



Dental Disorders Impact and Influence on Self-Esteem Levels Among Teenagers

Darshit Dhanani and Yamini Kaul*

Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics, India

*Corresponding Author: Yamini Kaul, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics, India.

Received: May 31, 2017; Published: June 17, 2017

Abstract

Introduction: Self-esteem is more of a psychological concept therefore, even the common dental disorders like dental trauma, tooth loss and untreated carious lesions may affect the self-esteem thus influencing the quality of life. Thus, this study aims to assess the impact of dental disorders among the teenagers on their self-esteem level.

Materials and Methods: The cross-sectional study was conducted among 12 to 18 years teenagers. Multistage sampling technique was used to obtain a representative sample. WHO type III examination was used for the oral health assessment and self-esteem was estimated using the Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale score (RSES). The descriptive and inferential analysis of the data was done by using IBM SPSS software. Logistic and linear regression analysis was executed to test the individual association of different independent clinical variables with self-esteem.

Results: In the present study, mean age and RSES scores were 14.21 ± 2.12 and 26.09 ± 3.39 respectively. Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis was applied and best predictors in relation to RSES in the descending order were Dental Health Component (DHC) and Aesthetic Component (AC) respectively.

Conclusion: It was found that various dental causes a profound impact on aesthetics and psychosocial behaviour of adolescents, thus affecting their self-esteem.

Keywords: Dental caries; Malocclusion; Tooth loss

Introduction

The vast majority of the world's teenagers (88 percent) live in developing countries. Teenagers in contrast to children [1,2] or adults [3] appear to be characterized more by the absence than by the presence of class gradients in health. Oral health in teenager's patient is recognized as having distinctive needs [4] due to a potentially high caries rate, increased risk for traumatic injury, an increased aesthetic desire and awareness and unique social and psychological needs [5]. Social psychology is affected by the physical appearances, self-concept and social acceptance of individuals. It is being claimed that one major constituent of self-concept is self-esteem [6]. Self-esteem can be understood as sum of one's self confidence, self-worth and self-respect [4,7]. The individual's health along with other influencing factors plays a vital role in building the self-esteem dimension. Oral health being an integral part of general health can also influence the level

of self-esteem, which has been widely recorded with help of RSES. The facial features and appearance plays a major role towards self-perceived appearance [8,9]. Among adolescents social relationship is directly dependent on physical attractiveness [10] hence aesthetic alteration can have a direct impact on self-esteem and ultimately quality of life [11,12]. However, there are very limited studies which provide us with an evidence to suggest that self-esteem is enhanced after orthodontic treatment [13,14]. As self-esteem is more of a psychological concept therefore, even the common dental disorders like dental trauma, tooth loss and untreated carious lesions may affect the self-esteem which may further influence the quality of life of an individual. As adolescence is a foundation stone for further avenues in life, thus, this study aims to assess the impact of dental disorders among the adolescents on their self-esteem level.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted among 10 to 17 years adolescents in cross-sectional design for which the ethical clearance was obtained from Ethical Review Board of College of Dental Sciences, Davangeree. The required cluster of adolescent population was targeted from the children enrolled in various schools; written consent was taken from the administrators of the selected schools and the guardians of the students for the research. In order to obtain a representative sample, multi-stage sampling technique was used, for which the Davangeree city was divided into four different zones (i.e., north, south, east and west) in first stage. Later, four wards were selected randomly from each zone. From each selected ward a school was selected, making the initial number of selected school to 16. Out of 16 total schools, two schools refused to participate, giving an initial school participation rate of 87.5%. To ensure that the sample remained representative for the population, an appropriate replacement of the schools was done.

Oral health assessment was carried out among a total of 1884 students aged 12 to 18 years from the selected schools. Among them 1258 students were diagnosed with either of the dental disorders such as dental caries, dental trauma, missing teeth and malocclusion, were further send an invitation consisting of written consent for participation in the next segment of the present study. The selected students who could not obtain the parental consent or undergoing orthodontic treatment or suffering from systemic ailments were excluded from the study. Considering the exclusions final sample size was 1140, that went through a detailed intraoral examination followed by questionnaire related to self-esteem. Intraoral examination was performed by two calibrated examiners. WHO type III examination was carried out under natural light using mouth mirrors and sharp probes [15]. The intra oral examination comprised of: a) All maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth from canine to canine were examined for traumatic injury using a modified version of Ellis's classification [16]. b) Number of missing teeth, location of missing teeth (maxillary and/or mandible), and zone of missing teeth to be replaced (masticatory and/or aesthetic) were examined. The aesthetic zone was defined as incisors, canines and 1st premolars in the upper jaw and incisors and canines in the lower. The masticatory zone was defined as the 2nd premolars and the 1st and 2nd molars in the upper jaw and both premolars and 1st and 2nd molars in the lower jaw [17]. c) Number, location (maxillary and/

