

ACTA SCIENTIFIC DENTAL SCIENCES (ISSN: 2581-4893)

Volume 4 Issue 2 February 2020

Case Report

Guided Bone Regeneration and Guided Tissue Regeneration in Maxillary Aesthetic Zone - Reconstruction of Post-Traumatic Defect in A Single Procedure

Capobianco TTR1*, Yamashita C2 and Gorny Júnior CL3

¹Specialist in Implant Dentistry, Specialist in Periodontics and Specialist in Endodontics; SOEPAR Educational Center, Herrero College, Brazil

²Doctor of Dentistry, FOUSP; Professor SOEPAR Educational Center, Professor Unicesumar, Brazil

³Master's in Clinical Dentistry and Specialist in Implant Dentistry, Positivo University, Brazil

*Corresponding Author: Charanjeet Ahluwalia, Professor, Department of Pathology, VMMC and Safdarjung Hospital, Delhi, India.

DOI: 10.31080/ASDS.2020.04.0772

Received: December 23, 2019
Published: January 30, 2020

© All rights are reserved by Capobianco

TTR., et al.

Abstract

Vertical regeneration of resorbed alveolar ridges in aesthetic areas is a challenging procedure with several anatomic limitations. To allow immediate implant placement with less interference in tissue aesthetics, detailed planning is needed. A few methods of bone reconstruction have been suggested to be performed simultaneous with implant placement, for example given in fresh extraction sockets, guided bone regeneration ensures bone formation in the peri-implant spaces and function as a framework for tissue remodeling. Combining the use of a resorbable membrane as a barrier during tissue regeneration, dehiscences are prevented, and buccal bone resorption is reduced more effectively. In the present case report, a male patient presented with severe root exposure in the anterior region of the maxilla due to post-traumatic resorption of the buccal plate.

The study aimed to demonstrate the use a technique of association between pedicled roll flap and interpositional bone graft with the objective of making the bone resorption region uniform in a single procedure and improving the aesthetic results. After opening an intrasulcular flap with care taken to preserve the keratinized mucosa on both sides of the incision, a full-thickness mucoperiosteal flap was reflected on the buccal side, and a pouch was created on the palatal side to place the membrane, allowing the performance of an atraumatic extraction. Using the interproximal bone plates as depth reference and the adjacent teeth for three-dimensional positioning, the implant was inserted with apical anchorage. For the collection of the tissue graft, a straight palatal incision was made to remove the subepithelial tissue, which was divided to harvest a connective tissue graft. To overcome treatment limitations, such as poor blood supply, it was chosen to maintain the connective tissue pedicled, and a subperiosteal soft tissue pocket was created at the deformed ridge interface. The combination of two types of grafts was applied, autogenous particulate bone graft associated with xenogenous bone graft (BioOss®) covered with resorbable collagen membrane (BioGide®). Allied to this technique, aiming to improve tissue regeneration of the aesthetic zone and soft tissue volume with keratinized tissue gain, the subepithelial connective tissue flap was then reflected and rotated, traversed through the pouch to cover the defect and increase the soft tissue thickness.

The results demonstrated an increase in bone thickness, confirming the efficacy of guided bone regeneration using composite bone graft (autogenous bone graft and anorganic bovine bone graft [BioOss®] along with resorbable collagen membrane [BioGide®]). A substantial gain in tissue thickness was also observed, showing the quality of local blood supply maintenance. This combined technique may mean a new approach to the use of the roll flap in association with interpositional grafting in order to reduce the number of surgical interventions and obtain more favorable aesthetic results.

Keywords: Reconstructive Surgical Procedures; Alveolar Ridge Augmentation; Guided Bone Regeneration; Guided Tissue Regeneration; Immediate Dental Implant Loading

Introduction

Satisfying the high oral aesthetic needs, a harmonious relationship between teeth and the surrounding soft tissues is

crucial. However, alveolar ridge defects can be caused by trauma or advanced periodontal disease, which negatively modifies the periodontal profile of emergency, and the prolonged length of the clinical crown with black spaces [1]. Vertical regeneration of resorbed alveolar ridges, when associated with tooth loss in anatomical limitations, is a challenging procedure for dental implant placement.

Numerous techniques for bone height gain have been proposed, even in cases with limited support and reduced blood nutrition. One of the main principles of regenerative and augmenting regenerative procedures is space maintenance in a rigid support system. Even so, he may not be able to maintain his desired shape due to the forces placed on it, resulting a bone growth below the desired [2]. Some of these reconstruction methods have been performed with implant placement to ensure maintenance of bone volume and formation in the peri-implant spaces [3].

