

Phenolic Acid and Flavonoid Patterns in Twelve *Sechium edule* Varieties

Jyothi Ramesh Jain^{1*}, Shiragambi Hanumantgowda Manohar¹, Tapas Kumar Roy² and Kumudini Belur Satyan¹

¹Department of Biotechnology, JAIN (Deemed_To_Be_University), School of Sciences, Bangalore, India

²Division of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore, India

*Corresponding Author: Jyothi Ramesh Jain, Department of Biotechnology, JAIN (Deemed_To_Be_University), School of Sciences, Bangalore, India

Received: January 29, 2021

Published: March 09, 2021

© All rights are reserved by Jyothi Ramesh Jain., et al.

Abstract

Fruit pulp of twelve *Sechium edule* Indian accessions were analyzed for phenolic acid and flavonoid constituents. The quantitative evaluation was performed using liquid chromatography mass spectrophotometer method, which showed significant differences in the composition of phenolic acids and flavonoids among accessions. Vanillic acid was the predominant phenolic acid in most of the accessions ranging from 269.28 to 4080.82 µg/g. High amounts of vanillic acid in accession SEC-11 (4080.82 ± 130.92 µg/g) and SEC-06 (1825.46 ± 24.54 µg/g), protocatechuic acid (1736.59 ± 94.90 µg/g) in SEC-09 and syringic acid (1676.97 ± 70.35 µg/g) in SEC-20 was detected respectively. The highest amount of flavonoid present was catechin in the accessions SEC-36 (75.83 ± 4.37 µg/g) followed by SEC-20 (19.43 ± 0.64 µg/g). Data were analyzed using principal component analysis method and the obtained scoring plot showed that all nine accessions had formed one cluster. Discrimination of metabolic profiles of different *S. edule* accessions using principal component analysis showed that accessions grouping was consistent with the LC-MS results obtained. This method of estimation of metabolites can be successfully employed enabling genetic grouping of *S. edule* accessions in an effective manner for breeding studies.

Keywords: *Sechium edule*; Phenolic Acids; Flavonoid; Principal Component Analysis; LCMS

Introduction

The health promoting benefits in *Sechium edule* fruits can be attributed to the presence of phytochemicals and large number of plant food derived bioactive compounds belongs to phenolic acid and flavonoid families [1]. Therefore, phenolic acids and flavonoids are two such secondary metabolites, which needs to be investigated. Phenolic acids, which are produced by shikimic acid pathway, are present as free form or are conjugated with sugar residue. They are classified as hydroxycinnamic acids and hydroxybenzoic acids based on the carbon framework. These also arise in plants in form of glycosides or esters with other compounds like sterols, glucosides or alcohols [2]. The cinnamic acid deriva-

tives are sinapic acid, coumaric acid, ferulic acid and caffeic acid and the hydroxybenzoic acids are protocatechuic acid, gallic acid, vanillic acid and syringic acid with a C6-C1 configuration. Phenolic acids are of utmost importance recently due to their protective role against cancer and heart diseases, which may be attributed to their antioxidant activity, reported to be higher than vitamin C and E against reactive oxygen species [3].

On the other hand, flavonoids belong to a family of C6-C3-C6 polyphenol compounds and the subclasses includes flavone, flavonol, flavanone, flavanol, anthocyanin and isoflavone. More than 8000 flavonoids have been identified so far [4] and the number

continues to grow. They play a very important role in protecting plants against insect and microbial attack and possess remarkable health promoting effects such as, anti-oxidant [5], anti-microbial [6], anti-cancer [7] activity. They also help in the prevention of osteoporosis [8]. In view of the impact of both phenolic acids and flavonoids on human health, it is pivotal to learn about their concentrations and variations in medicinal plants.

Sechium edule, a lesser-known vegetable crop from the cucurbit family, is cultivated from the pre- Columbian times. The fruits, roots as well as stem has been important elements of the diet of the people throughout the world. The fruits grow best in tropical regions across the world and are indigenous to Mexico and Central America [9]. *S. edule* displays a wide diversity and produces fruits with different colors, shapes and sizes depending on the cultivar. There has been a decline in the number of accessions in the past. Conservation of such species by orthodox methods has not been possible so far as the seeds of the fruit are recalcitrant and viviparous in nature [10]. *Sechium* genetic resources have been assessed for characterization of the germplasm but characterization of phenolic acids and flavonoids among different accessions has been limited to studies of role of phenolic acids against melon fly and flavonoids detection in leaves, stem and fruits [11,12]. The studies have shown the presence of different pharmacological components like peroxidases, phenols, alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins and tannins which are potent anticancer compounds (Firdous, *et al.* 2012; Lombardo-Earl, *et al.* 2014). No studies have been carried out on *S. edule* regarding the potential that these might have as a resource for improving this species. As it is diverse in nature, these populations should be evaluated and for hybridization programmes with cultivated types needs to be stated.