or mandible) and zone of untreated carious lesion (masticatory and/or aesthetic) was examined using WHO criteria [18]. d) Index of Orthodontic Treatment Need (IOTN) index [19] was used for assessment of malocclusion. Both the Dental Health Component (DHC) and the Aesthetic Component (AC) of the IOTN were recorded by the author who had previously been calibrated in the use of the IOTN. The DHC of the IOTN ranks malocclusions according to the severity of various occlusal traits into five grades. Grades 1 and 2 represent no or little need, Grade 3 a borderline need, and Grades 4 and 5 a definite need for treatment. The AC of the IOTN consists of 10 coloured photographs with different levels of dental attractiveness ranked from the most attractive (Grade 1) to the least attractive (Grade 10). Grades 1 - 4 represent no or little aesthetic need, Grades 5 - 7 borderline aesthetic need, and Grades 8 - 10 definite aesthetic need for orthodontic treatment [20]. To ensure the diagnostic reliability, the process of calibrating the two examiners for the clinical conditions was conducted by a Gold Standard examiner before the main study was carried out. The training session consisted of evaluating 30 adolescents, for diagnosing and recording dental disorders (dental trauma, tooth loss, untreated carious lesion or malocclusion). The inter examiner Kappa values of 0.91 and 0.84 were obtained for the two examiners. After the intra oral examination, the RSES was distributed among the students with a prior detailed description of the inventory in regional language for better understanding. The RSES scale [21] consists of 10 items regarding self-esteem. Each item was rated on a 4-point response scale, 1 being 'strongly agree' and 4 'strongly disagree'. Five items were positively worded (item 1, 3, 4, 7, 10), and 5 were negatively worded (item 2, 5, 6, 8, 9). The scores for the positively worded items were inverted in the analysis so that a score of 1 ('strongly agree') was set to 4. Addition of the item scores gave an overall score from 10 - 40; with higher score indicating higher self-esteem [22].

Statistical Analysis

The descriptive and inferential analysis of the data was done by using IBM SPSS. Statistics Windows, Version 20.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Logistic and linear regression analysis was executed to test the individual association of different independent clinical variables with self-esteem. The effect of each independent variable was assessed adjusting for that of all others in the model.

Results

Mean RSES score among adolescent subjects was found to be 26. A total of 172 subjects had trauma in their anterior teeth, among these most of them had Ellis class 1 trauma (11.2%). Maxillary teeth loss

(4.30%) was found to be more as compared to mandibular with most them falling in the category of aesthetic zone (4.04%). Untreated carious lesions were maximum in masticatory zone of mandibular region as compared to maxillary (Table 1).

Variables	N (%)
Gender	
Male	496 (43.5)
Female	644 (56.5)
Anterior Traumatic Tooth	172 (15.09)
Ellis class 1	128 (11.2)
Ellis class 2	40 (3.5)
Ellis class 3	4 (0.4)
Tooth Loss	80 (7.02)
Tooth Loss Location	
Maxillary	49 (4.30)
Mandible	31 (2.72)
Zone of Tooth Loss	
Masticatory	34 (2.98)
Aesthetic	46 (4.04)
Untreated Carious Lesion	568 (49.82)
Decay Location	
Maxillary	208 (18.25)
Mandible	516 (45.26)
Decay Zone	
Masticatory	497 (43.60)
Aesthetic	84 (7.37)
IOTN* (DHC)**	
Little need	507 (78.12)
Borderline need	112 (17.25)
Definite need	30 (4.62)
IOTN (AC)***	
Little need	524 (86.18)
Borderline need	37 (6.08)
Definite need	47 (7.73)
RSES (Mean \pm SD)	27.09 \pm 3.12
AGE (Mean \pm SD)	14.95 \pm 2.08

Table 1: Descriptive and clinical variables of subjects.

*-Index of orthodontic treatment need; **-Dental Health Component; ***-Aesthetic Component

Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis, which was executed to estimate the linear relationship between RSES and various independent variables, which revealed that the best predictors in the descending order was DHC, AC, Decay (aesthetic zone), Decay (masticatory zone), Tooth loss (aesthetic zone), Tooth loss (masticatory zone), Anterior fracture of tooth (Table 2).

Model	R	R2 Change	p value
1	0.59	0.40	0.001
2	0.62	0.05	0.04
3	0.68	0.06	0.001
4	0.71	0.07	0.02
5	0.78	0.06	0.001
6	0.83	0.08	0.01
7	0.86	0.1	0.01

Table 2: Multiple linear regression model for RSES.

