

# ACTA SCIENTIFIC COMPUTER SCIENCES

Volume 5 Issue 1 January 2023

# (FG,σ)- Purity and Semi-simple Modules

## Ashok Kumar Pandey\*

Department of Mathematics, Ewing Christian Post Graduate College (An Autonomous College of University of Allahabad, Prayagraj), Allahabad, India \*Corresponding Author: Ashok Kumar Pandey, Department of Mathematics, Ewing Christian Post Graduate College (An Autonomous College of University of Allahabad, Prayagraj), Allahabad, India. Received: October 04, 2022 Published: December 13, 2022 © All rights are reserved by Ashok Kumar Pandey.

### Abstract

The torsion sub-module of  $A \subseteq M$  is denoted by  $\sigma(A)$ . Since it was proved by Walker [18] that the class of I- pure (J- copure) sequences form a proper class whenever I(J) is closed under homomorphic images (sub-modules) of a R- module M and if I(J) is closed under factors (sub-modules) then for any I- pure (J- copure) sequence  $E: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  if  $E \in \pi^{(-1)}(I)$  ( $E \in i^{(-1)}(I)$ ) and hence in this case Walker's I- purity (J- copurity) coincides with the earlier notion of purity. We also study about class of R-modules dual to the modules of B. A sequence  $E: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is I- pure (J- copure) if and only if given  $C^{\prime} \le C \in I$ , there exists  $B' \le B$  such that  $B^{\prime} \cong C'$  and  $A \cap B^{\prime} = 0$ ; we consider another notion of purity stronger than the Cohn's purity [13]. If FG denotes the class of all finitely generated R-modules, since, this class is closed under factors. We shall try to give some characterizations of FG-purity and to determine its relationship with the FG-flat modules. We relativist this concept and also relate it with that of finite projectivity of Azumaya [10] with respect to a torsion theory and to study the inter-relationship between these concepts. We also try to consider finite  $\sigma$ -projectivity or (FG, $\sigma$ )- pure flatness, cyclically  $\sigma$ - pure projectivity and cyclically  $\sigma$ - pure flatness, the concept of locally  $\sigma$ - projectivity and locally  $\sigma$ - splitness and study its inter-relationship with (FG, $\sigma$ )- purity and semi-simple module.

**Keywords:** R- Modules; (FG,σ)- Purity; σ- Pure Projective; R-Modules; I- Pure (J- copure; FG-flat Modules; Cyclically σ- Pure Projectivity; σ- Pure Infectivity; Locally σ- Splitness; Semi-Simple Module. Subject classification: 16D99

### Introduction

The notion of purity plays an abecedarian part in the theory of abelian groups as well as in module categories. We say that an R-module M is absolutely pure, (respectively regular, flat) with reference to the purity if any short exact sequence with M as the first (respectively second, third) position is pure in the given sense. Now we take a free presentation of N where N is a right R-module and  $\bigoplus_{I} R \xrightarrow{\mu} \bigoplus_{I} R \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ .

We take all the sub-matrices associated with  $\mu$  are of the column finite matrix. The class of all co-kernels of the right R- maps between  $\bigoplus J$  R and  $\bigoplus I$  R convinced by these sub-matrices is expressed by  $\mathscr{P}$  (N). Now we take allrow finite sub-matrices of the matrix and take co-kernels of all left R- maps between  $\bigoplus I$  R and  $\bigoplus J$  R induced by these sub-matrices and this class of left R – modules is denoted by f(N). An accurate sequence E:  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is called  $\tau$  – pure ( $\mathfrak{F}$ - copure) if any torsion (torsion free) module is projective (injective) relative to it. Since  $\tau(\mathfrak{F})$  is closed under factors (sub-modules). In this situation Walker's criterion of Co-purity is applicable. The notation of a R – module M is  $\tau$  –pure projective ( $\mathfrak{F}$ - copure injective) if and only if Pext<sub> $\tau$ </sub>(M, A) = 0 (Pext $\mathfrak{F}(A, M) = 0$ ) for all A  $\subseteq$  M. Since, Pext<sub> $\tau$ </sub>(T, A) = 0 for all T  $\in \tau$ .