The aim of this study was to demonstrate the combination of two types of grafts to overcome this problem. A technique of association between pedicled roll flap and interposition bone graft with the objective of making the bone resorption region uniform in a single procedure and improving the aesthetic results. Seeking to improve blood supply, bone regeneration of resorbed alveolar structures and increase in soft tissue volume with keratinized tissue gain.

Case Report

A male patient presented with severe root exposure in the anterior region of the maxilla due to post-traumatic resorption of the buccal plate. Throughout the anamnesis, the patient reported not having systemic diseases, being a smoker for more than 10 years, and having suffered trauma in this region a few years earlier. Intra-oral examination revealed severe periodontal deficiency in the tooth 11 (upper central incisor – right), with 6 mm recession (Seibert Class III alveolar ridge defect). Upon clinical examination, after removal of orthodontic braces, used for splinting the dental elements, they presented increased mobility. In a periodontal survey, a vestibular intrasulcular bag with 9 mm depth was found and regressed at 6 mm deep in the mesium and distobuccal walls (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Initial clínical image.

Planning

Analyzing the bone level of the adjacent teeth and the condition of the donor site, one single surgical plan was established: Atraumaticextraction of element 11 and immediate implant cone morse with bone graft protected by a reasorbable membrane, associated with a pedicled roll flap with keratinized mucosa of the palate; Temporary crown in immediate aesthetics, splattered to adjacent elements.

Prior to surgery, the patient had antisepsis of the oral cavity with chlorhexidine digluconate at 0.12%. After disinfection, the area subjected to surgery was anesthetized in regional block by infiltration with Articaine at 4% and adrenaline 1: 100,000 (Articaine® - DFL Indústria e Comércio Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). Firstly, intra-sulcular incisions using scalpel blade No. 15C were performed on teeth 13, 12, 11, 21, 22 and 23; taking care of preserving the keratinized mucosa on both sides of the incision. A full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was reflected on the buccal side and the palatal side to insert the membrane (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Clinical image after intrasulcular incision and detachment of total flap.

Due to reabsorption of the buccal wall, during tooth extraction, a surgical instrument - Periotomo was used to facilitate root removal and reduce trauma to the adjacent bone (Figure 3). Confirming the total loss of the mesiobuccal bone wall, it was necessary to empty the nasopalatine foramen with total removal of the tissue to position the implant properly and search a primary stabilization (Figure 4). The cone morse implant was installed with a palatal approach, having as reference the mesial and distal bone level of the adjacent elements.

A palatal incision was performed to remove the subepithelial tissue, which was divided (Figure 5) and then made parallel to the first incision in the same management as harvesting, a pedicled roll flap with keratinized mucosa, it was used of connective tissue graft. A soft tissue subperiosteal pouch was created at the edge interface (Figure 6). The subepithelial connective tissue flap was then reflected and rotated through the pocket to cover the defect and



Figure 3: Clinical image after exodontia.



Figure 4: Clinical image after total tissue curettage.



Figure 5: Clinical image after implant installation and palatine incision.



Figure 6: Clinical image of pedicled connective tissue.

increase soft tissue thickness. Since only one flap of subepithelial connective tissue was removed, the palatal wound at the donor site could be closed by first intention.

After finishing roll procedure, the receiving site was prepared and a graft containing Bio Oss® with spongy granules (0.25 -1.0 mm) was inserted over the entire vestibular surgical site (Figure 7). A measurement of the approximate membrane length and width required was obtained using a periodontal probe and sterile leaf cut to the defect size. A barrier membrane (Bio Gide®) was inserted around the graft, to prevent growing epithelium to the intact bone walls and bleeding points was induced, to increase blood supply (Figure 8).



Figure 7: Clinical image after placement of BioOss®.



Figure 8: Clinical image after the placement of the membrane BioGide®.

The flap was coronally repositioned for complete wound coverage without tension (Figure 9), then the gingival graft was adapted and held in position with an interrupted suture. For best results, the gingival margins were closely adapted and sutured, allowing the membrane to be covered and primary healing of the surgical wound without tension (Figure 10). Primary closure was then obtained using a non-resorbable monofilament (Vicryl, Ethicon, 4.0). Full coverage was performed with periodontal surgical cement (COE-PAK, GC), then a temporary crown was installed.