1. Predictors: Dental health component (DHC)
2. Predictors: DHC, Aesthetic component (AC)
3. Predictors: DHC, AC, Decay (Aesthetic zone)
4. Predictors: DHC, AC, Decay (Aesthetic zone), Decay (Masticatory zone)
5. Predictors: DHC, AC, Decay (Aesthetic zone), Decay (Masticatory zone), Tooth loss (Aesthetic zone)
6. Predictors: DHC, AC, Decay (Aesthetic zone), Decay (Masticatory zone), Tooth loss (Aesthetic zone), Tooth loss (Masticatory zone)
7. Predictors: DHC, AC, Decay (Aesthetic zone), Decay (Masticatory zone), Tooth loss (Aesthetic zone), Tooth loss (Masticatory zone), Anterior fracture of tooth

Discussion

Self-esteem of a person can be understood as a capability to accept the worthiness of oneself. It is recognized to play a critical role in one's mental health and psychopathology such as symptoms of depression [23]. However, there is still lack of knowledge towards how individuals evaluate themselves, especially an adolescent [24]. Adolescence is a crucial stage of life in which prevention from both current impairment and future illness is possible. Thus, by understanding the probable risk factors one can identify adolescent who might need an early intervention, which will help in development of a productive adulthood. Thus, this study aims to identify the impact of dental disorders i.e., malocclusion, anterior traumatic tooth, tooth loss and untreated decay on self-esteem of adolescents using RSES. Among the many devices the self-report version of the RSES is most

widely used measure to access self-esteem, globally [21]. In addition; the RSES displays a transparent one-dimensional factor structure [25]. RSES scale levels was found to be more in females than males which was in agreement with the study of Birkeland K., *et al.* [26]. These results could be accepted as females placed themselves at the more attractive end of the scale and place more emphasis on their looks than males, which was in line with another study conducted by Alhaija ESA., *et al.* [27]. The results of this study showed a significant association between self-esteem and perceived dental aesthetics, as individuals who perceived themselves as 'less attractive' have presented with lower self-esteem scores than those who saw themselves as 'attractive'. This implies that self-esteem might be affected by self-perceived aesthetics. Similar results were seen by Claudino D and Traebert J [8] and Badran SA [4] while study by Sheikh A., *et al.* does not support any association between malocclusion and self-esteem [28], this might be because severe malocclusions are better recognized by person. It was seen in the present study, from the multivariate analyses that though DHC and AC component of IOTN has maximum impact on self-esteem but other dental disorder like decay in tooth, tooth loss and anterior fracture of tooth also had potential influence on self-esteem of the study population. Decayed teeth and tooth loss have substantial effect on quality of life and even the well-being of the person. Present study shows a significant influence of decayed teeth and tooth loss on self-esteem. Authors, feel that the dental caries has impact on overall health of a person. Pain in oral cavity can affect speaking ability, eating, sleeping, swallowing and the altered appearance, leading to undermine self-esteem. Similarly, missing teeth can interfere with chewing ability, diction, and aesthetics. Low self-esteem related to tooth loss can lead to inability to socialize, perform work and daily activities [29]. According to the authors, abnormalities in the aesthetic zone, affects adolescent psychosocially, which, in turn, may reduce their self-esteem. Anterior teeth fractures can affect the individuals' oral aesthetics. Facial and dental attractiveness represents an important element of quality of life [11]. Due to easy viewing in comparison to the back teeth, trauma in anterior teeth easily lead to dissatisfaction, with oral aesthetics. Individuals who perceive themselves as having poor oral aesthetics have low self-esteem. While interpreting the outcome of this study, authors came across certain limitations that the cross-sectional design of the study prevents establishing any concrete relationship between dental disorders towards self-esteem. According, to authors for establishing a substantial relationship

between dental disorders and self-esteem, studies with longitudinal design are advocated in order to have a better understanding regarding the post treatment effects on the psychological concept of this age group with special needs. As the study is mainly based on adolescent reports, responses to the questionnaire may have been influenced by whatever else was on the participants' mind at the time the question was asked. Further, it is possible that individual participant replies are influenced by response style and that the same response bias is at work in each person's answers to the respective questions, leading to an over or underestimation of the contribution of oral health to self-esteem.

Conclusion

Dis-satisfaction with dental appearance is a strong predictor for low self-esteem. It was found that various dental disorders like malocclusion, anterior traumatic tooth, tooth loss and untreated decay cause a profound impact on aesthetics and psychosocial behaviour of adolescents thus, affecting their self-esteem.

