

### ACTA SCIENTIFIC DENTAL SCIENCES

Volume 8 Issue 11 November 2024

Review Article

# Autophagy as a Therapeutic Adjunct in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma-An Insight

Murittige Gopalakrishna Madhura<sup>1\*</sup>, Vijayalakshmi S Kotrashetti<sup>2</sup>, Veerendra Kumar B³, Puneetha S⁴, Naveen Krishnamoorthy⁵, Mukunda R⁶, Sreelakshmi R Nair⁻, Shashank S Bhat⁻, Suma S⁶, Gouri S Panchannavar⁶ and Prashanth CS¹⁰

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Maratha Mandal's NGH Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Centre and Reader Associate Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, DAPM R V Dental College Bengaluru, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup>Professor and Head, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology and Microbiology, Maratha Mandal's Nathajirao G Halgekar, Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>3</sup>Professor and Head, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, DAPM R V Dental College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>4</sup>II MDS (Postgraduate), Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, DAPM R V Dental College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>5</sup>General Pathologist, Koushik Laboratory and Clinic, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India <sup>6</sup>Intern, DAPM R V Dental College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>7</sup>BDS Graduates (Alumni), DAPM R V Dental College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>8</sup>Reader (Associate Professor), Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, DAPM R V Dental College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>9</sup>Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, DAPM R V Dental College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

<sup>10</sup>Principal, DAPM R V Dental College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India

\*Corresponding Author: Murittige Gopalakrishna Madhura, Research Scholar, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Maratha Mandal's NGH Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Centre and Reader (Associate Professor), Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, DAPM R V Dental College Bengaluru, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka, India.

DOI: 10.31080/ASDS.2024.08.1931

Orcid Id: 0000-0002-6261-2681

Received: September 26, 2024
Published: October 23, 2024
© All rights are reserved by
Murittige Gopalakrishna
Madhura., et al.

### **Abstract**

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the common type of head and neck cancer, characterized by genetic and epigenetic abnormalities. There are numerous molecules and mechanisms involved in the etiopathogenesis and progression of OSCC. One such mechanism is autophagy that has been considered as a double edged sword in cancer. Autophagy is a well conserved and orchestrated cellular degradation seen in health and disease. The role of autophagy has been studied in cancer for the past two decades. Autophagy is being proposed to be a potential therapeutic target for many types of cancer. Thus, the aim of the present paper is to highlight the available evidence on the therapeutic role of autophagy in cancer in general, along with an emphasis in oral squamous cell carcinoma. The English databases (Google scholar, Pubmed and Scopus) were searched with key words (autophagy, oral squamous cell carcinoma, therapeutic target) in different combinations for the past 30 years. Both original research and review articles have been considered for the current paper. Evidence from the available English literature on autophagic role in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) has been summarized along with future outlooks for it to be exploited as an adjuvant target for treating oral carcinoma.

Keywords: Autophagy; Cellular Degradation; Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma; Therapeutic Target, Tumor promoter, Tumor Suppressor

### **Abbreviations**

ATG: Autophagy Related Proteins; ATG4D: Autophagy Related 4D Cysteine Peptidase; ATP: Adenosine Triphosphate; CAFs: Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts; CCL2: Chemokine (C-C Motif) Ligand 2; CCL7: Chemokine (C-C Motif) Ligand 7; CMA: Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy; DAB2IP: Disabled-2 Interacting Protein; EGF: Epidermal Growth Factor; EMT: Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition; FOXE1: Forkhead Box E1; HepG2: Hepatoblastoma Cell Line; HIF-1α: Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1alpha; HSC70: Heat Shock Cognate Protein with Molecular Weight 70kDa; IRF2: Interferon Regulatory Factor 2; IL-1β: Interleukin-1beta; IL-33: Interleukin -33; JAK/STAT: Janus Kinase/Signal Transducers and Activators of Transcription; LC3-II: LC3-Phosphatidylethanolamine Conjugate; miRNAs: MicroRibonucleic Acids; NFkB: Nuclear Factor Kappa B; OncTKs: Oncogenic Tyrosine Kinases; OSCC: Oral Squamous cell Carcinoma; PI3K/AKT/mTORC1: phosphoinositide 3 Kinase/Protein Kinase B/Mammalian (or Mechanistic) Target of Rapamycin (mTOR); PDGF: Platelet Derived Growth Factor; Rab9: RAS Related Protein 9; RAB5A: RAS Related Protein 5A; RAS/MAPK: Rat Sarcoma/Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase; RANKL: Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor kB Ligand; RTKs: Receptor Tyrosine Kinases; STMN1: Stathmin 1; TAMs: Tumor Associated Macrophages; TGF-β: Transforming Growth Factor - Beta; TKs: Tyrosine Kinases; TKIs: Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors; TME: Tumor Microenvironment; TLR4: Toll-Like Receptor 4; ULK1: Unc-51-Like Kinase 1

#### Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) represents the common type of head and neck cancer. Oral cancer comprises of cancer of the lip and other parts of the oral cavity including oropharynx. Oral cancer has been ranked as the  $13^{\rm th}$  most common cancer across the globe.

The estimated global incidence rate for new cases of lip and oral cavity cancers is around 3.5 lakh and deaths at around 1.5 lakh cases in 2020. Further, oral cancer has been reported to be common in older males when compared to females and is also influenced by various socio-economic situations [1]. Around 3,00,000 individuals are affected annually especially in developed countries.

Evidence has shown that OSCC is attributed to genetic instability and epigenetic vulnerability.

The epigenetic susceptibility is on the rise owing to usage of tobacco, alcohol, or exposure to oncogenic viruses, radiation and such other risk factors.

The constant unravelling of the complex molecular mechanisms involved in the etiopathogenesis and progression of oral cancer has paved the way for discovery and application of diverse diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers towards tailored treatment, all these aiming at improving the overall patient survival [2].

Among the various molecules, mechanisms, pathways and the hallmarks involved in the development and progression of human cancer, autophagy has gained much significance for the past two decades.

The present paper aims at highlighting few key aspects of autophagy as an adjuvant therapeutic target in oral cancer.

For the current paper, the search strategy had comprised of several English databases (Google scholar, Pubmed and Scopus)

with specific key words (autophagy, oral squamous cell carcinoma, therapeutic target) in different combinations, for the past 10 years.

#### **Autophagy**

Autophagy is a highly conserved process of cellular degradation (derived from Greek referred to as "self" and "eating"), conserved from yeasts to mammals [3].

Autophagy helps in maintaining homeostasis by degrading excessive and or long-lived proteins and also the damaged organelles, mediated by lysosomal machinery; this mechanism provides biological material and energy to meet demands of the cells especially during nutrient deprivation [4]. Autophagy is a multistep process well orchestrated by a set of proteins known as autophagy – (ATG-) regulated proteins studied in autophagy – defective yeast mutants [5].

Autophagy is observed in both physiological and pathological conditions. Based on the mode of transport of cellular products to be degraded by the lysosomes, autophagy could be of 3 types-microautophagy, macroautophagy and chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA).

The term autophagy commonly used refers to macroautophagy if not specified. In macroautophagy, there is formation of a phagophore that contains the senescent cellular organelles or the cytoplasmic products to be degraded (cargo); this phagophore is a double-membraned structure that gradually matures, seals, with the emergence of autophagosome to fuse finally with lysosome for degradation of its contents. Later, the degradation products get recycled through the cellular anabolic processes [6].

In case of microautophagy, there is invagination of the lysosomal membrane that facilitates degradation of cellular contents (cargo) within the lumen of lysosome [7].

CMA involves participation of the chaperone heat shock cognate protein with molecular weight 70kDa (HSC70) that facilitates the delivery of target proteins containing KFERQ/KFERQ-like sequence motifs towards lysosomal lumen for further degradation [8].

In general, 'Autophagy flux' refers to formation of autophagosome with secluding of cargo, followed by lysosomal degradation [9]. The two identified signaling pathways that regulate autophagy are canonical and non-canonical and among 41 proteins, *ATG5* has been shown to play a pivotal role in both these pathways (ATG-refers to Autophagy regulated gene). Thus, ATG5 qualifies to be a targeted gene in autophagy gene editing assays. Further, *ATG5* has been demonstrated to regulate key aspects of the immune system and it also influences apoptosis.

Under normal circumstances, the baseline autophagy is observed during starvation or it could be induced by oxidative stress, immunological, ischemic and or toxic insults. The cytoprotective function of autophagy is seen to be jeopardized in several diseases and conditions. Association of autophagy has been shown in neurodegenerative diseases, cardiomyopathy, fatty liver, Type II diabetes mellitus, tumor formation, Crohn's disease, presentation of antigens and defense against intracellular pathogens [10-15].

