on sugar content, price, and sales of sugar-sweetened beverages: A controlled interrupted time series analysis". *PLoS Medicine* 17.2 (2020): e1003025.

62. Corvalán C., *et al.* "Structural responses to the obesity and non-communicable diseases epidemic: The Chilean Law of Food Labeling and Advertising". *Obesity Reviews* 20.S2 (2019): 367-374.

63. Silver LD., *et al.* "Changes in prices, sales, consumer spending, and beverage consumption one year after a tax on sugar-sweetened beverages in Berkeley, California, US: A before-and-after study". *PLoS Medicine* 14.4 (2017): e1002283.

64. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2016). Tips From Former Smokers Campaign. [CDC] (2016).

65. World Health Organization (WHO). "Tobacco Control: Taxation. [WHO] (2019).