In the present study, liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LCMS) was used for the quantitative determination of phenolic acids and flavonoids of *S. edule* fruit extracts of 12 diverse accessions. Since very few species have been explored for its phytochemicals across India, this work will draw attention towards this species and contribute for the potential value and improvement of this underutilized and neglected crop for developing varieties with desired nutrients.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents

The phenolic acids and flavonoids standards used in the present study were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA and these stan-

dards were prepared with a range of 1 mg/ml using 80% methanol. Chromatographic grade organic solvents were used for the analysis and Milli-Q (Millipore) purification system was used to obtain purified water for preparing mobile phases and the extracts were filtered through 0.45 μ m membrane filters.

Sample preparation and extraction

The place of collection of all the accessions of *S. edule* collected across India have been represented (Table 1) and the phenotypic variations is depicted in the Figure 1. Phenolic acids and flavonoids were extracted from 5 g of *S. edule* as per protocol described by Weidner, *et al.* [13], Chen, *et al.* [14] and Middha, *et al.* [15] with slight modification.

Accession Number	Place of collection (State)	District
SEC-01	Sikkim	Lingzey
SEC-03	Sikkim	Gangtok
SEC-05	Sikkim	Ganktok
SEC-06	Assam	Kamrup
SEC-09	Meghalaya	Shillong
SEC-11	Manipur	Senapati
SEC-13	Manipur	Ukhrul
SEC-18	Manipur	Imphal east
SEC-20	Mizoram	Aizawl
SEC-27	Karnataka	Bangalore
SEC-31	Tamil Nadu	Ooty
SEC-36	Kerala	Idukki

Table 1: *S. edule* accessions used with code and collection site.

Figure 1: Picture showing the diversity of fruit size, shape and color for *Sechium edule* accessions collected from India.

The fruit pulp was homogenized two times using 80% methanol and was centrifuged, the supernatant was collected and made up to 80 ml with 80% methanol. The 20 ml of 80% methanol extract was evaporated in a rotary evaporator at 50°C till the methanol completely evaporates. It is then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was then evaporated to dryness at room temperature under vacuum. To the water residue, 2N NaOH (4 ml) was added and allowed to be hydrolyzed overnight at room temperature. After acidification to pH 2 using 2N HCl, the extracts were once again subjected to ethyl acetate extraction. Discarding the aqueous layer, the ethyl acetate layer was further extracted two times with 20 ml of 0.1 N NaHCO₃.

The aqueous layer was further acidified to pH 2 with 5 ml 2N HCl and extracted three times with 25 ml ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was then washed with distilled water till the pH becomes 6.5 to 7. It was then dried completely in rotary evaporator and the residue was dissolved in 1 ml MS grade methanol, filtered through 0.2 µm nylon membrane filter prior to inject in LCMS for quantification of phenolic acids.

The ethyl acetate layer, which carried the flavonoids was washed with water several times till the pH becomes 6.5 to 7. It was then evaporated to complete dryness under vacuum at room temperature. The residue was dissolved in 1 ml MS grade methanol filtered through 0.2µm nylon membrane filter before injecting into LCMS for quantification of flavonoids.

Equipments

LCMS analysis were carried out using a Waters Acquity UPLC-H class coupled with TQD-MS/MS (Waters Corp, USA), which was equipped with a quaternary pump, degasser and a diode array detector along with temperature control system for analytical column. This was accompanied with an electro spray ionization (ESI) source for phenolic acids and flavonoids quantification. The automatic injection system having a range of (0-10 µL) and the overall system was controlled by Mass lynx software for data collection. The electro spray ionization source was operated in negative ion mode (ES-) to detect parent mass m/z and most abundant fragmented daughters of phenolic acids and flavonoids by MRM method in LC-MS. Calibration curve were obtained by using different concentrations for individual phenolic acids and flavonoids.

Liquid chromatography-Mass spectrometry (LC-MS) conditions

A liquid chromatography separation was performed using analytical column 2.1 X 50 mm UPLC BEH- C18 column (Waters) with 1.7µm particles, safeguarded by a Vanguard BEH C-18 with 1.7µm guard column (Waters). The column was set to 25 °C. Aqueous phase of formic acid (0.1%) + water (A) and organic phase of formic acid (0.2%) + methanol (B) was used as mobile phase. The initial gradient had both the phases in the ratio of 90:10 (A:B) and held for 2.5 minutes. The gradient was then changed to 70:30 at 4.0 min, then the gradient was reduced to 60:40 after 1 min and held for 5.0 min and later brought to 80:20 (A:B) held for 2.0 min. It is then finally returned to the initial gradient 90:10 in 2.0 min held for a 1 min, so as to equilibrate, prior to the next injection. The mobile phase flow rate was maintained at 0.3 mL/min and 4 µl of sample was injected each time for both phenolic acids and flavonoids.