The patient received a 500 mg amoxicillin drug prescription, 3 times a day for 7 days; ibuprofen 400 mg, 3 times daily for 3 days; and chlorhexidine 0.12% as a mouthwash, twice a day for 2 weeks.



Figure 9: Clinical image of the gingival graft being placed in position.



Figure 10: Clinical image after suture.

Results and Discussion

Exposure of the root surface when the edge of the gum (gingiva) moves apically away from the crown of the tooth. Resorption of the tooth-supporting bone associate with the loss of periodontal tissue, may be caused by destructive periodontal diseases or by injury. The treatment of the underlying periodontal disease and the seeding of periodontal ligament cells, involving procedures for enhancing and directing tissue repair and renewal processes The surgically implanting growth conducive tracks or conduits at the damaged site, enable the creating of new attachment, to stimulate and control the location of cell repopulation and repair is achieved by guiding the progenitor cells.

To reproduce in the desired location, blocking contact with surrounding tissue, substitutes like gingival grafts or membranes has been used to cover extraction cavities since 1994. Those techniques for enhancing and directing cell growth, to repopulate specific parts of the periodontium damaged, have some difficulties like their blood supply [4]. The pedicled connective tissue flap technique of the palate seeks to improve local blood supply and provide graft containment, serving as a membrane barrier for bone regeneration processes. Although the hypothesis that this relationship could increase the bone graft barrier and conduction capacity, this case report was performed with the addition of a resorbable barrier membrane.

Gingival recession is closely related to the vertical reduction of the buccal bone plate, so preserving this structure is key to a long-term reliable outcome, making the bone graft procedure necessary to increase soft tissue thickness and compensate for bone resorption [5]. This combined technique may mean a new approach to using the pedicled flap in combination with interpositional graft, reducing surgery time and obtaining favorable aesthetic results with improved tissue levels and gingival contour recovery, but the patient's postoperative care and hygiene will define the long-term results of the interventions undertaken.

The desired contour of the interdental papilla between the maxillary central incisors and upper left lateral incisor, although maintained, was not fully recovered in this case (Figure 11). This was predicted to be a possible result of improper flap design that the adjacent interdental papilla was not completely enclosed within the flap, or by exposure with a membrane collapse, and even poor patient hygiene during the pre and postoperative periods.



Figure 11: Clinical image of post-surgical follow-up 10 days.

Defects in the alveolar walls, associated or not with gingival recessions, require stable surgical techniques to restore their anatomy, and immediate function implants in these cases for some authors are contraindicated [6]. For those, the use of autogenous particulate bone associated with xenogenous bone graft (BioOss®) covered by collagen membrane (BioGide®) has been shown to be an excellent treatment option for these defects [7], information that agrees with the methodology applied in this case report. The study results demonstrated an increase in bone width (Figure 12 and 13), proving the effectiveness of guided bone regeneration using composite bone graft (autogenous bone graft and inorganic bovine bone graft (Bio Oss®).) However, successful rehabilitation with implants dental surgery depends on some morphological characteristics of the alveolar bone.

For Buser, *et al.* [7], immediate or early implant installation in compromised alveoli is considered a complex procedure. However, implant placement at the time of tooth extraction or early is currently increasingly common due to the aesthetic appeal and rapid resolution of the case requested by patients, in addition to the attempt to preserve maximum bone height and width.



Figure 12: Clinical image of vestibular volume in the postoperative period 90 days.



Figure 13: Clinical imaging of postoperative follow-up after 6 months.

However, one of the walls of the socket, especially the buccal, is often absent after implantation in fresh or early healing alveolar bone. For this, the use of autogenous particulate bone associated with xenogenous bone graft (Bio Oss®) covered by collagen membrane (Bio Gide®) has been shown to be an excellent treatment option for these defects [7-15]. The results of these study confirmed an increase in bone thickness, confirming the efficacy of guided bone regeneration using composite bone graft (autogenous bone graft and bovine bone graft [Bio Oss®] along with resorbable collagen membrane [Bio Gide®]). A substantial gain in tissue thickness was also observed, showing the quality of local blood supply maintenance. This combined technique may mean a new approach to the use of the roll flap in association with interpositional grafting in order to reduce the number of surgical interventions and obtain more favorable aesthetic results.