The torsion sub-module of  $A \subseteq M$  is denoted by  $\sigma$  (A). It's proved by Walker that the class of  $f - pure (\mathcal{J} - copure)$  sequence form a proper class when  $f(\mathcal{J})$  is closed under homomorphism images (sub-modules) of an R- module M and if  $f(\mathcal{J})$  is closed under factors (sub-modules) then for any  $f - pure (\mathcal{J} - copure)$  sequence

E:  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  and  $E \in \pi^{-1}(f)$  ( $E \in i^{-1}(f)$ ). Therefore, in this case Walker's f – purity ( $\mathcal{J}$  – copurity) coincides with the previous notion of purity. We also study about class of R –modules dual to the modules of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . A sequence E:  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is f – pure ( $\mathcal{J}$  – copure) if and only if given  $C' \leq C \in f$ , there exists  $B' \leq B$  such that  $B' \cong C'$  and  $A \cap B' = 0$ ; we consider another notion of purity stronger than the Cohn's purity. However, since, this class is closed under factors, if  $\mathcal{FG}$  denotes the class of all finitely generated R- modules.

We shall try to give some characterizations of  $\mathcal{FG}$  –purity and to determine its relationship with the  $\mathcal{FG}$  –flat modules.

An R – module M is called locally projective if given a map g: M  $\rightarrow$  B and a finitely generated sub-module F of M, if there exists a map g': M  $\rightarrow$  A such that  $(\pi og')|F = g|F$ , that is

We know that all locally projective modules are flat and this class lies strictly between flat and projective modules. We relate these concepts to  $\mathcal{FG}$  – purity which is same as finite splitness with respect to a hereditary torsion theory which is given by a connection of ( $\mathcal{FG}, \sigma$ ) – purity with  $\tau \mathcal{F}$  – purity (torsion purity) of a left exact torsion radical  $\sigma$ . We also relativize the concept of finite (cyclic)  $\sigma$  – extension and finitely (cyclically)  $\sigma$  –splitness. In this present paper we related the concept of  $\mathcal{FG}$  - purity and  $\sigma$  – injectivity and  $\sigma$  – projectivity of R – modules. We also, relate the concept of  $\mathcal{FG}$  - purity and semi-simple modules. We observe that the torsion  $\sigma$  – purity of Bhattacharya and Choudhury [11] reduces to usual purity, ( $\mathcal{FG}, \sigma$ ) – splitness and cyclic  $\sigma$  – purity becomes purity relative to cyclic modules that is singly (cyclically)  $\sigma$  – pure. We also give the results of the characterization of a Noetherian like condition on the torsion theory.

 $\tau_1$ - Purity coincides with the usual purity (Cohn purity), that to an abelian groups only. In this paper we also try to develop the theory of  $\sigma$  -purity relative to a torsion theory ( $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$ ) which is weaker than  $\tau$  -purity but it gives the generalization of usual purity (Cohn purity) [13] and also gives a  $\sigma$ -generalization of regular modules.

#### Definition

- A R module M is said to be cyclic if and only if there exists an element  $m_0 \in M$  such that M =  $Rm_0$ .
- A R module M is said to be finitely generated if and only if there exists a finite generating set X of M.
- A left R module M is said to finitely co-generated if and only if for each set {Ui |i  $\in$  I} of submodules Ui of M with  $\cap_{i \in I}$ Ui = 0, there exists a finite subset {Ui |i  $\in$  I0} that is I0  $\subset$ I and I0 is finite with  $\cap_{i \in I}$  Ui = 0. In other words we can say A module M is said to be finitely co-generated if it is co-generated by the family {E(S<sub>i  $i \in I$ </sub>)} finitely. That's E(M) =  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E(Si)$  where Si $\in$ I, simple modules are not inescapably non- isomorphic.
- An R module M is said to be co-cyclic if it is contained in E(S) for some simple module S, where E(S) is a family of co- generators for each R module M.
- In the commutative illustration  $\prod_{M \to N}^{1}$  Where f: A  $\to$  B;  $\varphi$ : M  $\to$  N,  $\mu$ : A  $\to$  M and g: B  $\to$  N aremaps. The pair ( $\varphi$ , g) is said to be the push out of the pair ( $\mu$ , f) if and only if for every pair ( $\varphi'$ , g') with  $\varphi'$ : M  $\to$  X, g': B  $\to$  X and ( $\varphi' \circ \mu$ ) = (g'of), there exists a unique map  $\sigma$ : N  $\to$  X similar that ( $\sigma$ og) = g'.
- The pair  $(\phi, f)$  is said to be the pullback of the pair  $(\psi, g)$  if and only if for every pair  $(\phi', f')$  with  $\phi': Y \to M$ ,  $f': Y \to B$  and  $(\psi o \phi')$ = (gof '), there exists a unique map  $\tau: Y \to A$  Similarly (fo $\tau$ ) = f 'and  $(\phi o \tau) = \phi'$ .
- A R module M is said to be finitely presented if there's an exact sequence  $M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow$  where  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are independent modules with finite bases.
- Let R be a ring and M is a left R module, then M is said to flat if for every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$  and the converted sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \otimes R N' \rightarrow M \otimes R N \rightarrow M \otimes R N''$  $\rightarrow 0$  is exact.
- A ring R is hereditary if and only if every ideal is a projective module.
- If M be a R –module, the sum of all simple sub-modules of M is called the socle of M and it is denoted by s(M) = {x ∈ M|Ann(x) is a finite intersection of maximal right ideals}. That is if x ∈ s(M), then xA is a direct sum of a finite number of simple modules where A is a semi-simple ring.