Statistical analysis

The assessment of data obtained was performed using principal component analysis (PCA) by using a multivariate software (Unscrambler X, CAMO, Bangalore, Karnataka, India). All the samples were injected thrice into the LCMS system and the data for the sample content are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Data collected were analyzed using two-way ANOVA test (GraphPad prism 6.01) representing the significant difference at P < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Phenolic acid composition in *S. edule* accessions

Among the phenolic acids we detected fourteen phenolic acids by LC-MS analysis of fruit extracts from twelve accessions of *S. edule*. The details of multiple-reaction monitoring of phenolic acid standards is given in the Table 2. Chlorogenic acid per dry weight ranged from 0.06 to 0.17 µg/g; ferulic acid ranged from 5.44 to 2543 µg/g; caffeic acid ranged from 0.43 to 27.61 µg/g; gallic acid ranged from 0.46 to 96 µg/g; vanillic acid ranged from 269.28 to 4080.82 µg/g; *p*-coumaric acid ranged from 50.85 to 1220.81 µg/g; *o*-coumaric acid ranged from 25.90 to 940.88 µg/g; protocatechuic acid ranged from 0.94 to 1736.59 µg/g; gentisic acid ranged from 0.12 to 0.67 µg/g; salicylic acid ranged 0.17 to 1483.22 µg/g; *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid ranged from 225.07 to 1008.53 µg/g; 2,4 dihydroxybenzoic acid ranged from 0.91 to 5.42 µg/g; *t*-cinnamic acid ranged from 0.49 to 17.36 µg/g and syringic acid ranged from 388.78 to 1676.97 µg/g (Table 3).

Compound	Formula/Mass	Parent m/z	Cone Voltage	Daughters	Collision Energy	Ion Mode
Caffeic acid	180	178.90	30	135.05	16	ES-
2, 4 dihydroxybenzoic acid	154	152.90	28	65.02	18	ES-
Chlorogenic acid	354	352.97	22	191.10	18	ES-
Ferulic acid	194	192.90	26	134.02	14	ES-
Gallic acid	170	168.90	28	125.03	12	ES-
Gentisic acid	154	152.90	24	108.98	12	ES-
<i>o</i> -Coumaric acid	164	162.90	22	119.06	12	ES-
<i>p</i> -coumaric acid	164	162.90	24	119.05	14	ES-
<i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic acid	138	136.90	26	93.01	12	ES-
Protocatechuic acid	154	152.90	26	109.05	16	ES-
Salicylic acid	138	136.90	28	93.10	14	ES-
Syringic acid	198	196.97	26	182.07	10	ES-
<i>t</i> -cinnamic acid	148	146.90	26	103.05	10	ES-
Vanillic acid	168	166.97	26	108.01	20	ES-

Table 2: Phenolic acids MRM details.

Majorly all twelve accessions were rich in vanillic acid, syringic acid and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid respectively. Syringic acid and hydroxybenzoic acid are abundantly present in fruits and vegetables. They are known for anti-cancer, sedative, anti-proliferative and decongestant properties [16]. Another most important phenolic acid is ferulic acid and *p*-coumaric acid, which is well known for its anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer activities, lowers cholesterol, and enhances sperm viability [17,18].

Vanillic acid was predominant in accession SEC-11 ($4080.82 \pm 130.92 \mu\text{g/g}$) and SEC-18 (2339.45 ± 16.36), ferulic acid and protocatechuic acid were rich in accession SEC-09 ($2543.73 \pm 68.17 \mu\text{g/g}$ and 1736.59 ± 94.90) respectively. The chromatogram of vanillic acid for the extract of *S. edule* accession SEC-11 is represented with the standard curve obtained using multiple reaction monitoring is provided in Figure 2. The total of highest phenolic acids was found in SEC-09 followed by SEC-11 and SEC-20. Lowest amount of phenolic acids found among accessions were chlorogenic and gentisic acid. In a study conducted by Shivashankar et al. [11] low levels of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid ($14.52 \mu\text{g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$) and salicylic acid was detected using HPLC detection method ($177.5 \mu\text{g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ FW) in healthy tissue of *S. edule* fruits. Our findings on the

content of phenolic acid of *S. edule* provides the scope for improvement of the quality of fruit and its nutritive value. The insight of gene regulation will help promote or aid the breeding of new cultivars/varieties with any specific phenolic acid composition. Similar studies were conducted in Eggplant from accessions in the USDA eggplant core subset [19]. Comparatively, we could detect higher amounts of phenolic acids in methanolic extracts of *S. edule*. The distribution profile of each phenolic acid among all accessions decreased in the order SEC-09 > SEC-11 > SEC-20 > SEC-18 > SEC-06 > SEC-13 > SEC-03 > SEC-31 > SEC-01 > SEC-05 > SEC-36 > SEC-27.

Flavonoid composition in *S. edule* accessions

With the standards available, we could detect nine flavonoids for the methanolic extracts of twelve *S. edule* accessions. The details of multiple-reaction monitoring of flavonoid standards is given in the Table 4. Quercetin per dry weight ranged from 1.25 to 11.70 $\mu\text{g/g}$; hesperetin ranged from 0.75 to 8.72 $\mu\text{g/g}$; catechin ranged from 2.53 to 75.83 $\mu\text{g/g}$; luteolene ranged from 0.27 to 4.49 $\mu\text{g/g}$; naringenin ranged from 0.18 to 1.36 $\mu\text{g/g}$; apigenin ranged from 0.13 to 0.88 $\mu\text{g/g}$; umbeliferon 0.14 to 1.02 $\mu\text{g/g}$; rutin ranged from 0.28 to 19.43 $\mu\text{g/g}$ and myricetin ranged from 0.48 to 2.08 $\mu\text{g/g}$.