Conclusion

Successful peri-implant regenerative treatments require areas of stable soft tissue, primary implant stability, and good blood supply at the surgical site. In this case, damage to the buccal bone wall found at the time of implant placement represented a significant risk factor. Connective tissue grafting is known to have a high degree of clinical success when performed on dental surfaces,

but it is a delicate procedure involving periodontal support tissues, with different characteristics from peri-implant tissues, therefore, its results on implants cannot be guaranteed. Although there are no precise criteria for selecting soft tissue graft or hard tissue graft, the extent of the alveolar ridge defect, the state of the donor site, whether or not the implant will be installed, and especially the patient's hygiene conditions, should be considered comprehensively.

Acknowledgements

I thank Dr. Delia B Reichenbach who really taught me the quality in dentistry and inspired to be a better professional seeking more skills and knowledge. I am grateful to the teachers at the SOEPAR Educational Center, in addition to the co-authors of the case, Dr. Fabiano S. Melo and Dr. Humberto Swartz who encouraged, guided and taught me many of the techniques I use today in my clinical routine. Thanks also to the colleagues who assisted me in the planning and execution of the case, especially Dr. Simone Pam.

Bibliography

- McDermott NE., et al. "Complications of dental implants: identification, frequency and associated risk factors". The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants 18.6 (2003): 848-855.
- Sheikh Z., et al. "Bone Replacement Materials and Techniques Used for Achieving Vertical Alveolar Bone Augmentation". Materials (Basel) 8.6 (2015): 2953-2993.
- Ribeiro CG., et al. "An Alternative Approach for Augmenting the Anterior Maxilla Using Autogenous Free Gingival Bone Graft for Implant Retained Prosthesis". Journal of Oral Implantology 40.2 (2014): 183-187.
- Tolstunov L. "Classification of the Alveolar Ridge Width: Implant-Driven Treatment Considerations for the Horizontally Deficient Alveolar Ridges". *Journal of Oral Implantology* 40.1 (2014): 365-370.
- 5. Grunder U., *et al.* "Crestal ridge width changes when placing implants at the time of tooth extraction with and without soft tissue augmentation after a healing period of 6 months: Report of 24 consecutive cases". *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry* 31.1 (2011): 9-17.
- Chen ST., et al. "Immediate or early placement of implants following tooth extraction: review of biologic basis, clinical procedures, and outcomes". The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants 19 (2004): 12-25.

- Buser D., et al. "Optimizing esthetics for implant restorations in the anterior maxilla: anatomic and surgical considerations". The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants 19 (2004): 43-61.
- 8. Ayub LG., *et al.* "Guided bone regeneration and their therapeutic applications". *Brazilian Journal of Periodontology* 21.4 (2011): 24-31.
- 9. Chen ST., et al. "A prospective clinical study of nonsubmerged immediate implants: Clinical outcomes and esthetic results". Clinical Oral Implants Research 18.5 (2007): 552-562.
- Le BT and Borzabadi-Farahani A. "Simultaneous implant placement and bone grafting with particulate mineralized allograft in sites with buccal wall defects, a three-year follow-up and review of literature". *Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery* 42.5 (2014): 552-559.
- 11. Oh Sang-Chun., *et al.* "Combined application of roll flap and combination onlay-interpositional graft to enhance esthetics of maxillary anterior fixed partial denture: A case report". *The Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics* 8.1 (2016): 70-74.
- Reddy PK., et al. "Long palatal connective tissue rolled pedicle graft with demineralized freeze-dried bone allograft plus platelet-rich fibrin combination: A novel technique for ridge augmentation Three case reports". *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology* 19.2 (2015): 227-231.
- 13. Sanctis M., et al. "Immediate implants at fresh extraction sockets: bone healing in four different implant systems". *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 36.8 (2009): 705-711.
- 14. Seibert JS and Cohen DW. "Periodontal considerations in preparations for fixed and removable prosthodontics". *Dental Clinics of North America* 31.3 (1987): 529-555.
- 15. Seibert JS and Louis JV. "Soft tissue ridge augmentation utilizing a combination onlay interproximal graft procedures: a case report". *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry* 16.4 (1996): 310-321.

Assets from publication with us

- Prompt Acknowledgement after receiving the article
- · Thorough Double blinded peer review
- Rapid Publication
- · Issue of Publication Certificate
- · High visibility of your Published work

Website: www.actascientific.com/

Submit Article: www.actascientific.com/submission.php

Email us: editor@actascientific.com Contact us: +91 9182824667