- A non- zero module S is said to be simple if it has on submodules other than {0} and S. A module is saidto be semi-simple if it is a sum of simple sub-modules.
- A torsion theory is a pair (f, F) of classes of modules satisfying:
  - Hom(T, F) = 0,  $\forall$  T  $\in$  f and F  $\in$   $\mathfrak{F}$
  - $Hom(L, F) = 0, \forall F \in \mathfrak{F} \Rightarrow L \in f$
  - Hom(T, N) = 0,  $\forall$  T  $\in$  f  $\Rightarrow$  N  $\in$   $\mathfrak{F}$
- The classes & and f are known as torsion free and torsion classes associated with a torsion theory(f, &). A torsion theory (f, &) is said to be hereditary if and only if f is closed under homomorphism images, direct sums, extensions and submodules. Also, & is closed under submodules, direct products, extensions and injective envelopes.
- A left R module P is said to be  $\sigma$  pure projective module if it's projective to relative to every  $\sigma$  – pure epimorphism. That is given any  $\sigma$  – pure exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ and a homomorphism f: P  $\rightarrow$  C, there exists a map h: P  $\rightarrow$  B Corresponding that poh = f where p: B  $\rightarrow$  Cbe an on to homomorphism.
- A left R module Q is said to be finitely  $\sigma$  pure injective if it is  $(\mathcal{FG}), \sigma$  pure in every pure extension of Q, that is if  $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow 0$  is a pure exact sequence then it's  $(\mathcal{FG}), \sigma$  pure also.Similarly,Q is said to be cyclically  $\sigma$  pure injective if it is cyclically  $\sigma$  pure in every pure extension of it.
- · A sub-module A of an R-module B is called closed if B|A is torsion free and it is called dense if B|A is torsion. Any closed sub-module A of an R-module B is  $\tau$  –pure.
- · A sub-module  $A \subseteq M$  is called f essential if it intersects every torsion sub module of M.

### **Definition 1.1**

A sub-module A of an R-module B is called closed if B|A is torsion free and it is called dense if B|A is torsion. Any unrestricted sub module A of an R-module B is  $\tau$  -pure.

### **Definition 1.2**

Given a class of modules  $\tau$ , a sequence E:  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is known  $\tau$  – pure if A is a direct summand of D whenever  $A \subseteq D \subseteq B$  and  $D|A \in \tau$ .

Walker proved that the class of  $\tau$  – pure sequences form a correct class whenever  $\tau$  is closed under homomorphism of a R –

81

module M and if  $\tau$  is closed under factors then for any  $\tau$  – pure sequence  $E: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ ,  $E \in \pi^{-1}(\tau)$  and hence in this case Walker's  $\tau$  – purity coincides with the earlier notion.

#### **Proposition 1.3**

- If  $\tau$  is closed under factors also a sequence  $E: 0 \to A \to B \to C$  $\to 0$  is  $\tau$  – pure if and only if given  $C' \leq C \in$ 
  - $\tau$  there exists B'  $\leq$  B similar that B'  $\cong$  C' and A  $\cap$  B' = 0.