Acc No.	Chl A	Fer A	Caf A	Gal A	Van A	p-CA	o-CA	Prot A	Gen A	Sal A	p-HBA	2,4 DHBA	t-Cin A	Syr A
SEC-01	0.17 ± 0.07 ^a	333.99 ± 5.34 ^a	2.61 ± 0.25 ^a	96.97 ± 1.60 ^a	659.51 ± 37.64 ^a	127.55 ± 3.39 ^{al}	97.57 ± 4.04 ^{ag}	28.34 ± 0.60 ^a	0.12 ± 0.04 ^a	4.99 ± 0.10 ^a	225.07 ± 1.62 ^a	0.67 ± 0.13 ^a	12.23 ± 0.57 ^a	1303.28 ± 20.10 ^a
SEC-03	0.15 ± 0.03 ^a	1280.56 ± 44.06 ^b	5.00 ± 0.42 ^a	7.73 ± 0.53 ^b	1719.63 ± 62.19 ^b	127.55 ± 3.39 ^{bij}	145.38 ± 2.22 ^a	104.46 ± 1.55 ^b	0.27 ± 0.07 ^a	9.81 ± 0.12 ^a	586.76 ± 1.70 ^b	0.12 ± 0.05 ^a	16.20 ± 1.43 ^a	482.78 ± 13.06 ^b
SEC-05	0.06 ± 0.03 ^a	5.44 ± 0.63 ^c	0.43 ± 0.06 ^a	1.31 ± 0.26 ^{bc}	269.28 ± 9.82 ^c	64.66 ± 2.06 ^c	25.90 ± 0.22 ^b	0.94 ± 0.08 ^a	0.27 ± 0.07 ^a	169.99 ± 2.16 ^{be}	487.58 ± 8.18 ^c	0.12 ± 0.05 ^a	0.49 ± 0.03 ^a	1470.98 ± 12.06 ^c
SEC-06	0.15 ± 0.03 ^a	516.91 ± 6.37 ^d	12.45 ± 0.61 ^a	3.56 ± 0.13 ^{bd}	1825.46 ± 24.54 ^d	143.49 ± 3.28 ^{ak}	101.67 ± 1.63 ^{ag}	852.45 ± 7.85 ^c	0.23 ± 0.03 ^a	9.33 ± 0.53 ^a	689.07 ± 10.59 ^d	1.62 ± 0.13 ^a	5.62 ± 0.28 ^a	671.95 ± 9.04 ^d
SEC-09	0.15 ± 0.03 ^a	2543.73 ± 68.17 ^e	17.92 ± 0.29 ^a	2.71 ± 0.26 ^{be}	594.31 ± 13.09 ^e	1220.81 ± 25.54 ^d	940.88 ± 14.94 ^c	1736.59 ± 94.90 ^d	0.67 ± 0.13 ^a	5.13 ± 0.17 ^a	788.00 ± 50.88 ^e	4.63 ± 0.61 ^a	2.31 ± 0.57 ^a	1300.96 ± 13.06 ^a
SEC-11	0.15 ± 0.03 ^a	908.18 ± 9.07 ^{fm}	27.61 ± 1.35 ^a	5.57 ± 0.80 ^f	4080.82 ± 130.92 ^f	166.77 ± 1.15 ^{aj}	105.54 ± 2.29 ^{ag}	749.54 ± 0.69 ^e	0.12 ± 0.04 ^a	1483.22 ± 14.27 ^c	792.68 ± 12.88 ^{ef}	0.91 ± 0.13 ^a	8.43 ± 0.86 ^a	677.17 ± 9.04 ^{de}
SEC-13	0.13 ± 0.06 ^a	1081.58 ± 56.53 ^g	6.62 ± 0.22 ^a	0.46 ± 0.02 ^g	1409.72 ± 36.00 ^g	448.58 ± 6.82 ^e	330.74 ± 27.86 ^d	115.46 ± 4.83 ^{bf}	0.12 ± 0.04 ^a	100.47 ± 1.82 ^{df}	648.82 ± 5.18 ^{dg}	1.30 ± 0.20 ^a	17.36 ± 1.72 ^a	388.78 ± 14.07 ^f
SEC-18	0.15 ± 0.03 ^a	889.41 ± 7.58 ^{hm}	11.66 ± 0.71 ^a	7.35 ± 0.66 ^h	2339.45 ± 16.36 ^h	214.99 ± 4.38 ^{if}	154.20 ± 1.15 ^a	295.24 ± 5.09 ^g	0.27 ± 0.07 ^a	14.41 ± 0.89 ^a	1008.53 ± 55.19 ^h	1.50 ± 0.13 ^a	5.29 ± 0.57 ^a	843.71 ± 25.12 ^g
SEC-20	0.15 ± 0.03 ^a	308.84 ± 1.95 ⁱ	10.34 ± 0.35 ^a	1.00 ± 0.13 ⁱ	949.57 ± 73.64 ⁱ	685.22 ± 26.60 ^g	480.60 ± 19.66 ^e	1094.20 ± 1.55 ^h	0.27 ± 0.07 ^a	4.77 ± 0.21 ^a	416.97 ± 8.42 ⁱ	5.42 ± 0.34 ^a	3.80 ± 0.57 ^a	1676.97 ± 70.35 ^h
SEC-27	0.06 ± 0.03 ^a	308.84 ± 1.95 ^a	6.57 ± 0.42 ^a	1.78 ± 0.26 ^j	491.32 ± 31.09 ^j	50.85 ± 1.78 ^{ch}	35.73 ± 2.01 ^{bf}	149.79 ± 2.41 ^{bi}	0.12 ± 0.04 ^a	112.31 ± 2.04 ^{ef}	271.89 ± 3.00 ^a	1.07 ± 0.20 ^a	8.10 ± 1.14 ^a	813.53 ± 55.28 ^{gi}
SEC-31	0.06 ± 0.03 ^a	365.10 ± 1.14 ^{an}	13.27 ± 0.39 ^a	0.85 ± 0.26 ^k	759.66 ± 24.55 ^k	96.33 ± 3.45 ^{cl}	47.57 ± 1.50 ^{bg}	878.06 ± 19.06 ^{ci}	0.12 ± 0.04 ^a	21.02 ± 0.16 ^a	243.76 ± 3.87 ^a	1.70 ± 0.06 ^a	4.13 ± 0.28 ^a	901.15 ± 8.04 ^{gj}
SEC-36	0.15 ± 0.03 ^a	407.53 ± 2.58 ^{bn}	3.79 ± 0.64 ^a	3.48 ± 0.80 ^l	592.42 ± 19.63 ^{el}	192.14 ± 2.21 ^{ijk}	126.24 ± 1.45 ^a	97.38 ± 4.31 ^{bk}	0.12 ± 0.04 ^a	23.06 ± 0.19 ^a	332.24 ± 4.11 ^j	1.90 ± 0.20 ^a	3.30 ± 0.57 ^a	487.42 ± 9.04 ^{bk}
Max value	0.17 ± 0.07	2543.73 ± 68.17	27.61 ± 1.35	96.97 ± 1.60	4080.82 ± 130.92	1220.81 ± 25.54	940.88 ± 14.94	1736.59 ± 94.90	0.67 ± 0.13	1483.22 ± 14.27	1008.53 ± 55.19	5.42 ± 0.34	17.36 ± 1.72	1676.97 ± 70.35
Min value	0.06 ± 0.03	5.44 ± 0.63	0.43 ± 0.06	0.46 ± 0.02	269.28 ± 9.82	50.85 ± 1.78	25.90 ± 0.22	0.94 ± 0.08	0.12 ± 0.04	4.77 ± 0.21	225.07 ± 1.62	0.12 ± 0.05	0.49 ± 0.03	388.78 ± 14.07