### Role of autophagy in cancer

When compared to normal cells, the cancer cells exhibit greater dependency on autophagy owing to the changes at the cellular level and in energy demands. The molecular oxygen, carbon and nitrogen are the major ingredients for the highly proliferative cancer cells which depend on aerobic glycolysis and glutaminolysis. When there is restriction for the cancer cell to use glucose and glutamine, the cancer cell begins to promote autophagy mediated stress response.

Inhibition of autophagy could be an effective therapeutic strategy especially in advanced cancer. A profound understanding of the intricacies of autophagy modulation may help in designing clinical trial strategies and novel therapeutic interventions [16].

The family of tyrosine kinases (TKs) play important role in oncogenesis. The activation of oncogenic tyrosine kinases (OncTKs) and receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) result in autophagy modulation via PI3K/AKT/mTORC1 and RAS/MAPK signaling pathways. Although TKs and RTKs have been targeted in cancer treatment, drug resistance and disease relapse continue to be the major limitations with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Thus, use of TKIs and autophagy modulation are in the ongoing clinical trials for cancer therapy [17].

#### Points of clinical relevance:

 ATG 5 is an important regulator of autophagy and thus a potential therapeutic target Lipidated LC3-II protein and Rab9 activity are markers for autophagy progression

• ULK1 and Beclin 1 are significant regulators of autophagy

There are reported studies and clinical trials where anticancer drugs have been combined with modulators of autophagy [18-35]. (Tables 1 and 2).

Anticancer drugs	Autophagy modulators	Mechanism of action	Type of Cancer	References
968 Glutaminolysis	chloroquine (CQ)	Induction of apoptosis and sup- pression of proliferation	Non- small cell lung can- cer (NSCLC)	Han., et al. [18] 2017
CB839 Glutaminolysis	EErlotinib (TKI)	Impairing both cancer glucose and glutamine utilization, thus suppressing tumor growth	Non- small cell lung can- cer (NSCLC)	Momcilovic., et al. [19] 2017
BPTES Glutaminolysis	chloroquine (CQ)	Resulting in pronounced induction of apoptotic cell death	Pancreatic ductal adeno- carcinoma cells	Seo., et al. [20] 2016
Bafetinib A –(TKI)*	Autophagy inhibitor chloroquine (CQ) and 3-methyladenine (MA)	Enhanced cell death	BCR-ABL	Kamitsuji., <i>et al</i> . [21] 2008
Imatinib, dasatinib (TKIs)	CQ and Bafilomycin A1	Enhanced cell death	BCR-ABL	[22,23]
Imatinib (TKI)	3- MA	Enhanced cell death	BCR-ABL	Crowley., <i>et al</i> . [24] 2011
TAE-226 FAK inhibitor	Docetaxel (antimicrotu- bule agent)	Reduced microvessel density and tumor cell proliferation	Ovarian tumor	Halder., <i>et al</i> . [25] 2007
	Defactinib + Paclitaxel (mitotic inhibitor)	Synergistic inhibition of tumor cell proliferation/survival	Trail I/II Ovarian tumor	Vidal., et al. [26]2013

**Table 1:** Anticancer drugs combined with autophagy modulators in preclinical studies.

\*TKI: Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor

Drug/Combination therapy	Tumor type	Phase of clinical trial	Identifier (Ref)
Sirolimus + Hydroxychloroquine	Lymphangioleiomyomatosis (SAIL)	Phase I	NCT01687179 [27]
AZD6244 + Cetuximab	Solid Tumors and Colorectal Cancer	Phase I	NCT01287130 [28]
Erlotinib and Metformin	Triple-Negative Breast Cancer	Phase I	NCT01650506 [29]
Everolimus (mTOR blocker) + OSI- 906(selective inhibitor of IGF-1R)	Refractory Metastatic Colorectal Cancer	Phase Ib	NCT01154335 [30]
Temsirolimus + Interferon $\alpha$	Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma (ARCC)	Phase II	NCT00065468[31]
Everolimus (mTOR blocker) + Sorafenib	Thyroid Cancer	Phase II	NCT01141309 [32]
CB-839 (glutaminase inhibitor) + paclitaxel	Triple-negative breast cancer	Phase II	NCT03057600 [33]
HCQ (autophagy inhibitor) + bortezomib (proteasome inhibitor)	Relapsed refractory myeloma	Phase II	NCT00568880 [34]
CB-839 (glutaminase inhibitor) + Everolimus (mTOR blocker)	Renal Cell Carcinoma	Phase II	NCT03163667 [35]

Table 2: Clinical trials where autophagy modulators have been studied in combination with anticancer drugs in cancer therapy.