### **Definition 1.4**

We say that a sub module A is  $(\mu, \sigma)$  -pure in an R – module B, if any system of linear equation  $\sum r_{ij} x_j = ai$  given by the row finite matrix  $\mu$  in A, whenever solvable in B in the form  $x_j = bi$  for which there are left ideals  $D_i \in D$  where D is the Gabriel filter [6] of dense left ideals corresponding to the left exact torsion radical  $\sigma$ , such that  $D_j b_j \in A$ . The system is also solvable in A that is there are  $a_j$  $\in A$ , with  $\sum r_{ij} a' = a_i$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ ; this exactly means that given vectors  $(b_j) \in \prod_j B$  and  $(a_i) \in \prod_i A$  and  $\mu(b_j) = a_i$  With  $D_j b_j$  $\in A$  for some  $D_i \in D$ , there exists  $(a_j') \in \prod_i A$  such that  $\mu(a_j') = ai$ where the vector  $\mu(a_j')$  is obtained by matrix product of the row finite matrix  $\mu$  and column vector $(a_j')$ . We may rephrase the above condition that a sub module A is  $(\mu, \sigma)$  -pure in a R – module B or that B is a  $(\mu, \sigma)$  –pure extension of A as follows.

# We view $\mu$ as mapping $\prod_{i}$ B to $\prod_{i}$ B by left matrix multiplication. Then we have: Theorem 1.5

A sub module A is  $(\mu, \sigma)$  –pure in B if and only if  $\mu[\prod_{j} B] \cap \prod_{i} A$   $\subseteq \mu[\prod_{i} A]$  whenever  $B_{j}$  are sub modules of B containing A such that A is dense in  $B_{j}$ .

#### Proof

Any element of the left hand side is of the form  $(a_i)I = \mu((b_j)J) = \sum r_{ij}b_j$  and A is dense in  $B_j$  means  $B_j|A$  is torsion and hence for each element $(b_j + A) \in B_j|A$ , there exists  $D_j \in D$  such that  $D_j(b_j + A) = 0$  that is  $D_i(b_i) \subseteq A$ .

The following result corelates  $(\mu, \sigma)$  –purity with  $(M, \sigma)$  –purity.

### **Proposition 1.6**

Let  $\mu = (R_{ij})$  be a row finite (I × J) matrix where I and J are arbitrary sets. Again a sub module A is  $(\mu, \sigma)$  –pure in a module B if and only if the sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B|A \rightarrow 0$ ; is  $(M, \sigma)$  –pure where  $\oplus iR \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} \oplus jR \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is exact with  $\mu'$  given by the matrix  $\mu$ .

### **Definition 1.7**

A sub module A is  $\tau$  – pure in a R – module M if and only if given a torsion sub module C of M|A, there exists asubmodule B of M similarly B  $\cong$  C and A  $\cap$  B = 0.

### **Definition 1.8**

A sub module A of an R – module M is called  $\mu$  – pure in M where  $\mu = (x_{ij})$  if whenever the system of linear equations  $\sum r_{ij} x_j = a_{ij}$  $i \in I$  where  $ai \in A$  with  $D_j(x_j) \subseteq A$  for some  $D_j \in D$ , it associated with Gabriel filter for left dense ideals, is solvable in M,that is it is solvable in A.

#### **Proposition 1.9**

A sub module A of a R – module is  $\sigma$  – pure in M if and only if A is (Cohn)– pure [11] in the closure of A in M.

### **Proposition 1.10**

A sub module A of a R – module M is  $\mu$  –pure in M if and only if A is M – pure in the closure of A in M where

 $\oplus iR \xrightarrow{\mu} \oplus jR \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$ 