Table 3: Phenolic acids composition of twelve different accessions from India ($\mu\text{g/g}$ of Dried Material).

*Data are represented as the mean \pm Standard deviation ($n = 3$). Values in the same column that are followed by different superscript letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

*Abbreviations: ChlA: Chlorogenic Acid, FerA: Ferulic Acid, CafA: Caffeic Acid, GalA: Gallic Acid, VanA: Vanillic Acid, P-CA: *p*-Coumaric Acid, o-CA: *o*-Coumaric Acid, ProtA: Protocatechuic Acid, GenA: Gentisic Acid, SalA: Salicylic Acid, p-HBA: *p*-hydroxybenzoic Acid, 2,4 DHBA: 2,4- Dihydroxy Benzoic Acid, t-CinA: *t*-cinnamic Acid, SyrA: Syringic Acid.

Catechin was present in major quantity compared to other flavonoids among accessions. Accessions SEC-05 had high amounts of quercetin ($7.11 \pm 1.44 \mu\text{g/g}$) and catechin ($16.01 \pm 1.46 \mu\text{g/g}$), accession SEC-09 had high amounts of quercetin ($11.70 \pm 0.72 \mu\text{g/g}$), hesperetin ($8.72 \pm 0.86 \mu\text{g/g}$) and catechin ($21.06 \pm 1.45 \mu\text{g/g}$) comparatively, accession SEC-11 also had high amounts of catechin ($28.65 \pm 1.46 \mu\text{g/g}$) and quercetin ($9.62 \pm 1.44 \mu\text{g/g}$). Accession SEC-36 had the highest amount of catechin present ($75.83 \pm 4.37 \mu\text{g/g}$) (Table 5). The chromatogram of catechin for the extract of *S. edule* accession SEC-11 is represented with the standard curve obtained using multiple reaction monitoring is provided in Figure 3.