### Reverse Warburg effect and autophagy modulation

Warburg had proposed the concept of single compartment model wherein the cancer cells in tumor microenvironment maintain their energy requirements through aerobic glycolysis. Further, mitochondrial dysfunction results in metabolic reprogramming irrespective of the presence of oxygen resulting in lactagenesis. The so produced lactic acid in the extracellular matrix makes the tumor environment more acidic and favors growth of cancer cells. Thus, lactate shuttle has been marked as an essential component of cancer treatment [36].

However, in the tumor microenvironment the aerobic glycolysis occurring in the nearby stromal cells and or the cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) further facilitating the tumor growth has been termed the modified concept or Reverse Warburg effect. It is a two-compartment model with metabolic symbiosis between stromal cells/CAFs and the cancer cells [37].

Glucose is an important metabolite that is being used by the cancer cells for generating energy (ATP, Adenosine triphosphate). This energy leads to biomass formation, helps to regulate the redox state and many other such processes in the TME.

The catabolism of glucose into lactate has been reported to occur profoundly in the cancer cells, especially in CAFs with over-expression of lactate transporters. The oxidative stress induces interplay of various transcriptional activators (HIF- $1\alpha$ ), cell cycle regulators (Caveolin-1), tumor promoters and suppressors (TGF- $\beta$ ) in the TME, bringing out autophagy of stromal cells and transformation of cells into CAFs [38,39].

# Points of clinical relevance: [37-39].

- The overproduction of lactate during aerobic and anaerobic glycolysis in tumor microenvironment (TME) coupled with intratumor heterogeneity facilitates further tumor growth and offers resistance to chemotherapeutic agents.
- Lactate is the most important fuel in TME; lactate transporters form novel biomarkers and significant therapeutic targets.
- The oxidative stress created in TME induces autophagy of stromal cells.
- Autophagy plays dual role in TME; as tumor suppressor in early phase and as promoters of tumor growth in the later phase.
- The lactate shuttle and TME are being targeted for efficient anti-cancer therapies.

### Role of autophagy in oral squamous cell carcinoma

Autophagy in OSCC tumor microenvironment

The tumor microenvironment of oral carcinoma comprises of stromal cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) as chief non-immune cells and infiltration of immune cells.

The normal fibroblasts get transformed into CAFs through autocrine stimulation via oral carcinoma cell mediated nuclear factor kB (NFkB) dependent mechanism. OSCC cells release interleukin-  $1\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ) that activates NFkB in fibroblasts. Around tumor cells, the raised levels of platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), IL-1 $\beta$  or hypoxia would activate Janus Kinase (JAK)/STAT and NFkB pathways in CAFs; this may induce the release of chemokines (CCL2, and CCL7) and growth factors (EGF, Epidermal Growth Factor) or inhibit the release of few miRNAs (Eg. miR34a-5p). All these mediators would enhance proliferation of tumor cells and also facilitate epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) of cancer cells.

# Autophagy in tumor growth

In the initial stages of oral carcinoma, the long non-coding RNA FLJ22447 inhibits autophagy in CAFs, hindering the autophagic degradation of IL33. The raised levels of IL33 liberated from CAFs induce proliferation of oral carcinoma cells. These carcinoma cells enhance autophagy that would release IL1 $\beta$  that in turn would accelerate autophagy in CAFs mediated by NFkB dependent mechanism. The NFkB mediated autophagy in CAFs release chemokines, CCL7 that acts on OSCC cells, encouraging EMT and impede autophagy.

During advanced stage of oral carcinoma, autophagy decreases Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-dependent EMT. Thus, reduction of autophagy by CCL7 would facilitate TLR4-dependent EMT. Finally, the receptor activator of nuclear factor kB ligand (RANKL) released by OSCC cells induces autophagy in oral carcinoma cells and also promotes transformation of tumor associated macrophages (TAMs) into osteoclasts, fostering metastasis [40].