### Proof

The closure  $\overline{A}$  of A is defined by  $\overline{A} | A = \sigma(M|A)$ . Still, again by Azumaya [10], A is  $\mu$  -pure in  $\overline{A}$ , if A is  $\mu$  -pure in  $\overline{A}$  Likewise the presented a finite system of linear equations in a finite number of variables  $\sum r_{ij} b_j = a_{i'}$ ; i  $\in$  I where  $a_i \in A$  with  $D_j(x_j) \subseteq A$  for some  $Dj \in D$ ,  $mj + A \in \sigma(M|A) = \overline{A} | A$ . Hence,  $mj \in \overline{A}$  as A is pure in  $\overline{A}$  there exists  $a'_j \in A$  similarly that  $\sum r_{ij} a'_j = a_i$ , and the system is solvable in A. Conversely, if the given a finite system of linear equations in a finite number of variables  $\sum r_{ij} m_j = a_{i'}$ , i  $\in I$  with  $a_i \in A$  and  $m_j \in \overline{A}$  then,  $m_j + A \in \overline{A} | A = \sigma(M|A)$  there is  $D_j \in D$ ,  $D_j(m_j + A) = 0$  that is  $D_j(b_j) \subseteq A$  and hence the system is solvable in A and so, A is pure in  $\overline{A}$  Hence A is  $\mu$  -pur in  $\overline{A}$  by Azumaya [10] proposition (1).

### **Definition 1.11**

- · A R module C is said to be  $\sigma$  flat if a sub module A is  $\sigma$  pure in an R module B whenever C  $\cong$ B|A.
- A sub module A of a R module B is said to be  $(\mu, \sigma)$  pure if and only if A  $\subseteq A_i \otimes \mu$  pure.
- · We call a sub module A of a R- module B,  $\tau$  –essential if it intersects every torsion sub module of B.

### **Proposition 1.12**

Every torsion free module is  $\sigma$  – flat and every torsion  $\sigma$  – flat module is flat. Also, every flat module is  $\sigma$  – flatof course.

#### **Proposition 1.13**

A sub module A is closed in B if and only if A is  $\tau$  –pure and  $\tau$  – essential in B.

#### Proof

If Ais closed in B then A is  $\tau$  -pure. Suppose that A  $\cap$  B1 = {0} for some B1  $\subseteq$  B and B1  $\in \tau$ . But B1  $\subseteq \sigma(B)$  and  $\sigma(B) = \cap C$ , where C  $\subseteq$  B and B/C  $\in \tau$  and hence B<sub>1</sub>  $\subseteq$  A because B/A  $\in \tau$ . Thus A is  $\tau$  -essential.

Conversely, if A is  $\tau$  -pure and  $\tau$  -essential in B, if B/A has any torsion sub module C then C  $\approx$  B  $\subseteq$  B and A  $\cap$  B<sub>1</sub> = {0} for some B<sub>1</sub>  $\subseteq$  B and B<sub>1</sub> $\in \tau$ , thus A cannot be  $\tau$  -essential. Hence, B/A  $\in \tau$ 

Now we give the inter-relationship with (FG,  $\sigma$ ) – purity and semi-simple module.

#### **Proposition 1.14**

The exact sequence  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$  is  $\tau$  – pure exact if and only if  $0 \to \sigma(A) \to \sigma(B) \to \sigma(C) \to 0$  is a split exact sequence where the maps are restrictions of the above sequence.

#### Proof

Suppose that the sequence  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$  is  $\tau$  – pure exact. Now we complete the diagram by taking pullback of  $j_c: \sigma(C) \to C$  and  $\pi: B \to C$ .Here, t: K  $\to \sigma(B)$ ; u:  $\sigma(A) \to \sigma(B)$ ; v:  $\sigma(B) \to \sigma(C)$ ;  $\alpha: \sigma(C) \to \sigma(B)$ ; s:  $\sigma(B) \to P$ .

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & \\ & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \to \sigma(A) \to & \sigma(B) \to \sigma(C) \to 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (1) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ 0 \to & A \to & P \xrightarrow{}_{\lambda} & \sigma(C) \to 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (2) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ 0 \to & A \to & B \to & C \to 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{array}$$

q: P  $\rightarrow$  B; j<sub>B</sub>:  $\sigma(B) \rightarrow B$ , i': A  $\rightarrow$  P,  $\pi$ ': P  $\rightarrow \sigma(C)$ ;  $\lambda: \sigma(C) \rightarrow P$ , i: A  $\rightarrow$  B,  $\pi$ : B  $\rightarrow$  C are the neededhomomorphism. Here s:  $\sigma(B) \rightarrow$  P exists as P is a pullback. Put K = ker(v). Now vou = 0 and so,  $\sigma(A) \subseteq K$ . Since sequence (1) is  $\tau$  -pure  $\Rightarrow$  sequence (2) is  $\tau$  - Pure because  $\tau$  -pure sequences form a proper class and hence (2) splits. Take  $\lambda$ :  $\sigma(C) \rightarrow P$  such that  $\pi' \circ \lambda = 1_{\sigma(C)}$ . Now  $\lambda(\sigma(C))$  is torsion and so there