Flavonoid content is usually dependent on the cultivars and growing conditions as they are produced in direct response to environmental conditions. Therefore, the differences in concentration in the collected accessions was observed [20,21]. Catechins, a disease fighting flavonoid, which are a group of flavanols are found in various fruits and vegetables derived from plants. It is one of the potent antioxidant compound present. The extract of *O. linearis* was found to have high amounts of catechin compared to other flavanols ($0.113 \pm 0.03\text{mg/gm}$) [22]. About four flavonoids were detected in a study conducted by Siciliano, *et al.* (2004) in

Figure 2: Chromatograms obtained using MRM mode (multiple reaction monitoring) for vanillic acid from *S. edule* extracts.

Compound	Formula/ass	Parent m/z	Cone Voltage	Daughters	Collision Energy	Ion Mode
Apigenin	270	268.97	46	107.04	30	ES-
Catechin	290	289.03	38	245.15	12	ES-
Hesperetin	302	300.97	42	286.15	16	ES-
Leutoline	286	284.97	54	150.99	26	ES-
Myrcetin	318	317.03	42	151.06	28	ES-
Naringenin	272	271.03	34	151.00	16	ES-
Quercetin	302	301.03	36	151.12	20	ES-
Rutin	610	609.10	60	300.20	42	ES-
Umbelliferone	162.14	161.04	42	133.07	18	ES-

Table 4: Flavanoids MRM details.

S. edule fruits collected from Monasterace (RC), Italy and those were, vicenin- 2, apigenin 6-C- β -D-glucopyranosyl-8-C- β -D-apio-furanoside, vitexin and luteolin 7-O-rutinoside in trace amounts using HPLC-PDA-ESI-MS detection method. Substantially, LC-MS method developed in our study is more sensitive than the other

reported methods as we could detect nine flavonoids in minimal amounts. Though *S. edule* was found to have low concentrations of flavonoids comparatively to other plant species, the distribution profile of each flavonoid among all accessions decreased in the order SEC-36 > SEC-11 > SEC-09 > SEC-20 > SEC-06 > SEC-05 > SEC-

Acc No.	Quercetin	Hesperitin	Catechin	Luteolene	Naringenin	Apigenin	Umbeliferon	Rutin	Myricetin
SEC-01	2.92 ± 0.72 ^a	4.73 ± 0.43 ^{aj}	2.53 ± 0.04 ^a	0.27 ± 0.03 ^a	0.62 ± 0.11 ^a	0.31 ± 0.07 ^a	0.14 ± 0.05 ^a	3.46 ± 0.16 ^a	1.60 ± 0.27 ^a
SEC-03	1.25 ± 0.02 ^a	0.75 ± 0.04 ^b	5.90 ± 1.46 ^b	1.1 ± 1.19 ^{abd}	0.18 ± 0.03 ^a	0.31 ± 0.07 ^a	0.14 ± 0.05 ^a	3.83 ± 0.32 ^a	2.08 ± 0.27 ^a
SEC-05	7.11 ± 1.44 ^{bg}	1.74 ± 0.43 ^{bc}	16.01 ± 1.46 ^c	0.92 ± 0.16 ^a	0.87 ± 0.21 ^a	0.31 ± 0.07 ^a	0.32 ± 0.08 ^a	0.28 ± 0.01 ^b	0.48 ± 0.01 ^a
SEC-06	5.43 ± 0.72 ^{bc}	0.75 ± 0.04 ^{bd}	13.48 ± 1.46 ^d	2.93 ± 0.31 ^{bcd}	0.18 ± 0.03 ^a	0.88 ± 0.15 ^a	1.02 ± 0.08 ^a	1.49 ± 0.16 ^{bc}	2.08 ± 0.27 ^a
SEC-09	11.70 ± 0.72 ^d	8.72 ± 0.86 ^e	21.06 ± 1.45 ^e	0.92 ± 0.16 ^a	0.37 ± 0.01 ^a	0.39 ± 0.03 ^a	0.14 ± 0.05 ^a	0.56 ± 0.03 ^{bd}	0.48 ± 0.01 ^a
SEC-11	9.62 ± 1.44 ^e	3.98 ± 0.43 ^a	28.65 ± 1.46 ^f	1.19 ± 0.15 ^{ade}	1.18 ± 0.10 ^a	0.39 ± 0.03 ^a	0.46 ± 0.08 ^a	1.21 ± 0.16 ^{be}	2.08 ± 0.27 ^a
SEC-13	1.25 ± 0.02 ^a	3.98 ± 0.43 ^a	8.42 ± 1.46 ^g	1.83 ± 0.31 ^{ade}	0.37 ± 0.01 ^a	0.31 ± 0.07 ^a	0.28 ± 0.05 ^a	1.49 ± 0.16 ^{bf}	2.08 ± 0.27 ^a
SEC-18	1.25 ± 0.02 ^a	0.75 ± 0.04 ^{bf}	5.05 ± 0.03 ^{bh}	0.92 ± 0.16 ^a	0.43 ± 0.10 ^a	0.13 ± 0.01 ^a	0.88 ± 0.08 ^a	2.43 ± 0.32 ^{acdefj}	1.60 ± 0.27 ^a
SEC-20	2.51 ± 0.05 ^a	1.74 ± 0.43 ^{bg}	8.42 ± 1.46 ^{gi}	4.49 ± 0.31 ^{cf}	0.43 ± 0.10 ^a	0.61 ± 0.07 ^a	0.32 ± 0.08 ^a	19.43 ± 0.64 ^g	0.48 ± 0.01 ^a
SEC-27	2.51 ± 0.05 ^a	0.75 ± 0.04 ^{bh}	10.95 ± 1.45 ⁱ	1.19 ± 0.15 ^{aeg}	0.99 ± 0.10 ^a	0.26 ± 0.02 ^a	0.28 ± 0.05 ^a	0.56 ± 0.03 ^{bjkh}	0.48 ± 0.01 ^a
SEC-31	7.94 ± 0.72 ^{efg}	0.75 ± 0.04 ^{bi}	8.43 ± 1.45 ^{gk}	2.93 ± 0.31 ^{dfig}	1.36 ± 0.10 ^a	0.13 ± 0.01 ^a	0.28 ± 0.05 ^a	0.84 ± 0.05 ^{bijk}	2.08 ± 0.27 ^a
SEC-36	2.92 ± 0.72 ^a	6.48 ± 0.86 ^j	75.83 ± 4.37 ^l	0.27 ± 0.03 ^a	0.37 ± 0.01 ^a	0.97 ± 0.07 ^a	0.60 ± 0.08 ^a	2.34 ± 0.16 ^{acdefk}	0.96 ± 0.03 ^a
Max value	11.70 ± 0.72	8.72 ± 0.86	75.83 ± 4.37	4.49 ± 0.31	1.18 ± 0.10	0.97 ± 0.07	1.02 ± 0.08	19.43 ± 0.64	2.08 ± 0.27
Min value	1.25 ± 0.02	0.75 ± 0.04	2.53 ± 0.04	0.27 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.05	0.28 ± 0.01	0.48 ± 0.01