# MiRNAs as key modulators of autophagy in cancer

The MicroRNAs (miRNAs) represent single stranded, small noncoding portion of human genome and are 19-26 nucleotide long. These miRNAs regulate <30% of human genome at posttranscriptional and translational levels. Single miRNA modulates expression of multiple target genes; on the contrary multiple miRNAs may control single target gene expression. The miRNAs regulate cellular proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, autophagy in health and disease including cancer. Some miRNAs may exhibit oncogenic role while others act as tumor suppressors [41].

Many miRNAs regulate the expression of autophagy related

proteins and genes in cancer. These miRNAs influence the various phenomena such as cancer cell proliferation, metabolism, oxidative stress, apoptosis, invasion, metastasis and response to cancer treatment. A lot of autophagy-influencing miRNAs have been identified as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in cancer research.<sup>42</sup> Few such reported examples have been mentioned here

- The miR-519d-3p reported to be downregulated in cervical cancer causes cell cycle arrest, inhibits cell proliferation, promotes apoptosis and autophagy via hypoxia-inducible factor- $2\alpha$  (HIF- $2\alpha$ ) [43].
- In gastric cancer, the cell viability and growth are inhibited by miR-532-3p that binds to the 3'-UTR of RAB3IP, modulating the amount of autophagosomes and LC3-II expression [44].
- In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, acting as a tumor suppressor- the miR-382 has been shown to inhibit mTOR and 4E-BP1 thus promoting apoptosis and autophagy; the overexpression of this miR has manifested with cancer cell invasion, migration and epithelial-mesenchymal transition [45].
- In papillary thyroid cancer, the under expression of miR-524-5p had shown enhancement of autophagy and inhibition of cancer cell survival, invasion, migration and apoptosis via ITGA3 and FOXE1 [46].
- Some of the miRNAs influencing autophagy in cancer therapy include miR-17-5p, miR-32, miR138-5p and many more. In glioma cells, the miR-17-5p has been reported to inhibit autophagy by targeting Beclin 1 thereby enhancing radiosensitivity of cancer cells [47]. The miR-32 was shown to activate autophagy via the tumour-suppressor gene DAB2 interacting protein (DAB2IP) so as to increase cancer cell survival and decrease radiosensitivity of prostate cancer cells [48].
- The miR-101 was reported to suppress autophagy via RA-B5A, STMN1 and ATG4D in HCC cell line HepG2. Further, the miR-101 had shown increased apoptosis induced by cisplatin in the HepG2 cell line [49].

As the effects of specific miRNA regulated autophagy gene remains one –sided, multidimensional studies with extensive public databases looking at various potential miRNA associated autophagy genes may help in understanding the complex nature of these intricate mechanisms.

## **Conclusion**

Autophagy is characterized by the formation of double membrane vesicles referred to as autophagosomes. These autophagosomes seclude the cargo to be degraded and fuse with lysosomes to bring about lysosomal breakdown of this cargo. Autophagy plays a

binary role on oral carcinoma. The knowledge on autophagy and its complex molecular interconnectedness with cellular metabolism is becoming rapidly expanding owing to the emerging preclinical and clinical studies.

In exploiting as a cancer therapeutic adjunct, the cargo receptors and selective autophagy have been the major focus that could pave the way towards newer therapeutic approaches.

Known to act as a double edged-sword, the role of autophagy in the treatment of cancer and in specific oral cancer requires multicentric comprehensive clinical studies with follow up.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the valuable inputs given by Dr. Ramakant Nayak, Principal, Maratha Mandal's NGH Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Centre Belagavi, India and Dr. KS Gopinath, Consultant Surgical Oncologist Bengaluru, India.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

No financial interest or any conflict of interest exists.

### **Bibliography**

- https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/oral-health
- Georgaki M., et al. "Understanding the complex pathogenesis of oral cancer: A comprehensive review". Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology 132.5 (2021): 566-579.
- 3. Yang Z and Klionsky DJ. "Eaten alive: a history of macroautophagy". *Nature Cell Biology* 12.9 (2010): 814-822.
- Lampada A., et al. "mTORC1-independent autophagy regulates receptor tyrosine kinase phosphorylation in colorectal cancer cells via an mTORC2-mediated mechanism". Cell Death and Differentiation 24 (2017): 1045-1062.
- Tsukada M and Ohsumi Y. "Isolation and characterization of autophagy-defective mutants of *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*" 333.1-2 (1993): 169-174.
- 6. Mizushima N., *et al.* "Autophagosome formation in mammalian cells". *Cell Structure and Functions* 27.6 (2002): 421-429.
- 7. Li WW., et al. "Microautophagy: lesser-known self-eating". *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 69.7 (2012): 1125-1136.

