



Economic Evaluation of the Health, Safety, and Environment (HSE) Management System

Javad Vatani^{1*} and Mehjabeen²

¹Guilan Road Trauma Research Center, School of Public Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Iran

²Ph.D. Research Scholar, Periyar University, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

***Corresponding Author:** Javad Vatani, Guilan Road Trauma Research Center, School of Public Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

Received: March 04, 2019; **Published:** March 13, 2019

Abstract

Today, the growth of high technology industry causes some problems like air pollution, job side effects and occupational diseases which force us to implement an HSE-MS management system in different projects. This paper will have sought to measure the profitability of investment in HSE-MS system using the internal rate of return (IRR) method.

In this cross-sectional study, the values of the project cash flow stream in terms of different time periods were predicted. So, the calculation of these values as crisp numbers was so risky and the accuracy of final results will be ambiguous. Hence, this paper has defined the values of cash flow streams as fuzzy numbers and then was calculated the profitability of the HSE-MS system implementation project using the IRR measure under fuzzy environment.

The mean value of IRR was equal to 22% by using the FIRR (Fuzzy Internal Rate of Return). Also considering the point that the minimum value of IRR based on the FIRR was 14% and 18%, respectively, and the fact that, these values are greater than the market rate (7%), the HSE-MS system implementation will be an economic and advisable project. The economic evaluation of the HSE-MS system was performed using the greater possibility index. Also, a power plant in Tehran city was investigated as a case study. Based on the obtained results, the HSE-MS system implementation was strictly advisable because of its benefits.

Keywords: Economic Evaluation; Health Safety; Environment Management System; Fuzzy Internal Rate of Return

Introduction

In developing and developed countries occupational accidents are one of the major problems, The most important part of these costs are human costs. Deaths caused by occupational accidents result in the loss of life, years of work and related costs [1,2].

Every year, millions of occupational accidents occur worldwide some of the accidents are fatal and others lead to temporary and permanent inability [3].

Human contemporary history has recorded several disasters with multi-billion dollar financial losses and human casualties including the explosion of the shuttle Challenger (1986), Nuclear reactor explosion at Chernobyl (1986), Accident in Mexico (1985), Bhopal plant accident in India (1981) [4].

These accidents have brought great losses for the community, organization, and workers and Now the worst consequence of oc-

cupational accidents is the premature mortality of labor force [1,5-7].

In the US, occupational accidents of construction industry result in the death of about 17.29 workers out of 100,000 between 1980 to 1992 [8].

Work-related injuries are the biggest health problem worldwide and about 14 deaths per 100,000 are due to occupational accidents and occupational accidents cause socio-economic losses such as inability, reduction of working time and the increase in health care [9-11].

Bahrampur, *et al.* studied on the building workers of Yazd city and showed that if this trend in the accidents of Yazd city continues after several years the amount of these accidents will be increased dramatically which their major cause will be the fall from height [12].

The HSE-MS is a regular, systematic and explicit approach, accomplished with the comprehensive processes with the goal of planning, documentation and changing the methods in order to manage the detrimental factors, safe the threats and risk analysis. Like the other management systems, the HSE-MS system is developed in order to obtain a healthy working environment with the minimum amount of job-related incidents and dangers [13-15]. A notable point is that the implementation of HSE-MS management system requires spending the money and time. However, some project managers or decision makers, hesitate to implement this system or implement it incompletely in order to save the money.

Study Yingbin Feng (2013) showed that safety investment in the construction industry will increase safety culture and behavior [16].

The Vatani J study indicates that before and after the establishment of HSE-MS that the maximum calculated cost was related to the production disturbance cost (before: \$568,000; after \$80,500) and the lowest cost was related to transfer costs (before: \$15,000; after: \$3,000) and other costs (before: \$98,000; after: \$28,500). Statistical analyses indicate that there is a significant difference ($P = 0.007$) between the direct and indirect costs of accidents for before and after the establishment of HSE-MS. In other words, the direct and indirect costs had multiple, significant differences. The present study indicates that the indirect cost is four times greater than the direct costs [2].

Also, study Evelyn-Ailin teo (2011) showed that investment in safety management reduce accidents and reduce the costs imposed on the industry and due to increased employee satisfaction and increase the quality of life workers [17-21].

Unlike many studies on the costs of accidents and investment on HSE-MS system still there is no clear and scientific method to calculate the cost of accidents or the ones that are available have some blind spots, the ultimate aim of the present study is to provide a new structure in the Economic evaluation of the HSE-MS management system implementation In order to reduce accidents using the internal rate of return method under fuzzy environment.

Materials and Methods

This paper sought to evaluate the profitability of HSE-MS system implementation project by defining the cash flow streams values as fuzzy numbers and using the IRR method under fuzzy environment.

The Following subsections are organized to describe how to compute the costs of accidents, the manner of calculating the investment value in an HSE-MS system, IRR method description, fuzzy set theory description, fuzzy IRR computation manner and finally the proposed method will be described in details.