Table 5: Flavonoids composition of twelve different accessions from India ($\mu\text{g/g}$ of Dried Material).

Data are represented as the mean \pm Standard deviation ($n = 3$). Values in the same column that are followed by different superscript letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

31 > SEC-13 > SEC-27 > SEC-01 > SEC-03 > SEC-18.

Principle component analysis of phenolic acids and flavonoids

The LC-MS data is confirmed by Principal component analysis, which showed that the accessions SEC-09, SEC-11 and SEC-20 forms a distinct individual unclustered accessions compared to other remaining accessions showing the presence of high amount of phenolic acids and flavonoids. The components PC1 and PC2 showed about 30% and 18% variation. The total variation of 90% was observed by the first six principal components (i.e., 30, 18, 13, 11, 11 and 7%). PCA is considered to be a powerful tool for identification of data patterns and useful in analysis of data highlighting the similarities and differences in a group, and provides the plots

for distribution of samples and variables employed on the principal components respectively.

Ferulic acid, protocatechuic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, gentic acid, benzoic acid, caffeic acid, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, vanillic acid, salicylic acid and myricetin had higher coefficients on the axis of the first PC as compared to the other axis. Such an analysis provides information about the correlations as well as dependencies of metabolites among accessions [23]. The scatter plots of score and loadings of PC1 and PC2 is shown in the Figure 4A and Figure 4B depicting the formation of one major cluster towards left. Similar studies have been conducted using wheat varieties and vegetable oils [24,25]. Therefore, Exploration of PCA for the data obtained using LC-MS demonstrated that the method is useful for discrimina-

Figure 3: Chromatograms obtained using MRM mode (multiple reaction monitoring) for catechin from *S. edule* extracts.

tion of *S. edule* accessions. Quantification of phenolic acids and flavonoids in *S. edule* is important for application purpose as it affects the quality of fruits and simultaneously the antioxidant activities may be beneficial for improving health and preventing diseases.

Conclusion

We showed the first comprehensive study of variability of phenolic acids and flavonoids in the accessions collected from India. In total, fourteen phenolic acids and nine flavonoids were quantified by LC-MS in the methanolic extracts of *S. edule*, and the establishment of such a method showed well separation of compounds and are reproducible. With the aid of PCA, the LC-MS data were mined for similarity and differences in phytochemical composition between accessions. Despite the numerous methods for polyphenols detection, the validated and optimized method in *S. edule* is still lacking. Therefore, this method is suitable for determination of phenolic acids and flavonoids for high efficiency and can help in genetic grouping of landraces as well as for developing more efficient strategies to gain a greater knowledge for future breeding programs.

Figure 4: Principal component analysis (PCA) results for phenolic acids and flavonoids present in *S. edule* accessions (Score (A) and loadings (B) plots of PC1 and PC2).