is  $\alpha: \sigma(C) \to \sigma(B)$  such that  $\lambda = \operatorname{son}$ . Also,  $\operatorname{von} = \pi' \circ (\operatorname{son}) = \pi' \circ \lambda = 1_{\sigma(C)}$  and hence, v is epic and the sequence  $0 \to K \rightleftharpoons \sigma(B) \to \sigma(C) \to 0$  splits. But then K is an epimorphic image of  $\sigma(B)$  and so, it is torsion. Also,  $\pi' \circ (\operatorname{sot}) = 0 \Longrightarrow K \subseteq A$ . Hence,  $K \subseteq \sigma(A)$  and sequence (3) is separate and exact.

Again, if sequence (3) is disassociate and exact, then given  $T \in \tau$ , and f:  $T \rightarrow C$ , Im(f)  $\subseteq \sigma(C)$  and also, sequence (1)  $\tau$  -pure.

#### Note 1.15

If sequence (1) is  $\tau$  -pure, so it's exact on sequence (1) and hence,  $\sigma(A) = A \cap \sigma(B)$  and  $\sigma(B)+A/A = \sigma(B/A)$ .

Now we, define  $\tau_c$  – purity corresponding to the class  $\tau_c$  of cyclic torsion modules. This purity was firstly studied by Stenstrom [17]. More generally he started with a family  $\vartheta$  of factors of a projective generator Fand he called the purity  $\pi^{-1}(\vartheta)$ , further he took the family  $\vartheta' = \vartheta \setminus \{F\}$ , and considered the relation between  $\pi^{-1}(\vartheta)$  and the torsion theory generated by  $(\vartheta')$ . He also established that:

- σ(M), the torsion submodule corresponding to the above torsion theory is the lowest θ –pure subobject of M such that each f: P → M with P ∈ θ' factors through it.
- L ⊆ M is ϑ pure in M and contains σ(M) if and only if σ (M/L)
   = 0 for all P ∈ ϑ'.

These conditions fully satisfy the case for  $\tau_c$  – purity, if given the torsion theory( $\tau$ ,  $\tau$ ,), we take  $\vartheta$  = {R} U

(all cyclic torsion modules). Yet the purity is  $\pi^{-1}(\tau C)$ .

Since,  $\pi^{-1}(\tau C) = \pi^{-1}(\{R\} \cup \tau C)$  as R is projective and the generated torsion theory is identical as the original.

We express the set of dense left ideals by  $\mathcal{D}$  that's there's left ideal I such that  $R/I \in \tau C$ . In this case  $(\tau, \tau_1)$  is heritable  $\mathcal{D}$  forms a Gabriel filter or a topology Stenstrom) [17].

 $\tau_{c}~$  – Purity coincides with the purity defined by Lambek [16] in case the torsion theory is heritable.

#### **Proposition 1.16**

If  $(\tau, \tau_1)$  is heritable again the ensuing conditions are alternative for a sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of left R – modules.

- (i) A is  $\tau_c$  pure in B.
- (ii) Given  $n \in \sigma(C)$ , there is  $m \in B$  such that Ann(m) = Ann(n) and  $\lambda(m) = n$ .
- (iii) A is pure in B in the sense of Lambek that is given  $m \in B$ , and  $D \in D$  such that  $Dm \subseteq A$ , there is  $l \in A$ such that D(m - l) = 0.

#### Note 1.17

For the case of abelian groups and the usual torsion theory, the above purity coincides with the usual purity.

#### **Proposition 1.18**

For any class  $\vartheta$ , the following statements are alternative for any R – module M:

- (i) M is absolutely  $\vartheta$  pure.
- (ii) M is injective module relative to any sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of left R modules with  $C \in \vartheta$ .
- (iii) Ext(C, M) = 0 for all  $C \in \vartheta$ .