The paper proposed method description

In order to economic evaluation of the cash flow resulted by an HSE-MS system implementation, the following steps are suggested in this paper:

1. Calculate the accident costs based on fuzzy values, before and after the HSE-MS system implementation. It is worthy to be noted that in this step can be used experts' opinions, the historical data and existed information of similar projects. Actually, in this stage, first, the cash flow stream of Costs arising from accidents is estimated before the HSE-MS system implementation. Then the cash flow stream value of Costs arising from accidents is estimated after the HSE-MS system implementation.
2. Calculate the income value of HSE-MS system implementation by differing the cash flow stream values of Costs arising from accidents before and after the HSE-MS system implementation.
3. Estimate the amount of investment required to implement the HSE-MS system.
4. Form the final cash flow stream obtained from the implementation of HSE-MS system. It can be obtained by summing the income and investment cash flow streams values.
5. Calculate the profitability of the final cash flow obtained from HSE-MS system implementation using the strict exceedance possibility method.

Results

Case study

The case study is a combined cycle power plant in Tehran city (8 units of 250 MW) that corresponds to a 24-month period (during 2016 to 2017) from Construction Phase to Operation Phase. In this study, 18-month construction period and 6 months of the electricity generation is examined. It is estimated that in the stages of construction and operation of this plant, 420 workers will be working in different units.

The fuzzy cash flow stream values of Costs arising from accidents before and after HSE-MS system implementation are estimated.

In this stage, the required dollar amount of the investment for the HSE-MS system implementation is demonstrated as a cash flow stream.

After that, the cash flow stream values of income obtained from HSE-MS system implementation and the final cash flow stream should be calculated.

Then, the profitability of HSE-MS system implementation project should be computed using the fuzzy IRR method. To this end,

$\alpha = 0$	$IRR_0 = (\%14.33, \%29.08)$	$\alpha = 0.6$	$IRR_{0.6} = (\%19.57, \%25.30)$
$\alpha = 0.2$	$IRR_{0.2} = (\%16.17, \%27.82)$	$\alpha = 0.8$	$IRR_{0.8} = (\%21.17, \%24.03)$
$\alpha = 0.4$	$IRR_{0.4} = (\%17.91, \%26.57)$	$\alpha = 1$	$IRR_1 = (\%22.75, \%22.75)$

Table 1: The obtained intervals for IRR value under different α -cuts.

Finally, the fuzzy internal rate of return is resulted by linking the intervals to each other.

If the FIRR is approximated as a triangular fuzzy number, then the FIRR can be equal to (14.33%, 22.75%, 29.08%) with the average value of 22.23%.

Then in order to evaluate the profitability of the cash flow stream, the strict exceedance possibility method has been used and assuming that the market rate is equal to (3%, 5%, 7%).

Therefore, the FIRR approximated value for implementing the HSE-MS management system is equal to (14.33%, 22.75%, and 29.08%). We calculate the degree to which the approximated FIRR is bigger than the market rate. Since the lower bound of FIRR (14.33%) is greater than the upper bound of market rate (7%), therefore it can be concluded that this cash flow stream is worth undertaking in possibility degree 1 (100%).

According to the above information, the HSE-MS system implementation project is worth undertaking using FIRR emphasizes the need to implement it from an economic viewpoint. In other words, the mean value obtained for IRR measure according to both methods is almost equal to 22% which is greater than the mean value market rate of 5%. So, this project is desirable strictly recommendable.

Discussion

Today, industries high developments and increasing the amount of incident related costs, in different projects, force the companies to implement an HSE-MS system. However, some managers are hesitated to applying this system, completely, because of differ-

ently we should transform the fuzzy values of the final cash flow stream into distinct intervals using different α -cuts.

Afterward, based on different α -cuts, the IRR values of the obtained cash flow streams from the combination of the lower and upper bounds should be calculated. Table 1 shows the intervals obtained for the IRR value.

ent reasons. This paper develops a new method in order to show that this system not only is not a costly project but also increases the project profitability by decreasing the incident related costs. Actually, the HSE-MS system implementation is an economical project. The proposed method in this paper first develops a model for calculation of incident related costs, before and after the incident related costs being occurred. The difference between these two financial processes shows the revenue of the HSE-MS system implementation. Then the ultimate financial process is formed by integrating two revenues and required investment, for the HSE-MS implementation system, financial processes. In order to evaluate the economic assessment of the financial process for an HSE-MS system implementation, the IRR method which is one of the attractive methods is used. Using this method, by defining the financial process values based on different time periods and considering the time value of money, the attractiveness of financial processes is evaluated. Because of existing uncertainty in predicting financial process values and also in order to decrease the ultimate risk of the solution, all values of financial process flows are defined as fuzzy numbers. It is worthy to be noted that, whenever the financial process values are defined like this, the IRR value is also obtained as a fuzzy number. In this paper, a method has been proposed based on the existing techniques in fuzzy sets theories, by which the FIRR value can be computed by a higher reliability level. The economic evaluation of the HSE-MS system is performed using the greater possibility index. Also, a power plant is investigated as a case study. Based on the obtained results, the HSE-MS system implementation is strictly advisable because of its benefits. The mean value of IRR was equal to 22% by using the FIRR. Also considering the point

that the minimum value of IRR based on the FIRR was 14% and 18%, respectively, and the fact that, these values are greater than the market rate (7%), the HSE-MS system implementation is an economic and advisable project.