Acknowledgement

No funding was received for conducting this study. Authors thank the management of JAIN (Deemed_To_Be_University), School of Sciences, Department of Biotechnology and ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Division of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry for providing the necessary facilities to conduct the present study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Bibliography

1. Huang Z., *et al.* "Phenolic compound profile of selected vegetables frequently consumed by African Americans in the south-east United States". *Food Chemistry* 103 (2007): 1395-1402.

2. Ghasemzadeh A and Ghasemzadeh N. "Flavonoids and phenolic acids: Role and biochemical activity in plants and human". *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research* 5.31 (2011): 6697-6703.
3. Tsao R and Deng Z. "Separation procedures for naturally occurring antioxidant photochemicals". *Journal of Chromatography B* 812 (2004): 85-99.
4. Tapas AR., et al. "Flavonoids as Nutraceuticals: A Review". *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research* 7.3 (2008): 1089-1099.
5. Shahidi F and Wanasundara PK. "Phenolic antioxidants". *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 32 (1992): 67-103.
6. Tim TP and Lamb AJ. "Antimicrobial activity of flavonoids". *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 26 (2005): 343-356.
7. Wei H., et al. "Inhibitory effect of epigenin, a plant flavonoid, on epidermal ornithine decarboxylase and skin tumor promotion in mice". *Cancer Research* 50 (1990): 499-502.
8. Migliaccio S and Anderson JB. "Isoflavones and skeletal health: Are these molecules ready for clinical application". *Osteoporosis International* 14 (2003): 361-368.
9. Jain JR., et al. "Standardization of DNA isolation and RAPD-PCR protocol from *Sechium edule*". *International Journal of Advancement in Life Sciences Research* 8.3 (2015): 359-363.
10. Jain JR., et al. "A comparative assessment of morphological and molecular diversity among *Sechium edule* (Jacq.) Sw. accessions in India". *3 Biotech* 7 (2017): 106.
11. Shivashankar S., et al. "Role of phenolic acids and enzymes of phenylpropanoid pathway in resistance of chayote fruit (*Sechium edule*) against infestation by melon fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae*". *Annals of Applied Biology* 72 (2015): 1-14.
12. Siciliano T., et al. "Study of flavonoids of *Sechium edule* (Jacq) Swartz (Cucurbitaceae) different edible organs by liquid chromatography photodiode array mass spectrometry". *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 52.21 (2004): 6510-6515.
13. Weidner S., et al. "Changes in endogenous phenolic acids during development of *Secale cereale* caryopses and after dehydration treatment of unripe rye grains". *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 38 (2000): 595-602.
14. Chen H., et al. "Separation and determination of flavonoids and other phenolic compounds in cranberry juice by high-performance liquid chromatography". *Journal of Chromatography* 913 (2001): 387-395.
15. Middha SK., et al. "In silico exploration of cyclooxygenase inhibitory activity of natural compounds found in *Myrica nagi* using LC-MS". *Symbiosis* (2016).
16. Vinayagam R. "Preventive effect of Syringic acid on hepatic marker enzymes and lipid profile against acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity rats". *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archive* 1 (2010): 393-398.
17. Mussatto G., et al. "Ferulic and p-coumaric acids extraction by alkaline hydrolysis of brewer's spent grain". *Industrial Crops and Products* 25 (2007): 231-237.
18. Ramadoss K., et al. "Isolation, characterization, and RP-HPLC estimation of P-coumaric acid from methanolic extract of *Durva Grass* (*Cynodondactylon* Linn.) (Pers.)". *International Journal of Analytical Chemistry* (2007): 1-7.
19. Stommel JR., et al. "Phenolic Acid Content and Composition of Eggplant Fruit in a Germplasm Core Subset". *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 128.5 (2003): 704-710.
20. Caldwell CR., et al. "Effect of temperature, elevated carbon dioxide, and drought during seed development on the isoflavone content of dwarf soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] grown in controlled environments". *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53 (2005): 1125-1129.
21. Harnly JM., et al. "Flavonoid Content of U.S. Fruits, Vegetables and Nuts". *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 54 (2006): 9966-9977.
22. Seal T. "Quantitative HPLC analysis of phenolic acids, flavonoids and ascorbic acid in four different solvent extracts of two wild edible leaves, *Sonchus arvensis* and *Oenanthe linearis* of North-Eastern region in India". *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science* 6.2 (2016): 157-166.
23. Berrueta LA., et al. "Supervised pattern recognition in food analysis". *Journal of Chromatography A* 1158 (2007): 196-214.

24. Levandia T., *et al.* "Principal component analysis of HPLC-MS/MS patterns of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties". *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences* 63.1 (2014): 86-92.
25. Farres-Cebrian M., *et al.* "HPLC-UV polyphenolic profiles in the classification of olive oils and other vegetable oils via principal component analysis". *Separations* 3.33 (2016): 1-13.

Assets from publication with us

- Prompt Acknowledgement after receiving the article
- Thorough Double blinded peer review
- Rapid Publication
- Issue of Publication Certificate
- High visibility of your Published work

Website: www.actascientific.com/

Submit Article: www.actascientific.com/submission.php

Email us: editor@actascientific.com

Contact us: +91 9182824667