- 8. Cuervo AM and Wong E. "Chaperone-mediated autophagy: roles in disease and aging". *Cell Research* 24.1 (2014): 92-104.
- 9. Yoshii SR and Mizushima N. "Monitoring and measuring autophagy". *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 18.9 (2017): 1865.
- Nishida Y., et al. "Discovery of Atg5/Atg7-independent alternative macroautophagy". Nature 461.7264 (2009): 654-658.
- 11. Kabeya Y., *et al.* "LC3, GABARAP and GATE16 localize to autophagosomal membrane depending on form-II formation". *Journal of Cell Science* 117.Pt 13 (2004): 2805-2812.
- 12. Klionsky DJ., *et al.* "Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (4th edition)". *Autophagy* 17.1 (2021): 1-382.
- Ye X., et al. "Exploring the Role of Autophagy-Related Gene 5 (ATG5) Yields Important Insights Into Autophagy in Autoimmune/Autoinflammatory Diseases". Frontiers in Immunology 9 (2018): 2334.
- Tanida I. "Autophagosome formation and molecular mechanism of autophagy". Antioxidants and Redox Signaling 14.11 (2011): 2201-2214.
- 15. Codogno P., *et al.* "Canonical and non-canonical autophagy: variations on a common theme of self-eating?" *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 13 (2012): 7-12.
- 16. Amaravadi RK., *et al.* "Targeting Autophagy in Cancer: Recent Advances and Future Directions". *Cancer Discovery* 9.9 (2019): 1167-1181.
- 17. Salimi-Jeda A., et al. "Autophagy Modulation and Cancer Combination Therapy: A Smart Approach in Cancer Therapy". Cancer Treatment and Research Communications 30 (2022): 100512.
- Han T., et al. "A novel glutaminase inhibitor-968 inhibits the migration and proliferation of non-small cell lung cancer cells by targeting EGFR/ERK signaling pathway". Oncotarget 8.17 (2017): 28063-28073.
- Momcilovic M., et al. "Targeted inhibition of EGFR and glutaminase induces metabolic crisis in EGFR mutant lung cancer". Cell Reports 18.3 (2017): 601-610.
- Seo JW., et al. "Autophagy is required for PDAC glutamine metabolism". Scientific Reports 6 (2016): 37594.

- 21. Kamitsuji Y., *et al.* "The Bcr-Abl kinase inhibitor INNO-406 induces autophagy and different modes of cell death execution in Bcr-Abl-positive leukemias, Cell death and differentiation 15.11 (2008): 1712-1722.
- Bellodi C., et al. "Targeting autophagy potentiates tyrosine kinase inhibitor-induced cell death in Philadelphia chromosome-positive cells, including primary CML stem cells". Journal of Clinical Investigation 119.5 (2009): 1109-1123.
- 23. Salomoni P and Calabretta B. "Targeted therapies and autophagy: new insights from chronic myeloid leukemia". *Autophagy* 5.7 (2009): 1050-1051.
- 24. Crowley LC., et al. "Autophagy induction by Bcr-Abl-expressing cells facilitates their recovery from a targeted or nontargeted treatment". American Journal of Hematology 86.1 (2011): 38-47.
- 25. Halder J., *et al.* "Therapeutic efficacy of a novel focal adhesion kinase inhibitor TAE226 in ovarian carcinoma". *Cancer Research* 67.22 (2007): 10976-10983.
- Vidal CM., et al. "Abstract C271: FAK inhibitor defactinib (VS-6063) enhances the efficacy of paclitaxel and preferentially targets ovarian cancer stem cells". AACR (2013).
- 27. El-Chemaly S., *et al.* "Sirolimus and Autophagy Inhibition in Lymphangioleiomyomatosis: Results of a Phase I Clinical Trial". *Chest* 151.6 (2017): 1302-1310.
- Deming DA., et al. "A phase I study of selumetinib (AZD6244/ ARRY-142866), a MEK1/2 inhibitor, in combination with cetuximab in refractory solid tumors and KRAS mutant colorectal cancer". *Investigational New Drugs* 34.2 (2016): 168-175.
- 29. Fenn K., *et al.* "Phase 1 Study of Erlotinib and Metformin in Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer". *Clinical Breast Cancer* 20.1 (2020): 80-86.
- 30. Bendell JC., et al. "A phase Ib study of linsitinib (OSI-906), a dual inhibitor of IGF-1R and IR tyrosine kinase, in combination with everolimus as treatment for patients with refractory metastatic colorectal cancer". *Investigational New Drugs* 33.1 (2015): 187-193.
- 31. Hudes G., et al. "Global ARCC Trial. Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma". *The New England Journal of Medicine* 356.22 (2007): 2271-2281.