Conclusion

Economic evaluation of the effectiveness of HSE-MS system can be justified for the manager to invest in these systems (HSE-MS system).

One of the best methods of economic evaluation of the internal rate of return method.

The internal rate of return method to assess the economic impact analysis HSE-MS system of makes investments to reduce the cost of occupational disease, occupational hazards, and cost accident.

The present study suggests further economics studies with the aim of management work for agent ergonomics, for example, Sadeghian F (22) and Chaman R (23)0.

Contribution Statement

Javad Vatani: writing the first draft and contribution to final draft and analysis, the design of the study, a collection of data, contribution to the final draft.

Mehjabeen has assisted in the compilation of the paper and contribution to final draft, compilation and careful editing, revision of the paper and supervision on analysis data.

Bibliography

- Javad Vatani., *et al.* "A Framework for the Calculation of Direct and Indirect Costs of Accidents and Its Application in the Accidents of Construction Industry at Iran in 2013". *Trauma Monthly Journal* 22.1 (2016): e26117.
- Javad Vatani., *et al.* "The Relation of Costs Accidents by Establishment of Health, safety and Environment Management System (HSE-MS) of Construction Industry in Tehran city". *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal* 18.12 (12): e27140.
- Antonio López Arquillos., *et al.* "Analysis of construction accidents in Spain, 2003-2008". *Journal of Safety Research* 43 (2012): 381-388.
- Sue Cox and Robin Tait. "Safety, Reliability and Risk Management: an integrated approach". Second edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, Linacre House, Jordan Hill, Oxford OX2 8DP, (1998)
- PK Nag and VG Patel. "Work accidents among shift workers in the industry". *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics* 21 (1998): 275-281.
- Sanna Nenonen. "Fatal workplace accidents in outsourced operations in the manufacturing industry". *Safety Science* 49 (2011): 1394-1403.
- Ikpe E., *et al.* "Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA) of construction health and safety management: a theoretical discussion". In: Dainty, A (Ed) Procs 24th Annual ARCOM Conference, 1-3 September 2008, Cardiff, UK, Association of Researchers in Construction Management (2008): 1035-1043.
- Miguel A Camino López., *et al.* "Construction industry accidents in Spain". *Journal of Safety Research* 39 (2008): 497-507.
- Yashar Moharamzad., *et al.* "Mortality pattern according to autopsy findings among traffic accident victims in Yazd, Iran". *Chinese Journal of Traumatology* 11.6 (2008): 329-334.
- Mohammad R Rasouli., *et al.* "Comparison of road traffic fatalities and injuries in Iran with other countries". *Chinese Journal of Traumatology* 11.3 (2008): 131-134.
- Mahmood Bakhtiyari., *et al.* "Epidemiology of occupational accidents among Iranian insured workers". *Safety Science* 50 (2012): 1480-1484.
- Bahrapor A., *et al.* "Five-Year Epidemiological Study and Estimation of Accidents Distribution in Construction Industry Workers in Yazd City by the Year 2011 by Applying Time Series Model". *Journal of Kerman University of Medical Sciences* 16.2 (2009): 156-164.
- Javad Vatani., *et al.* "An Epidemiological Study of Accidents among Construction Workers in Kerman". *Knowledge and Health* 5.4 (2011): 32-36.
- I Mohammadfam., *et al.* "Development of the Health, Safety and Environment Excellence Instrument: an HSE-MS Performance Measurement Tool". *Procedia Engineering* 45 (2012): 194-198.
- Azadeh Ali., *et al.* "Integrated health, safety, environment and ergonomics management system (HSEE-MS): An efficient substitution for conventional HSE-MS". *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research (JSIR)* 67 (2008): 403-411.
- Evelyn Ai-Lin Teo., *et al.* "The indirect effect of safety investment on safety performance for building projects". *Architectural Science Review* 54 (2011): 65.

17. Yingbin Feng. "Effect of safety investments on the safety performance of building projects". *Safety Science* 59 (2013): 28-45.
18. Romain Jallon., *et al.* "Development of an indirect-cost calculation model suitable for workplace use" *Journal of Safety Research* 42 (2011): 149-164.
19. Hajdasinski MM. "Technical note-the internal rate of return (IRR) as a financial indicator". *The Engineering Economist* 49 (2004): 185-197.
20. Hazen GB. "A new perspective on multiple internal rates of return". *The Engineering Economist* 48.1 (2003): 31-51.
21. Hazen GB. "An extension of the internal rate of return to stochastic cash flows". *Management Science* 55 (2009): 1030-1034.
22. Sadeghian F., *et al.* "Psychosocial and individual characteristics and musculoskeletal complaints among clinical laboratory workers". *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics* 20.2 (2014): 355-361.
23. Chaman R., *et al.* "Psychosocial Factors and Musculoskeletal Pain Among Rural Hand-woven Carpet Weavers in Iran". *Safety and Health at Work* 6 (2015): 120-127.

Volume 3 Issue 4 April 2019

© All rights are reserved by Javad Vatani and Mehjabeen.