(iv) C is  $i^{-1}(M)$  – flat for all  $C \in \vartheta$ .

#### **Proof:** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow & P \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since, it is given a homomorphism  $A \to M$ , we complete the diagram by push out. Now (ii) is  $\vartheta$  – pure and hence homotopy exists, so M is injective relative to any sequence  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$  of left R – modules with  $C \in \vartheta$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Given any sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , in which M is injective relative to it and hence itsplits.

It's that E and  $C \in \vartheta$ , now we complete the illustration by pullback. Now by the theory of the upper sequence splits and hence there's a homotopy and hence, the given sequence is  $\vartheta$  – pure.

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv). It is egregious.

Now dually we've M is  $\vartheta$  – copure flat if and only if M is projective with respect to any sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ 

 $\rightarrow$  C  $\rightarrow$  0 with A  $\in$   $\vartheta$  that is if and only if Ext(M, A) = 0 for all A  $\in \vartheta$ . Now we try to specify  $\tau$  -pure injective and  $\tau$  -pure projective modules.

### **Proposition 1.19**

The following statements are equivalent for any R – module M:

(i) M is  $\tau$  -pure injective.

(ii)  $Pext_{r}(N, M) = 0$  for all R -modules N.

- (iii) Ext(F, M) = 0 for all  $F \in \tau_1$ .
- (iv) M is absolutely  $\tau_1$  pure.
- (v) M is injective with respect to closed sub modules.

### Proof

 $\begin{array}{l} (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \mbox{ Given any } \tau \mbox{ -pure sequence } 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0, \\ \mbox{ as } M \mbox{ is } \tau \mbox{ -pure injective and so it splits.Hence } Ext(F,M) = 0 \mbox{ for } \\ \mbox{ all } F \in \tau \ _1. \end{array}$ 

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ .....(1)  $\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$  $0 \rightarrow M \rightleftharpoons P \rightleftharpoons C \rightarrow 0$ 

Suppose that sequence (1) is a  $\tau$  -pure sequence and f: A  $\rightarrow$  M is given. Now we take pushout, the lowersequence splits and hence M is  $\tau$  -pure injective.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). This statement follows because Ext(F, M) = Pext<sub> $\tau$ </sub> (F, M) for all F  $\in \tau_1$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Again, if Ext(F, M) = 0 then the sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$  splits for all  $F \in \tau_1$ .

$$0 \qquad 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\sigma(N) = \sigma(N)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad N$$

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow \qquad N \rightarrow 0 \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$$

$$0 \rightarrow K \not \rightleftharpoons P/\sigma(N) \rightarrow N/\sigma(N) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$$

$$0 \qquad 0$$

Where, u: M  $\rightarrow$  P,  $\pi$ : P  $\rightarrow$  N,  $\lambda$ : N  $\rightarrow \frac{N}{\sigma(N)}$ ,  $\lambda'$ : P  $\rightarrow \frac{P}{\sigma(N)}$ ,  $\mu$ : M  $\rightarrow$  K,  $\mu'$ : K  $\rightarrow$  M. i:  $\sigma(N) \rightarrow N$ , j:  $\sigma(N) \rightarrow$  P and q: P/ $\sigma(N) \rightarrow$  K.

It is given that the sequence (1) is  $\tau$  –pure and we've j:  $\sigma(N) \rightarrow P$  which is a monomorphism. Now,  $N/\sigma(N) \in$ 

 $\tau_1$  and hence, the right perpendicular sequence  $0 \rightarrow \sigma(N) \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/\sigma(N) \rightarrow 0$  is  $\tau$  -pure and hence,  $\pi' \in \pi^{-1}(\tau)$  and so the epimorphism  $\pi'$  splits. Now we considering the perpendicular exact sequence, the identity map above sureties that the square is a pullback which in turn guarantees that  $\mu$  is an isomorphism again if  $\mu' = \mu^{-1}$ , then  $\mu'o(qo\lambda')o u = (\mu'oq)o(u'o \mu) = \mu'o\mu = 1$  and hence, the upper sequence splits also and so, Pext<sub>r</sub> (N, M) = 0 for all R -modules N.

(iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) It follows from the previous proposition by taking  $\vartheta = \tau_1$ .