- Sherman EJ., et al. "Combination of everolimus and sorafenib in the treatment of thyroid cancer: Update on phase II study. Meeting Abstract: 2015 ASCO Annual Meeting I". Journal of Clinical Oncology 33.15 (2019).
- 33. Vidal G., *et al.* "Efficacy and safety of CB-839, a small molecule inhibitor of glutaminase, in combination with paclitaxel in patients with advanced triple negative breast cancer (TNBC): Initial findings from a multicenter, open-label phase 2 study". *Cancer Research* 79.4 (2019): P6-20-07.
- 34. Vogl DT., *et al.* "Combined autophagy and proteasome inhibition: a phase 1 trial of hydroxychloroquine and bortezomib in patients with relapsed/refractory myeloma". *Autophagy* 10.8 (2014): 1380-1390.
- Lee CH., et al. "Telaglenastat plus Everolimus in Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma: A Randomized, Double-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled, Phase II ENTRATA Trial". Clinical Cancer Research 2.15 (2022): 3248-3255.
- 36. Danhier P., et al. "Cancer metabolism in space and time: Beyond the Warburg effect". Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Bioenergetics 1858 (2017): 556-572.
- 37. Spencer NY and Stanton RC. "The Warburg Effect, Lactate, and Nearly a Century of Trying to Cure Cancer". *Seminars in Nephrology* (2019).
- 38. Crisp AH., *et al.* "Lactate and Monocarboxylate Transporters (MCTs): A Review of Cellular Aspects". *Journal of Exercise Physiology* 18 (2015): 1-13.
- Mordhorst BR., et al. "Pharmacologic Reprogramming Designed to Induce a Warburg Effect in Porcine Fetal Fibroblasts
   Alters Gene Expression and Quantities of Metabolites from
   Conditioned Media Without Increased Cell Proliferation". Cellular Reprogramming (2018).
- 40. Peña-Oyarzún D., et al. "Role of Autophagy in the Microenvironment of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma". Frontiers in Oncology 10 (2020): 602661.
- 41. Rupaimoole R and Slack FJ. "MicroRNA therapeutics: towards a new era for the management of cancer and other diseases". *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* 16 (2017): 203-222.
- 42. Shan C., et al. "The Emerging Roles of Autophagy-Related MicroRNAs in Cancer". *International Journal of Biological Sciences* 17.1 (2021): 134-150.

- 43. Jiang L., *et al.* "MicroRNA-519d-3p Inhibits Proliferation and Promotes Apoptosis by Targeting HIF-2alpha in Cervical Cancer Under Hypoxic Conditions". *Oncology Research* 26 (2018): 1055-1062.
- 44. Guo W., et al. "Promotion of Cell Proliferation through Inhibition of Cell Autophagy Signalling Pathway by Rab3IP is Restrained by MicroRNA-532-3p in Gastric Cancer". *Journal of Cancer* 9 (2018): 4363-4373.
- 45. Feng J., et al. "miR-382 functions as a tumor suppressor against esophageal squamous cell carcinoma". World Journal of Gastroenterology 23 (2017): 4243-4251.
- 46. Liu H., et al. "MicroRNA-524-5p suppresses the progression of papillary thyroid carcinoma cells via targeting on FOXE1 and ITGA3 in cell autophagy and cycling pathways". Journal of Cellular Physiology 234 (2019): 18382-18391.
- 47. Hou W., *et al.* "Inhibition of Beclin-1-Mediated Autophagy by MicroRNA-17-5p Enhanced the Radiosensitivity of Glioma Cells". *Oncology Research* 25.1 (2017): 43-53.
- 48. Liao H., *et al.* "microRNA-32 induces radio resistance by targeting DAB2IP and regulating autophagy in prostate cancer cells". *Oncology Letters* 10 (2015): 2055-2062.
- 49. Xu Y., et al. "miR-101 inhibits autophagy and enhances cisplatin-induced apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells". Oncology Reports 29.5 (2013): 2019-2024.