Bibliography

1. Anthony D. "The state of the world's children 2011-adolescence: An age of opportunity". United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) (2011).
2. Van der LF and Groothoff J. "Social inequalities and health among children aged 2 10-11 in the Netherlands: Causes and consequences". *Social Science and Medicine* 40.9 (1995): 1305-1311.
3. Power C and Matthews S. "Origins of health inequalities in a national population sample". *Lancet* 350.9091 (1997): 1584-1589.
4. Badran SA. "The effect of malocclusion and self perceived aesthetics on the self-esteem of a sample of Jordanian adolescents". *European Journal of Orthodontics* 32.6 (2010): 638-644.
5. American Academy of Paediatric Dentistry. "Guideline on Adolescent Oral Health Care".
6. Harter S. "Causes and consequences of low self-esteem in children and adolescents". In *Self-esteem*. US: Springer (1993): 87-116.
7. Ozhayat EB. "Influence of self-esteem and negative affectivity on oral health related quality of life in patients with partial tooth loss". *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 41.5 (2013): 466-472.
8. Claudino D and Traebert J. "Malocclusion, dental aesthetic self-perception and quality of life in a 18 to 21 year-old population: A

- cross section study". *BMC Oral Health* 13 (2013): 3.
9. Burden DJ. "Oral health-related benefits of orthodontic treatment". *Seminars in Orthodontics* 13.2 (2007): 76-80.
 10. Traebert ESA and Peres MA. "Do malocclusions affect the individual's oral health related quality of life?" *Oral Health and Preventive Dentistry* 5.1 (2007): 3-12.
 11. Marques LS, et al. "Factors associated with the desire of orthodontic treatment among Brazilian adolescents and their parents". *BMC Oral Health* 9 (2009): 34.
 12. De Paula DF, et al. "Psychosocial impact of dental esthetics on quality of life in adolescents: association with malocclusion, self-image, and oral health-related issues". *Angle Orthodontist* 79.6 (2009): 1188-1193.
 13. Varela M and GarciaCamba JE. "Impact of orthodontics on the psychologic profile of adult patients: A prospective study". *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 108.2 (1995): 142-148.
 14. Shaw WC, et al. "A 20 year cohort study of health gain from orthodontic treatment: Psychological outcome". *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 132.2 (2007): 146-157.
 15. Peter S. "Survey procedures. Essential of preventive dentistry. 2nd ed". New Delhi: Arya publications (2003).
 16. Ellis, RG. "The classification and treatment of injuries to the teeth of children, 3rd edition". Chicago: The Year Book Publishers (1952).
 17. Hanson BS, et al. "Social network, social support and dental status in elderly Swedish men". *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 22 (1994): 331-337.
 18. World Health Organization. "Oral Health Surveys: Basic methods". 4th edition. Geneva: WHO (1997).
 19. Brook PH and Shaw WC. "The development of an index of orthodontic treatment priority". *European Journal of Orthodontics* 11.3 (1989): 309-320.
 20. Dogan AA, et al. "Comparison of orthodontic treatment need by professionals and parents with different socio-demographic characteristics". *European Journal of Orthodontics* 32.6 (2010): 672-676.
 21. Rosenberg M. "Society and the adolescent child". Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press (1965).
 22. Schmitt DP and Allik J. "Simultaneous administration of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale in 53 nations: Exploring the universal and culture-specific features of global self-esteem". *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 89.4 (2005): 623-642.
 23. Lincoln TM, et al. "Is fear of others linked to an uncertain sense of self? The relevance of self-worth, interpersonal self-concepts, and dysfunctional beliefs to paranoia". *Behavior Therapy* 41.2 (2010): 187-197.
 24. Polce Lynch M, et al. "Adolescent self-esteem and gender: Exploring relations to sexual harassment, body image, media influence, and emotional expression". *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 30.2 (2001): 225-244.
 25. Whiteside-Mansell L and Corwyn RF. "Mean and covariance structures analyses: An examination of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale among adolescents and adults". *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 63 (2003): 163-173.
 26. Birkeland K, et al. "Orthodontic concern among 11-year-old children and their parents compared with orthodontic treatment need assessed by index of orthodontic treatment need". *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 110.2 (1996): 197-205.
 27. Alhaija ESA, et al. "Self-perception of malocclusion among north Jordanian school children". *European Journal of Orthodontics* 27.3 (2005): 292-295.
 28. Sheikh A, et al. "Dental Malocclusion among University Students and Its Effect on Self-esteem: A Cross-sectional Study". *World Journal of Dentistry* 5.4 (2014): 204-208.
 29. Batista MJ, et al. "Risk indicators for tooth loss in adult workers". *Brazilian Oral Research* 26.5 (2012): 390-396.

Volume 1 Issue 1 June 2017

© All rights are reserved by Darshit Dhanani and Yamini Kaul.