#### Note 1.20

 $\tau_1$  – purity arises in the hypothesis of torsion free covers (M. L. Teply and J. S. Golan [18]).

### **Proposition 1.21**

If for any module M,  $M/\sigma(M)$  is projective, also M is  $\tau$  –pure projective. Again, for every  $\tau$  –pure projective module M,  $M/\sigma(M)$  is a projective module handed every torsion free module is a factor of a projective torsion free module.

#### Conclusion

In this paper we consider an another notion of purity stronger than the Cohn's purity [13]. If  $\mathcal{FG}$  denotes the class of all finitely generated R –modules. Since, this class is closed under factors. We shall give some characterizations of  $\mathcal{FG}$  –purity and to determine its relationship with the  $\mathcal{FG}$  –flat modules. We relativize this concept and also relate it with that of finite projectivity of Azumaya [10] with reference to a torsion theory and to study the inter-relationship between these concepts. We also consider finite  $\sigma$  –projectivity or (FG,  $\sigma$ ) – pure flatness, cyclically  $\sigma$  – pure projectivity and cyclically  $\sigma$  – pure flatness, the concept of locally  $\sigma$  – projectivity and locally  $\sigma$  – splitness and study its inter- relationship with (FG,  $\sigma$ ) – purity and semi-simple module. These relationships are very use-full for further its related works in ring and modules.

Citation: Ashok Kumar Pandey. "(FG,  $\sigma$ ) – Purity and Semi-simple Modules". Acta Scientific Computer Sciences 5.1 (2023): 79-85.

84

# **Bibliography**

- Ashok Kumar Pandey. "σ-Projectivity and σ-Semi- Simplicity in modules". *International Research Journal of Pure Algebra* 11.6 (2021): 08-14.
- 2. Ashok Kumar Pandey. "Divisibility and Co-divisibility in modules". *International Journal of Research in Computer applications and Robotics* 9.5 (2021): 12-22.
- Ashok Kumar Pandey. "T-Purity and F-Co-purity in modules". Journal of Engineering Mathematics and statistics 5.1 (2021): 74 - 84.
- Ashok Kumar Pandey. "σ-Purity and σ-Regular rings and modules". *International Research Journal of Pure Algebra* 10.8 (2020): 26-31.
- Ashok Kumar Pandey. "(FG, σ)-Pure flatness and locally σ-projectivity in modules". Sambodhi Journal (UGC Care Journal) 43.2 (2020): 240-244.
- Ashok Kumar Pandey. "Purity Relative to a Cyclic Module". *International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics* 5.3 (2020): 55-58.
- 7. Ashok Kumar Pandey. "Some problems in ring theory". Ph. D. thesis, University of Allahabad, (2003).
- Ashok Kumar Pandey and M Pathak. "M-Purity and Torsion Purity in Modules". *International Journal of Algebra* 7.9 (2013): 421-427.
- Ashok Kumar Pandey and M Pathak. "Torsion Purity in Ring and Modules". *International Journal of Algebra* 7.8 (2013): 391-398.
- Garo Azumaya. "Finite splitness and finite projectivity". *Journal of Algebra* 106 (1972): 114-134.
- 11. BB Bhattacharyya and DP Chaudhury. "Purities Relative to a Torsion Theory". *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 14.4 (1983): 554-564.
- 12. D P Chaudhury. "Relative Flatness via Stenstrom's Purity". Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 15.2 (1984): 131-134.

- PM Cohn. "Free Products of Associative Rings". Math. Z., 71 (1959): 380-398.
- D P Choudhury and K Tewari. "Torsion Purities, Cyclic quasiprojectives and Co cyclic Co purity. Commn. in Algebra". 7 (1979): 1559- 1572.
- FW Anderson and KR Fuller. "Rings and Categories of Modules". 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Springer- Verlag, New York, (1992).
- J Lambek. "Torsion theories, additive semantics and rings quotients, lecture". Notes in Mathematics, No. 177, Springer Verlag, (1971).
- 17. B Stenstrom. "Pure sub modules". *Mathematics* 7 (1967): 159-171.
- ML Teply and JS Golan. "Torsion free covers". *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 15 (1973): 237-256.
- 19. R B Warfield Jr. "Purity and algebraic compactness for modules". *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 28 (1969): 699-719.

85